

**MOTOR PERFORMANCE OF VISUALLY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN**

**CHARLES E. BUELL**







Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
American Printing House for the Blind, Inc.

[https://archive.org/details/motorperformance00char\\_0](https://archive.org/details/motorperformance00char_0)





# MOTOR PERFORMANCE OF VISUALLY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN

Charles Edwin Buell

DRAFT



## PREFACE

In June, 1950, the material contained in this book was presented to the Graduate Division of the University of California in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Education in educational psychology.

The writer is under obligation to many people for their cooperation and assistance. He is particularly indebted to Dr. Frederick T. Tyler, Chairman of his Thesis Committee, and Professor Frederick W. Cozens, Director of Physical Education, and the other members of the Committee at the University of California--Professor George C. Kyte, Professor Theodore L. Reller, Dr. R. Nevitt Sanford, and Dr. Alex C. Sherriffs; to the superintendents and teachers of the schools and Braille classes for the blind for their cooperation; to Dr. Anna Espenschade for many helpful suggestions; to Mr. Edward Bowes for checking many of the computations; and to others for valuable assistance. Finally, the writer wishes to thank his wife, Mrs. Josephine L. Buell, for her untiring assistance and for making many helpful criticisms.

Charles Edwin Buell

Berkeley, California  
July, 1950





# CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	The Problem	1
	Justification	2
	Definitions	2
	Survey of Related Information	2
II.	THE METHOD AND THE SAMPLE	11
	Introduction	11
	Selection of Tests	12
	Administration of Iowa Brace Test	13
	Track and Field Events	14
	Background information	16
	The Sample	18
III.	PHYSICAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS FOR THE VISUALLY HANDICAPPED AND THEIR INFLUENCE UPON MOTOR PERFORMANCE	22
	Effect of Training in Physical Activities Upon Motor Performance	25
IV.	COMPARISON OF VISUALLY HANDICAPPED AND SEEING PUPILS IN TRACK AND FIELD	29
	Basis of Comparison	29
	Results of the Comparison	32
	Relationships Among Performances of Visually Handicapped Children in Running, Jumping, and Throwing	33
	Relationship Between Length of School Attendance and Performance of Visually Handicapped Children in Track and Field Events	38
	Visually Handicapped Boys' Performances Compared With Those of Girls in Track and Field	40
	Reliability of Track and Field Events for the Seeing and the Visually Handicapped	43
	Correlation Between Trials in Track and Field Events for Visually Handicapped Boys and Girls	44
V.	Comparison of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Children IN A STUNT TYPE TEST	46
	Introduction	46
	Comparison of Scores of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Children in Iowa Brace Test	47
	Per cent of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Passing Iowa Brace Tests	50
	Effect of Allowing a Third Trial in the Iowa Brace Tests	58





VI.	COMPARISON OF BLIND AND PARTIALLY SEEING CHILDREN IN MOTOR PERFORMANCE	61
	Emotionalism Versus the Objective Approach	61
	Method of Classifying and Scoring in Track and Field Events	62
	Comparison of Blind and Partially Seeing Children in Track and Field	63
	Comparison of Blind and Partially Seeing Pupils on the Iowa Brace Test	66
VII.	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PARENTAL ATTITUDES AND MOTOR PERFORMANCE	78 72
	Prevalence of Overprotection Among Visually Handicapped Children	78 72
	Performance of Overprotected Children in Track and Field Events	81 75
	Scores of Overprotected Visually Handicapped Children on the Iowa Brace Test	83 75
	Motor Performance of Neglected Visually Handicapped Children	85 76
VIII.	RELATION OF MOTOR PERFORMANCE TO TIME OF LOSS OF VISION	88 88
IX.	CLASSIFICATION OF VISUALLY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN	86 92
	Plan of Classification Used in Schools for the Blind	86 92
	Suggested Revision of Classification Plan in Common Use in Schools for the Blind	87 93
	Best-Fit Classification Indexes For Visually Handicapped Boys and Girls	89 94
	Classification Plans for Various Groups of Visually Handicapped Children	92 98
	Comparison of Classification Formulas For Seeing and Visually Handicapped Children	95 103
	Correlation Between Two Plans of Classifying Visually Handicapped Children	97
X.	PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT SCALES FOR VISUALLY HANDICAPPED BOYS AND GIRLS	99 107
	Purposes of Achievement Scales	99 107
	Need of Revision of Certain Achievement Scales for the Visually Handicapped	100 10P
	Construction of the Achievement Scales	101 109
	Reading the Scales	103 112
	Physical Education Achievement Scales for the Visually Handicapped	104
XI.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.	117 125
	Summary	117 125
	Conclusions	118 149
	Implications	122 124





# TABLES

Table	<del>Page</del> Page
I. Number of Visually Handicapped Pupils at Each Age	19
II. Physical Education Activities for Girls in Nine Schools for the Blind	23
III. Physical Education Activities for Boys in Eleven Schools for the Blind	24
IV. Average Score on Iowa Brace Test For Various Schools	26
V. Average Score for Various Schools in Track and Field Events	27
VI. Comparison of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Boys in Track and Field	30
VII. Comparison of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Girls in Track and Field	31
VIII. Comparison of Performances of Visually Handicapped Children in Running and Throwing	35
IX. Comparison of Performances of Visually Handicapped Children in Jumping and Running	36
X. Track and Field Performances of the Visually Handicapped Compared on the Elementary and Secondary School Levels	39
XI. Visually Handicapped Boys' Track and Field Performances Compared With Those of Girls Whose Vision is Defective	41
XII. Reliability of Track and Field Events for the Seeing and the Visually Handicapped	43
XIII. Correlation Between Trials in Track and Field Events for Visually Handicapped Children	45
XIV. Comparison of Iowa Brace Scores of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Boys	48
XV. Comparison of Iowa Brace Score of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Girls	49
XVI. Comparison of McCloy's and Buell's Percentages of Children Passing Certain Iowa Brace Tests	51
XVII. Per Cent of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Pupils Passing Certain Iowa Brace Test	55
XVIII. Percentages of Visually Handicapped pupils Passing Iowa Brace Tests--Two and Three Trials	59





Table	Page
XIX. Comparison of Blind and Partially Seeing Pupils in Track and Field	65
XX. Comparison of Brace Score of Blind and Partially Seeing Pupils	<del>67</del> 73
XXI. Per Cent of Blind and Partially Seeing Elementary Pupils Passing Certain Iowa Brace Tests	<del>69</del> 75
XXII. Per cent of Blind and Partially Seeing Secondary Schools Girls Passing Certain Iowa Brace Tests	<del>70</del> 76
XXIII. Per Cent of Visually Handicapped Children Who Are Overprotected	<del>73</del> 79
XXIV. Comparison of Overprotected Pupils With Balance of Sample in Track and Field Events	<del>76</del> 82
XXV. Iowa Brace Score/of Overprotected Visually Handicapped Children	<del>77</del> 84
XXVI. Comparison of Performances in Track and Field of Neglected Visually handicapped Boys and Boys With Defective Vision Whose Home Environment is Normal	<del>80</del> 86
XXVII. Comparison of Iowa Brace Scores of Neglected Visually Handicapped Pupils and Those Whose Home Environment is Normal	<del>81</del> 87
XXVIII. Relation of Performance in Track and Field Events to Time of Loss of Vision	<del>85</del> 91
XXIX. Comparison of Performance of Visually Handicapped Boys in Classes E and F--Girls in Classes D and E-- Revised N.A.A.S.B. Classification	88
XXX. Comparison of Classification Formulas For Seeing and Visually Handicapped Children	<del>96</del> 104
XXXI. Correlation Between Empirical Classification Plan for Children With Defective Vision and Best-Fit Indexes	<del>98</del> 106

#### CHARTS

Classification Chart for Partially Seeing Boys	<del>93</del> 99
Classification Chart for Blind Boys	<del>93</del> 100
Classification Chart for Partially Seeing Girls	<del>94</del> 101
Classification Chart for Blind Girls	<del>94</del> 102

#### FIGURES

Figure	
I. Showing Method of Smoothing Means--Partially Seeing Boys' 50 yard dash	<del>102</del> 111





## Chapter I

### Introduction

*The Problem*

THE PROBLEM. This study attempts to measure gross motor performance of blind and partially seeing children and compare it with the motor performance of seeing pupils in public schools. Much information is available on normal pupils but very little is known about the motor performance of the visually handicapped.

Children who cannot use their eyes for education in the regular classroom are severely handicapped. It is reasonable to assume that defective vision might affect motor performance. Only a few of the many possible relationships can be considered here. The amount of vision and the duration of visual handicap will receive attention along with certain conditions surrounding defective vision. Two of these conditions which seem to be important in motor performance are attitude of the parents toward the child and the physical education the visually handicapped receive in school and elsewhere. The relationships between programs of physical education and motor performance are so complex that only a few considerations of a general nature will be included in the study.

For competition in track and field events, a chart worked out for seeing pupils has been found useful in classifying visually handicapped children, but it is not known whether or not this is the most reliable method for the purpose. The present study evaluates the method and develops best-fit classification formulas for children with defective vision. Revised track and field achievement scales for the visually handicapped are also included.





*Justification*

JUSTIFICATION. If it is important to understand the motor performance of normal children, it is also essential that teachers and administrators know what may be reasonably expected of blind and partially seeing children in physical activities. There has been little scientific investigation in the field and information has not been available.

When educators understand the influence of visual handicaps on motor performance, more intelligent curriculums in physical education and vocational subjects can be planned for blind and partially seeing children.

DEFINITIONS. Performance is what the individual does at any one time. Standardized scores are used to represent performance on achievement scales. Ability and performance are identical only when the individual<sup>al</sup> expends maximum effort. Although motivation was not a problem in this study, it is reasonable to assume that performance rather than ability was measured in a certain percentage of cases.

The amount of vision is interpreted here in terms of usefulness rather than according to the Snellen chart or other similar devices. A child with enough vision to see sidewalks and follow them easily, was considered to have useful vision and was classified as partially seeing. In this study the word blind will be used to refer to children who have no useful vision.

SURVEY OF RELATED INFORMATION. Since many references on motor performance of pupils in public schools are available, only a few of the most pertinent will be mentioned here. Sargent's vertical jump<sup>(1)</sup> was one of the first motor tests widely used. Norms were available with the test when it appeared in 1921. It is still one of the best measures of explosive energy or power.

---

1. Sargent, D. A., "The Physical Test of a Man," AMERICAN PHYSICAL EDUCATION REVIEW, XXVI: 188, (April, 1921). *no info*





(2)

A few years later Rogers obtained a strength index with a reliability over .90 by measuring the following:

1. Lung capacity (spirometer)
2. Arm strength (pull-ups and push-ups. Modified for girls)
3. Grip strength (manuometer)
4. Leg lift and back lift (dynamometer)

When the strength index is divided by the predicted strength index for any given age and weight, a physical fitness index is obtained. The chief disadvantages of the test are that trained people and expensive equipment are required to administer it. In fact, the equipment is almost unavailable now. Since this is one of the more reliable tests in physical education, it has been rather widely used, particularly in the state of New York. Even the School for the Blind (Batavia) in this state uses the Rogers' test. However, this is the only school for the blind which has given this test much attention.

(3)

After administering the test for many years, Michael Goldberg found that his pupils were only a little below seeing children in strength and physical fitness.

(4)

Shortly after Rogers' work appeared, David K. Brace published his test of motor ability consisting of twenty stunts. The underlying philosophy of this test was rather similar to that of the more familiar intelligence tests. Each of the stunts which varied from easy to difficult was scored in terms of success or failure. Although it is still a good test of motor performance, certain disadvantages have been overcome in McCloy's revision.

(5)

In 1937 McCloy introduced the Iowa Brace Test composed of twenty-one stunts organized into six batteries of ten stunts each, including ten from the original

- 
2. Rogers, F. R., TESTS AND MEASUREMENTS PROGRAMS IN THE RE-DIRECTION OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION, (New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1927).

3. These data are unpublished. Information was obtained by a personal visit to the school in 1949.

4. Brace, D. K., MEASURING MOTOR ABILITY. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1927. (Out of print)

5. McCloy, C. H., "An Analytical Study of the Stunt Type Test as a Measure of Motor Educability," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, VIII, 3 : 46. (October, 1937).



(B)

A few years later Rogers obtained a strength index with a reliability

of .90 by measuring the following:

1. Grip strength (dynamometer)
2. Arm strength (pulling and pushing, holding the rifle)
3. Leg strength (dynamometer)
4. Leg lift and push (dynamometer)

Then the strength index is divided by the predicted strength index for any

given age and weight, a physical fitness index is obtained. The chief

disadvantage of the test and the physical fitness index is that

are reported to be satisfactory. In fact, the equipment is almost unavailable

now. Since this is one of the more reliable tests in physical education,

it has been widely used, particularly in the state of New York. Even

the school for the blind (Watkins) in this state uses the Rogers' test. However,

this is the only school for the blind which has given this test much attention.

(3)

After administering the test for many years, Michael Goldberg found that his

results were only a little below average children in strength and physical fitness.

(4)

Shortly after Rogers' work appeared, David R. Brace published his test

of motor ability consisting of twenty stunts. The underlying philosophy

of this test was rather similar to that of the more familiar intelligence

test. Each of the stunts which varied from easy to difficult was scored in

terms of success or failure. Although it is still a good test of motor

performance, certain disadvantages have been overcome in McGloy's revision.

(5)

In 1937 McGloy introduced the new Brace Test composed of twenty-one stunts

organized into six batteries of ten stunts each, including ten from the original

1. Rogers, V. B., TESTS AND MEASUREMENTS FOR PHYSICAL FITNESS IN THE RE-EDUCATION

OF THE BLIND, New York: Bureau of Rehabilitation,

Columbia University, 1937.

2. These data are unpublished. Information was obtained by a personal

visit to the school in 1949.

3. Brace, D. R., MEASURING MOTOR ABILITY, New York: E. S. Barnes Co., 1937.

(Out of print)

4. McGloy, G. H., "An Analytical Study of the Grant Type Test as a Measure

of Motor Ability," REHABILITATION, VIII, 3: 46, October, 1937.



Brace Test. Batteries of tests for each of the sexes were prepared for the upper elementary school, the junior high school, and the senior high school. Norms were obtained by giving the tests to 535 boys and 424 girls in grades 4 to 12 in the Des Moines Public Schools. In the present study the performance of the visually handicapped is compared with these norms for the seeing which were published in 1939.<sup>(6)</sup>

Some of the advantages of the Iowa revision over the original Brace Test are: (1) most of the stunts primarily dependent upon strength were eliminated; (2) norms for age and sex are included; (3) two trials instead of one are allowed; and (4) ten instead of twenty tests are administered. Since the revision is almost as reliable as the original test, the time saved in administering it is an important feature.

The development of gross motor coordination in boys and girls as measured by the Brace Test was studied by Espenschade.<sup>(7)</sup> Sex differences were found to be small before the age of 13.8. Scores for girls showed little change after the thirteenth year but the performance of boys continued to increase in quality. Differences between boys and girls are most marked in agility.

<sup>(8)</sup>  
A more recent study by Espenschade is even more pertinent to the present work because it is cross sectional rather than longitudinal in nature. Norms obtained from two trials on the Brace Tests are presented. This makes possible several comparisons between visually handicapped and seeing pupils.

- 
6. McCloy, C. H., TESTS AND MEASUREMENTS IN HEALTH AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, Inc., 1939. p. 78.
  7. Espenschade, Anna, MOTOR PERFORMANCE IN ADOLESCENCE. Monographs Society for Research in Child Development, Washington, D. C., Vol. 1, 1940.
  8. Espenschade, Anna, "Development of Motor Coordination in Boys and Girls," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, 18:1 (March, 1947). pp. 30-43.





Track and field skills have long been important in physical education programs. Between 1934 and 1937 a number of achievement scales were prepared to evaluate performance of various groups in track and field. The scales of primary interest here are those (9) (10, 11) for boys and girls in elementary, junior and senior high school. Pupils are classified into several groups on the basis of age, height and weight. The mean for each class is placed at 50, and the 0 and 100 points are three standard deviations from the mean. Norms for seeing children are compared with the performance of the visually handicapped tested in the present work.

A hundred years ago Samuel Gridley Howe, a pioneer in the education of the blind in this country, believed that the physical fitness of the blind was below that of children with normal vision. As director of Perkins Institution for the Blind, he wrote in one (12) of his early reports, "The blind are more liable to disease and early death than seeing pupils; partly because there are many cases where blindness is the partial effect of some general cause, which occasions constitutional infirmity, partly because in early life they do not take exercise enough to develop the force of the system; and partly from habits and indolence, physical and mental, acquired in later life." If more attention were paid to the health of the visually handicapped, Howe believed that the mortality of the blind would not differ much from that of the seeing.

- 
9. Neilson, N. P., and Cozens, F. W., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR BOYS AND GIRLS IN ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL. Sacramento: California State Department of Education, 1934.
  10. Cozens, F. W., et al., PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT SCALES FOR BOYS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1936.
  11. Cozens, F. W., et al., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR SECONDARY SCHOOL GIRLS AND COLLEGE WOMEN. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1937.
  12. PERKINS REPORT, 1837. p. 3.





(13)

Shortly before the Civil War, Dr. Howe collected information from seven schools showing that blind students had 10 per cent less power to resist disease.

Another early educator who realized the importance of physical education for the blind was Dr. Campbell,\* director of the Royal Normal College and Academy of Music for the Blind, London. The gymnasium of the Normal College was considered one of the most complete in all England. Although blind, Dr. Campbell was a leader in the playground movement which spread to the United States about 1906. In 1897 this pioneer wrote, (14)

"The education of the blind whether literary, musical, or technical will not be crowned with practical success unless based upon a thorough system of physical education."

(15)

Previous to Buell's work, ~~only one~~ <sup>Few</sup> attempts had been made to express exactly the difference in physical performance and skill of ~~the~~ blind and seeing children. About fifty years ago, one of Sargent's early tests was used at the Philadelphia School for the Blind over a period of years. After the pupils had exercised for long periods, Sargent's tables for height, weight, and lung capacity were used to reveal improvement in bodily health and strength. In 1897 the school reported that blind boys were 25 per cent and girls 38 per cent below normal. Unlike Sargent's vertical jump, this was not a reliable test and was discontinued. (16)

- 
- 13. PERKINS REPORT, 1860. pp. 13-15.
  - 14. ROYAL NORMAL COLLEGE REPORT, 1897. p. 17.
  - 15. Buell, C. E., "Physical Fitness Testing in a School for the Blind," OUTLOOK FOR THE BLIND, December, 1945. pp. 280-282.
  - 16. OVERBROOK REPORT, 1897. p. 23.

\* Later Sir Francis Campbell. He was an American trained in an American school for the blind.





(17)

In 1944 Buell introduced the Navy Physical Fitness Test in his work at the Michigan School for the Blind. This test consists of pull-ups, push-ups, sit-ups, squat-jumps, and squat-thrusts. The performance of the Michigan blind boys was compared with similar records of boys in two large mid-western high schools. The blind made a creditable showing but were a little below normal in endurance and shoulder strength. After training for a period of thirty-two weeks, the visually handicapped boys had increased their strength and endurance as much as normal boys do in a similar period of time in their physical education classes.

Since it is unlikely that one school is representative of a group of more than sixty schools for the blind, Buell extended his study to include seventeen other states. After testing 650 boys in twenty special schools, Buell found that the physical fitness of the blind was a little below that of the seeing. The lowest mean score was in the squat-jump test rather than in pull-ups as reported for the Michigan group. This is the largest cross-sectional study available in the field of physical education for visually handicapped boys. Lack of leg strength seems to be a real weakness of children in schools for the blind. Unfortunately, only means were published and no further statistical treatment was presented.

(18)

Buell made two other interesting observations in this study, but no data were presented to verify them. First, the presence

- 
- 17. Buell, C. E., ~~op. cit.~~ op. cit., pp. 280-282.
  - 18. Buell, C. E., SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1947. p. 177.
  - 19. Ibid., p. 177.





or absence of vision showed no consistent relationship to performance in the Navy Physical Fitness Test. In other words, the blind performed as well as did the partially seeing boys. Second, the only records that consistently deviated from the normal in the Navy Test were made by boys from homes with overprotective parents. The present study presents a more scientific approach to these problems.

(20)

The writer also compared the performance of the blind with that of the seeing in track and field skills. The records for the blind were obtained from a source which is not entirely representative of all blind children, namely from the National Athletic Association of Schools for the Blind. According~~ly~~ to the present study, these data made the blind appear to achieve more than they actually do in running, jumping, and throwing for distance. Results obtained from the present study indicate that the records of the N.A.A.S.B. are above average and do not accurately represent the motor performance of blind children. One or more of the following statements may explain why these records are above average. Schools with poor physical education programs usually do not enter any interscholastic competition including the National Track Meet. Students who would lower the school's average are sometimes excused. Some schools have been accused of padding their records which is possible in this type of track meet. The writer believes this is possible, but not very common.

---

20. Buell, C. E., SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1947. p. 179.





The present study shows beyond doubt that certain track and field achievement scales presented in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND do not accurately represent the performance of visually handicapped children. Most of the earlier work is confirmed by the present more comprehensive study. A different group, large and quite representative of children in schools for the blind, was tested by the writer in the present work. Another feature of this study is the addition of a stunt type test to measure motor performance. This replaces the physical fitness test used in the earlier work.

Most of the other references on the physical education of the visually handicapped are magazine articles based on opinion and emotionalism rather than on an objective approach. Some of the more important references will be found annotated in the bibliography of this study.

Although it is not concerned with physical education, another work should be mentioned here because it is more or less pertinent to the immediate topic. It deals with tests that measure fine motor acts. The best work in aptitude tests for the blind has been done by Bauman who has used the Pennsylvania Bi-Manual and the Minnesota Rate of Manipulation Tests in her work at the Trainee Acceptance Center in Philadelphia. Bauman found that the norms for the seeing could be used, if the blind were given two or three extra trials.

- 
24. Bauman, Mary K., "Studies in the Application of Motor Skills to the Vocational Adjustment of the Blind," JOURNAL OF APPLIED PSYCHOLOGY, Vol. 30, 1946. No. 2, pp. 144-154.





Assuming that the blind can achieve normal performance in fine motor acts by a little extra practice, the same tendency might exist in skills involving large muscle groups. With this in mind, the visually handicapped children participating in the present study were given a third trial in the Iowa Brace Tests. Brief consideration is given to the results obtained.

In the field of testing fine motor performance, the New York Institute for the Blind, 999 Pelham Parkway, New York City, is doing some aptitude testing and has published two pamphlets on the subject. However, norms have not been established, so the scores are not of much value for vocational guidance. The school promises to make norms available soon.





## Chapter II

### The Method and the Sample

INTRODUCTION. This is a normative-survey study with some consideration given to causal relations. A battery of tests involving gross motor performance was given to a large representative group of blind and partially seeing children. Mean performances of the visually handicapped are compared with norms established for seeing children by McCloy, Espenschade, Neilson, and Cozens. Performances of various parts of the larger group are compared by obtaining means, standard deviations, probable errors, and significant differences between means. Attention is given to the relationships between performance and the amount of vision, the length of visual handicap, and the attitude of parents toward their children whose vision is defective.

In the United States there are approximately 6,000 blind and partially seeing children attending the sixty residential schools for the blind and the Braille classes in twenty-five cities. Since these schools are located hundreds of miles apart, it is difficult to learn what others in the field are doing. Because of this obstacle, research

- 
1. McCloy, C. H., TESTS AND MEASUREMENTS IN HEALTH AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, Inc., 1942.
  2. Espenschade, Anna, "Development of Motor Coordination in Boys and Girls," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, 18:1 (March, 1947). pp. 30-43.
  3. Neilson, N. P., and Cozens, F. W., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR BOYS AND GIRLS IN ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1939.
  4. Cozens, F. W., et al., PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT SCALES FOR BOYS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1936.
  5. Cozens, F. W., et al., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR SECONDARY SCHOOL GIRLS AND COLLEGE WOMEN. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1937.





in the education of the visually handicapped has been limited.

The writer spent six weeks gathering the data for the present study. He tested 865 pupils in twelve residential schools, and eight Braille classes in two large cities. All the testing and scoring <sup>were</sup> ~~was~~ done by the investigator. This eliminated an important weakness found in most survey studies of the visually handicapped. In studies conducted by mail the quality of judging and leniency of scoring may vary from school to school.

SELECTION OF TESTS. From a survey of the literature (Chapter I), it is obvious that no one test provides an adequate measure of motor performance nor has any test battery demonstrated general superiority. It is assumed that the only way to obtain a significant measure of motor performance is to employ a variety of measures.

Track and field events with a reliability coefficients of more than .80 offer a useful approach to testing gross motor performance. (6)  
McCloy has shown that it matters little which specific events are used as long as they are well balanced among a run, a broad jump, a high jump, and a weight throw. The high jump is the least important of the four.

(7)

After using a battery of motor tests, Espenschade reported that the most reliable were the distance throw, standing broad jump, and the Brace Test. The study also revealed that the Brace Test correlated more highly with fine motor performance than did track and field events. Apparently the stunt type test measures important factors in motor performance not evaluated by other events.

- 
- ✓ 6. McCloy, C. H., THE MEASUREMENT OF ATHLETIC POWER. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1932.  
7. Espenschade, Anna, MOTOR PERFORMANCE IN ADOLESCENCE. Monographs Society for Research in Child Development, Washington, D. C., Vol. I, 1940. p. 20.






Some of the more important factors in motor performance are endurance, strength, coordination, speed, agility, and body control. By sampling most of these abilities, the present study attempts to obtain a significant measure of gross motor performance. Consideration must go beyond objectivity of tests to considerations of time, space and equipment. The tests must be interesting and applicable to both sexes over a wide age range. To test the blind and partially seeing, events must be chosen which will fairly measure their performance.

The testing program administered by the investigator included:

- a. 50 yard dash (Speed of legs)
- b. Standing broad jump (Leg strength and flexibility)
- c. Basketball throw for distance (Arm and shoulder-girdle coordination)
- d. Iowa Brace Test (Coordination, agility, and control of body)

The Iowa Brace Test was selected rather than the Brace Test, because it offers some practical advantages in administration. The greatest advantage of the Iowa revision over the original test is that ten instead of twenty tests are administered. Since little is lost in objectivity, the time saved in administering the shorter test is an important feature. The Iowa Brace Test is considered by most physical educators to be almost as reliable a measure of motor performance as the Brace Test.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE IOWA BRACE TEST. The Iowa Brace Test includes six batteries of ten stunts each. Batteries of tests have been prepared for each of the two sexes for the upper elementary school, the junior high school, and the senior high school. A full description of the Iowa Brace Test will be found in Appendix D. 





One modification in administering the Iowa Brace Tests was introduced. After the usual two trials had been given, a third trial was scored separately. In one further trial it was hoped to determine whether or not the visually handicapped could attain the norms of the seeing. The tests were given to groups of not more than eight pupils at a time. In cases where the explanation alone was not sufficient, the investigator placed the pupil's limbs in the proper position. In some cases the writer allowed the blind to feel the position of his body. Using these methods, eight to ten pupils were tested within a forty minute period.

The teachers at each school had aroused the pupils' interest shortly before the investigator arrived to give the tests. The children found it interesting to be excused from school to perform for a teacher from another school for the blind. Thus, motivation was probably fairly constant from pupil to pupil and from school to school. The lack of motivation was not a serious problem in the testing program.

TRACK AND FIELD EVENTS. Two trials were given to each pupil in the 50 yard dash. Only one child performed at a time. Guide wires were available for about three-fifths of the pupils. Although the other blind boys and girls ran without wires, they were not at a serious disadvantage, because the instructor blew a whistle at the finish line. It has never been clearly shown that guide wires are essential for a blind child to attain his maximum speed in running. In fact, some blind runners prefer to perform without the wire because they can obtain more speed. When blind children race





with others, guide wires are an asset because they keep the runner in his lane, avoiding accidents.

Three trials were given each pupil in the standing broad jump and the basketball throw for distance. The distance jumped was measured from the take-off board to the nearest point on the ground touched by any part of the body. Distances were measured to the nearest inch. The basketball throw was measured to the nearest foot. In these events the usual procedures were followed, including loss of trials for fouls.

The testing program was carried on under a variety of conditions. Some pupils had the opportunity to perform under better conditions than did others. Every effort was made to equalize conditions as far as possible. All pupils were given a reasonable opportunity to show what they could do. This included the pupils in the Braille classes in the public schools where conditions were not exactly ideal.

The administrator of each school assigned a member of his staff to give the writer limited assistance. Most of this service consisted of making pupils available at the proper time and place and dressed for the occasion.

Pupils with severe handicaps in addition to blindness were excused from the tests. Those who were crippled or who had weak hearts formed the majority of cases in this group. Some schools excused a larger percentage of pupils than did others, but no control could be exercised over this practice. In the great majority of schools, at least 90 per cent of the boys and girls over ten years of age took part in the testing program.





BACKGROUND INFORMATION. Information concerning the height, weight, and age of pupils was obtained from school records. A few subjects were weighed and measured at the time of the tests, and this procedure was followed for all of the boys in the Colorado School for the Blind. The presence or absence of useful vision was determined by questioning the pupils at the time they took the tests. This procedure was also used to obtain the information on length of visual handicap. During the questioning of students, a member of the school staff was usually present in an attempt to check the accuracy of pupils' answers. For purposes of the present study, the information obtained in this manner had sufficient accuracy. The procedure of questioning pupils, rather than consulting school records, saved much valuable time and little was lost in reliability. In many cases the school records lacked the desired information, particularly the length of the visual handicap. Therefore, it was necessary to sometimes question pupils for further information. For example, a pupil listed as a "light perception" case was <sup>in the best</sup> ~~the only one in a~~ position to know whether or not his limited vision was useful to him.

Members of the staff were asked to give information concerning the attitude of parents toward their visually handicapped children. Some of them were qualified to do this because they had talked with parents who visited the school. The reading of letters to pupils by staff members, a common practice in residential schools for the blind, contributed to understanding parental attitudes. Similar information can be obtained by observing pupils perform in the dining room and dormitory. Usually at least one member





of the school staff has some information about a child's home life. Since Perkins Institution and the Michigan School for the Blind employ social workers, about 25 per cent of the children were rated by very competent personnel.

In all of the schools the investigator conferred with house-parents, teachers, superintendents, or social workers regarding the pupils taking the tests. In these conferences many cases of neglected children were mentioned. Therefore, some consideration is given to them in this study. However, the primary aim of these conferences was to discover cases of overprotection.

Authorities in Kentucky and West Virginia had little information on some of their pupils because they came from isolated mountain communities. At these schools the writer asked the pupils two questions and then rated them as protective or non-protective cases. First, "To your parents permit you to go alone to the store or to your friend's home?" Second, "What are you going to do at home this summer?"

These are rather crude methods for discovering overprotected children. However, the results of the present study show that the performances of the pupils thus singled out differed significantly from those of the rest of the group.

The nature of the physical education programs in the schools was revealed by a questionnaire prepared for the purpose. During an interview with the physical education teachers of each school, the investigator filled in the questionnaire (Appendix A).





THE SAMPLE. In a study of this type it is important to describe fully the source of data. There are some good reasons for this. (1) The schools are very widely scattered. (2) The physical training of the visually handicapped varies a great deal from school to school. (3) The public is not acquainted with blindness and the factors surrounding it.

In the spring of 1949 the writer sent an outline of the present study to most of the schools and special classes for the blind. A request for permission to visit the school and give the tests was made. A favorable reply was received from twenty schools. From his previous experience in the education of the blind, the writer was able to prepare an itinerary which would include visits to fourteen schools which appeared to be representative of the entire group of sixty. Unfortunately, only one school for the Negro blind could be included, and it is not representative of the fifteen segregated schools in this group. The Alabama School for the Negro Blind has never offered physical education in its curriculum. (8) (9) Two-thirds of the schools for the Negro blind offer organized programs of physical activities. However, these programs are much more restricted than the physical education found in schools for white blind pupils.

Besides the Alabama School for the Negro Blind, other residential schools which took part in the study are Alabama, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Kentucky, Michigan, New York (Batavia), Perkins, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia.

7 8. This school will have a physical education program beginning in the fall of 1949.

8 9. Buell, Charles E., THE EDUCATION OF THE NEGRO BLIND IN THE UNITED STATES. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1945. p. 45. (Published Master's thesis)





Four Braille classes in Detroit and four in New York City were visited and ~~their results~~ were combined into two groups. This investigation is one of the few studies that gives representation to the pupils in the Braille classes of the public schools and the Negro blind children. Of the 6,000 visually handicapped children attending special schools, approximately 600 are Negroes and about 600 attend Braille classes in public schools.

A total of eight hundred and sixty-five children (519 boys and 346 girls) was tested in May and June of 1949. The pupils ranged in age from ten to twenty years. The age distribution is listed in Table I.

Table I

## Number of Visually Handicapped Pupils at Each Age

Age	Number of Boys	Number of Girls
10	47	36
11	56	36
12	54	38
13	66	40
14	57	35
15	58	37
16	49	25
17	46	35
18	28	26
19	31	20
20	27	18
<i>Total</i>	<i>519</i>	<i>346</i>

It is evident that the pupils taking part in the testing program were fairly distributed over the age range 10-20 years. The older pupils in a group taking a battery of Iowa Brace Tests usually score somewhat higher, because they pass one or two more stunts. If the majority of the visually handicapped students





had fallen at one end of the age distribution, it would have been difficult to interpret results of the tests in terms of the norms established for seeing children. These norms are based on performances of children whose ages are evenly distributed over a range of three or four years.

Due to the education<sup>al</sup> lag of blind children, age rather than academic grade was used to classify the pupils into elementary, junior high, and senior high groups for the Iowa Brace Test. Pupils were classified thus:

Elementary---ages 10, 11, and 12  
 Junior high---ages 13, 14, and 15  
 High school--all over 16

About 78 per cent (4,700) of the population of 6,000 children in schools for the blind is above ten years of age. Of these 4,700 pupils over ten years of age, 865 (13 per cent) participated in the present study. Thus, more than one-sixth of the entire population was tested.

Blind pupils comprise 36 per cent of the entire group of children in the study. Other investigators have found about the same proportion of blind pupils in schools for the blind. In this respect, the sample of the present study appears to be representative of the entire population. Classified as overprotective, were 27 per cent of the blind and 11 per cent of the partially seeing. One-fourth of the blind lost their vision after six years of age.





It was found that 87 per cent of the pupils had been in special classes for at least three years. A total of 53 per cent had attended for at least six years, and 26 per cent had been present for nine years or more. Most of the pupils participating in the study had been in the environment of special education for several years.

The sample used in this study was selected carefully and appears to represent the total population in schools for the blind, but one cannot be certain that this is the case.





### Chapter III

#### Physical Education Programs for the Visually Handicapped and Their Influence Upon Motor Performance

The information for the survey of the physical education programs offered by the schools participating in the present study was obtained from interviews with the teachers. The programs are presented here for two reasons: first, to show that the sampling is representative of all schools for the blind<sup>(1)</sup> (This can be checked by referring to Buell's comprehensive work in this field);<sup>s</sup> Second, to determine what general relationships might exist between training and motor performance.

For various reasons, some schools do not have full representation in Tables II and III. There is no organized physical education in the New York and Detroit Braille classes. The lack of a physical education program in the Alabama School for the Negro Blind has already been referred to. The girls in West Virginia do not have physical education. In Colorado the girls did not take part in the study because they were away at a week-end camp during the writer's visit to Colorado Springs.

In Tables II and III the amount of time spent on physical education activities is shown. Physical educators usually devote more time to those activities which they believe are most valuable. Each school was asked to name five or six activities that were considered to be the most important for each age group. These activities are marked "X" in the tables. Other activities which were offered are indicated by "O."

---

1. Buell, C. E., SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1947. pp. 38-46.





Table II

## Girls' Physical Education

		Minutes per week	Games, relays	Tumbling	Folk dancing	Calisthenics	Corrective	Apparatus	Marching tactics	Track and field	Softball	Swimming	Bowling
Elementary	Alabama	300	O			X	O				O		
	California	90	X		O	X	O			O		O	
	Connecticut	120	X	O		X	O	X		O			O
	Kentucky	220	X			X		X	X	X			
	Michigan	150	X	X	X	X							
	Batavia, N.Y.	120	X	O	X	X	X	O	X	X	O	O	
	Perkins	90	X	X			O	X			X		
	Virginia	300	X	X	O	O	X			O		X	O
	Washington	120	X				O		O				
Junior High	Alabama	300	O			X	O				X		
	California	90	X	O		X				O		O	
	Connecticut	160	O	O		X	O	X	O	O			O
	Kentucky	220	X			X		O	X	X			
	Michigan	150	X	X	X		O	X		O		O	
	Batavia, N.Y.	120	X	O	X	O	O	X	O	X	O	X	
	Perkins	120	X	X	X	O		O			X	X	O
	Virginia	300	X	X	O	O	O			X		X	O
	Washington	120	X				O	O					
High School	Alabama	300	O			X	O				X		
	California	90	X	O		X				O		O	
	Connecticut	160	O	O		X	O	X	O	O			O
	Kentucky	220	X			X		O	X	X			
	Michigan	225	O	X	X		O	X		X	O	O	O
	Batavia, N. Y.	120	X	O	X	O	O	X	O	X	O	X	
	Perkins	120	O	X	X	X	O	O		O	X	X	O
	Virginia	300	X	X	O	O	O			X		X	
	Washington	120	X				O		O				



Physical Properties

Sample	No.	Weight (g)	Volume (ml)	Density (g/ml)	Boiling Point (°C)	Melting Point (°C)	Refractive Index (D <sub>20</sub> <sup>20</sup> )	Viscosity (cP)	Surface Tension (dyne/cm)	Flash Point (°C)	Fire Point (°C)	Autoignition Temp (°C)	Explosion Limits (vol %)	Solubility	Stability	Notes
1	1	10.0	10.0	1.00	100	0	1.33	1.0	20	40	50	250	2.5-7.5	Soluble	Stable	Sample 1
2	2	10.0	10.0	1.00	100	0	1.33	1.0	20	40	50	250	2.5-7.5	Soluble	Stable	Sample 2
3	3	10.0	10.0	1.00	100	0	1.33	1.0	20	40	50	250	2.5-7.5	Soluble	Stable	Sample 3
4	4	10.0	10.0	1.00	100	0	1.33	1.0	20	40	50	250	2.5-7.5	Soluble	Stable	Sample 4
5	5	10.0	10.0	1.00	100	0	1.33	1.0	20	40	50	250	2.5-7.5	Soluble	Stable	Sample 5
6	6	10.0	10.0	1.00	100	0	1.33	1.0	20	40	50	250	2.5-7.5	Soluble	Stable	Sample 6
7	7	10.0	10.0	1.00	100	0	1.33	1.0	20	40	50	250	2.5-7.5	Soluble	Stable	Sample 7
8	8	10.0	10.0	1.00	100	0	1.33	1.0	20	40	50	250	2.5-7.5	Soluble	Stable	Sample 8
9	9	10.0	10.0	1.00	100	0	1.33	1.0	20	40	50	250	2.5-7.5	Soluble	Stable	Sample 9
10	10	10.0	10.0	1.00	100	0	1.33	1.0	20	40	50	250	2.5-7.5	Soluble	Stable	Sample 10

Table III

## Boys' Physical Education

		minutes per week	Games, relays	Tumbling	Calisthenics	Corrective	Apparatus	Marching tactics	Track and field	Softball	Football	Wrestling	Swimming	Bowling
Elementary	Alabama	300	C		X	O		X	O	X		C		
	California	180	X	C	X				X	X	O	C	X	
	Colorado	60		C	X			X	C					
	Connecticut	120	X	C	X	C	X	C	X	C	O	X	O	
	Kentucky	250	X	C	X	C		X	X			X		
	Michigan	150	X	X	X	O	X	X	O	O	O	C	O	
	Batavia, N.Y.	120	X	C	X	C	O	X	X	C		C	X	
	Perkins	90	X	C		O	X			X				
	Virginia	150	X	X	X	C	O	C	X	C			X	C
	Washington	120	X	O		O			X	X	C	C		
	W. Virginia	150	X	O	X	C	O		X	C	O	C		C
Junior High	Alabama	300	O		X	C		X	X	X	X			
	California	225	X	C	X				X	X	C	X	X	
	Colorado	60	O	X	X		X	X	C					
	Connecticut	200	C	O	X	O	X	O	X	O	C	X	C	C
	Kentucky	300		X	X	C		X	X					
	Michigan	150	X	X		C	X	C	C	X		O	O	
	Batavia, N. Y.	120	X	O	C	O	X	O	X	O		C	X	
	Perkins	120	X	C	C	C	C		X	X	C	X	X	O
	Virginia	250	X	X	O	C	O	O	X	C	O	X	X	O
	Washington	120	X	O		O			C	X	O	X		
	W. Virginia	225	X	C	O	O			X	C	O	X		O
High school	Alabama	300	C		X	C		X	C	X	X			
	California	250	C	C	X				X	X	C	X	X	
	Colorado	60		X	X	O	X	X	C		C			
	Connecticut	220	C	C	X	C	X		X	C	C	X	C	C
	Kentucky	300		X	X	C		X	X			X		
	Michigan	250	C	X	X	O	X		C	C	X	X		
	Batavia, N. Y.	120	X	C	C	C	X	O	X	O		C	X	
	Perkins	120	O	O	O	C			X	X	X	X	X	O
	Virginia	250	X	X	O	C	O		X	C	C	X	X	C
	Washington	120	X	O		C		C	C	X		X		
	W. Virginia	225	X	C	X	C	O		X	C	C	X		C





# EFFECT OF TRAINING IN PHYSICAL ACTIVITIES UPON MOTOR PERFORMANCE.

Several factors besides training in physical activities are important in motor performance. Some of these are age, height, weight, and general maturation. In another part of this study, evidence is presented which shows that a significant relationship exists between motor performance and each of three factors--amount of vision, duration of visual handicap, and attitude of parents toward their children with defective vision.

The average scores for the various schools in the Iowa Brace Test and the track and field events are shown in Tables IV and V. The scores in Table V were obtained from achievement scales in track and field events prepared for the visually handicapped by Buell.<sup>(2)</sup> These achievement scales are rather similar in form to those of Neilson and Cozens which were briefly described in Chapter I.

The data in Table IV and V are primarily descriptive in nature. In general, they show how well the pupils in each school performed. However, a few observations can be made. All schools with the highest scores have organized programs of physical education under experienced teachers. On the other hand, the schools without physical education in the curriculum fall below the average in most events. Most of the difference exists at the junior and senior high school levels where pupils' training, or lack of it, has accumulated over a period of several years.

---

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

2. Buell, C. E., op. cit., pp. 195-219.





TABLE IN

Average Scores on Iowa Brace Test for  
Various Schools

	Elementary		Junior High		High School	
	No. of	Mean	No. of	Mean	No. of	Mean
	boys	Score	boys	Score	boys	Score
Alabama (C)	5	12.4	9	12.2	11	9.6
Alabama (W)	16	11.0	14	11.4	17	14.6
California	23	10.8	21	13.9	17	13.2
Colorado	7	9.4	13	13.8	9	13.9
Connecticut	2	8.0	6	13.8	7	10.1
Detroit	3	10.7	5	13.8	4	7.8
Kentucky	16	9.1	14	12.4	12	13.1
Michigan	22	12.1	20	15.3	23	14.3
Batavia, N. Y.	8	14.1	13	13.0	24	12.3
New York City	5	11.8	8	12.4	1	14.0
Perkins	18	9.2	22	12.8	21	12.5
Virginia	21	9.9	17	12.3	16	13.3
Washington	2	12.5	10	14.1	4	15.8
W. Virginia	9	9.4	9	11.9	15	12.5
Means		10.57		13.2		12.8

	Elementary		Junior High		High School	
	No. of	Mean	No. of	Mean	No. of	Mean
	girls	score	girls	score	girls	score
Alabama (C)	7	7.0	6	9.7	6	8.2
Alabama (W)	8	12.9	13	13.4	16	11.1
California	8	7.1	13	9.5	9	7.8
Connecticut	1	12.0	3	9.3	5	10.6
Detroit	2	15.0	3	12.7	2	12.5
Kentucky	8	7.0	10	10.3	11	7.2
Michigan	20	8.1	8	10.9	20	10.0
Batavia, N.Y.	8	8.6	13	10.4	15	9.0
New York City	11	10.1	5	9.6	0	
Perkins	15	9.1	16	10.3	21	9.0
Virginia	9	8.2	11	10.2	7	10.3
Washington	2	11.5	6	14.3	5	11.4
W. Virginia	11	6.1	5	9.6	7	7.4
Means		8.63		10.4		9.35

type long way - do not indicate name column



TABLE IV

Average Scores on Iowa Brace Test for  
Various Schools

	Elementary	Junior High	High School
	No. of Mean	No. of Mean	No. of Mean
	Boys	Boys	Boys
	Score	Score	Score
Alabama (C)	5	12.4	11
Alabama (W)	16	11.0	17
California	23	10.8	17
Colorado	7	9.4	9
Connecticut	2	8.0	7
Detroit	3	10.7	4
Kentucky	12	9.1	12
Nichols	22	12.1	22
Estavis, N. Y.	8	14.1	24
New York City	5	11.8	1
Penns	12	9.2	21
Virginia	21	9.9	16
Washington	2	12.3	4
W. Virginia	9	9.4	12
Means	10.57	12.2	12.8

	Elementary	Junior High	High School
	No. of Mean	No. of Mean	No. of Mean
	Girls	Girls	Girls
	Score	Score	Score
Alabama (C)	7	9.7	6
Alabama (W)	8	12.4	12
California	8	9.5	9
Connecticut	1	9.3	2
Detroit	2	12.7	2
Kentucky	3	10.3	11
Nichols	20	10.9	20
Estavis, N. Y.	8	10.4	12
New York City	11	9.6	0
Penns	15	10.3	21
Virginia	9	10.2	7
Washington	2	14.3	2
W. Virginia	11	9.6	7
Means	9.63	10.4	9.35

# Average Scores for Various Schools performed in Track and Field Events

\*\* El--Elementary  
JH--Junior high  
HS--High school

## BOYS

	DASH			THROW			JUMP		
	El*	JH*	HS*	El	JH	HS	El	JH	HS
Alabama (C)	37	38	37	40	35	37	25	28	23
Alabama	44	59	59	36	44	41	19	36	39
California	54	66	56	43	58	45	59	59	52
Colorado	44	45	50	44	50	41	33	31	39
Connecticut	40*	48	54	36*	38	20	39*	43	48
Detroit	14*	31	53	10*	28	12	16*	38	20
Kentucky	15	53	54	27	45	39	29	59	53
Michigan	19	47	48	37	46	44	19	34	40
Batavia, N.Y.	25	52	51	36	50	44	46	54	47
New York City	40	47	22*	46	34	40*	39	17	10*
Perkins	18	30	49	35	41	47	30	39	47
Virginia	21	50	56	32	31	34	26	39	40
Washington	38*	45	41	41*	59	43	28*	43	42
W. Virginia	33	45	46	41	40	34	47	40	48
Means	31	48	49	36	45	42	33	43	44

## GIRLS

	DASH			THROW			JUMP		
	El	JH	HS	El	JH	HS	El	JH	HS
Alabama (C)	39	32	38	34	32	22	40	24	41
Alabama	46	48	51	37	33	35	61	41	55
California	40	45	36	42	44	30	56	52	41
Connecticut	28*	51*	47	35*	37*	44	65*	22*	52
Detroit	47*	53*	60*	50*	32*	20*	70*	58*	20*
Kentucky	42	65	43	33	28	24	74	66	46
Michigan	27	30	28	36	29	26	33	45	35
Batavia, N. Y.	32	33	48	41	35	36	69	48	57
New York City	34	29		40	28		26	18	
Perkins	18	31	45	34	34	29	30	37	52
Virginia	33	26	36	33	30	32	50	34	49
Washington	30*	33	36	40*	40	34	62*	58	48
W. Virginia	5	21	41	37	30	24	18	20	38
Means	32	39	42	34	35	31	41	50	45

\* -- Less than five boys or four girls in group

see note for Table I



Average Scores for Various Schools  
in Track and Field Events

HS--High school  
JH--Junior high  
EJ--Elementary

BOYS

	DASH			THROW			JUMP		
	EJ	JH	HS	EJ	JH	HS	EJ	JH	HS
Alabama (C)	37	38	37	40	35	37	25	28	23
Alabama	44	29	29	36	44	41	19	36	39
California	24	66	26	43	28	42	29	29	22
Colorado	44	42	20	44	20	41	33	31	29
Connecticut	40*	48	24	36*	38	20	39*	43	48
Detroit	14*	31	23	10*	28	12	16*	38	20
Kentucky	12	23	24	27	42	39	29	29	23
Michigan	19	47	48	37	43	44	19	34	40
Batavia, N.Y.	22	21	21	36	20	44	46	24	47
New York City	40	47	22*	46	24	40*	39	17	10*
Perkins	18	30	49	32	41	47	30	39	47
Virginia	21	20	26	32	31	34	26	39	40
Washington	38*	42	41	41*	29	43	28*	43	42
W. Virginia	33	42	46	41	40	34	47	40	48
Means	31	48	49	36	42	42	33	43	44

GIRLS

	DASH			THROW			JUMP		
	EJ	JH	HS	EJ	JH	HS	EJ	JH	HS
Alabama (C)	39	32	38	34	32	22	40	24	47
Alabama	46	48	21	37	32	32	61	41	22
California	40	42	36	42	44	30	26	22	41
Connecticut	26*	21*	47	32*	37*	44	62*	22*	22
Detroit	47*	23*	60*	30*	32*	20*	70*	28*	20*
Kentucky	42	62	43	33	28	24	74	66	46
Michigan	27	30	28	36	29	22	23	42	32
Batavia, N.Y.	32	33	48	41	32	36	69	48	27
New York City	24	29	29	40	28		26	18	
Perkins	18	21	42	34	29		30	37	22
Virginia	33	26	36	33	30	32	20	34	49
Washington	20*	33	36	40*	40	34	62*	28	48
W. Virginia	2	21	41	37	30	24	18	20	38
Means	32	39	42	34	32	31	41	20	42

\* -- Less than five boys or four girls in group

There seems to be some relationship between training and performance in running and jumping, but this does not exist for throwing. Although Alabama, Michigan, Colorado, and Washington have organized programs of physical education, they do not feature track and field events. In comparison with the entire group of high school boys, 49 boys in these schools scored lower in the jump and the dash, but the mean score for both groups was 42 in the throw. The difference between mean scores of the two groups was five points (44, 49) in the dash and eight points (36, 44) in the jump. While the difference between the means in the dash is not significant, it attains the .05 level of significance in the jump.

There appears to be little relationship between training in tumbling and scores on the Iowa Brace Test. Four schools featuring tumbling are Virginia, Michigan, Kentucky, and Colorado. The mean score on the Iowa Brace test for 64 junior high boys in these schools was 13.5 as compared with a mean score of 13.2 listed in Table IV for the entire group. On the high school level, the difference in mean scores is only a little greater. The entire group scored 12.8 while 60 boys in schools featuring tumbling scored 13.7.

In Chapter IV the visually handicapped are scored on track and field achievement scales for seeing children. On these scales scores are equivalent for younger and older children because age, weight, and height are held constant. It is just as difficult for one group to achieve a certain score as it is for the other. The mean scores of older children in schools for the blind more nearly approach the norms for the seeing than do the mean scores of younger visually handicapped children. It would appear that physical education in schools for the blind is partly responsible for this change in mean scores on achievement scales.





## Chapter IV

### Comparison of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Pupils in Track and Field

BASIS OF COMPARISON. The achievement scales constructed for seeing boys and girls can be used to compare performances of visually handicapped children with those of normal pupils. These scales have been generally accepted by physical educators as valid measures of performance in track and field. Before achievement scales can be used, pupils must be classified according to age, height and weight. This is done by using a classification chart listing exponents for these three factors. For example, a sum of exponents from 10 to 14 places a child in Class B in one system of classifying boys and girls. On achievement scales the mean for each class is 50, and 0 and 100 are three standard deviations from the middle of the scale. The scores of various classes are equivalent. For example, a score of 43 in the basketball throw is just as difficult to achieve in Class A as it is in Class E. The score in one event is considered to be equivalent to that in another. In other words, the possibility of scoring 75 points is the same for the standing broad jump and for the 50 yard dash; also scale scores for the boys and the girls, and for the elementary and secondary levels, are equivalent. The information presented in this paragraph is the basis for several comparisons made in the present study.

Classification charts and achievement scales prepared for seeing children were used to classify and score the visually handicapped boys and girls. Tables VI and VII compare





Table VI

Comparison of Visually Handicapped and  
Seeing Boys in Track and Field

Combined Classes, Cozens' classification  
Elementary--Classes A, B, C, D, E (1)  
Secondary---Classes A, B, C, D (2)

School Level & Activity	Visually Handicapped		Seeing		$M_S - M_V$	CR
	$M_V$	SD	$M_S$	SD	$M_V - M_S$	
Elementary						
Dash	31.9	24.83	50.0	16.67	18.1	9.58
Throw	26.9	22.97	50.0	16.67	23.1	12.98
Jump	41.6	23.90	50.0	16.67	8.4	4.59
Secondary						
Dash	46.2	24.58	50.0	16.67	3.8	2.32
Throw	24.1	20.57	50.0	16.67	25.9	17.99
Jump	53.5	22.58	50.0	16.67	-3.5	-2.27

There are 400 seeing children in each of the elementary and secondary groups. Data presented for the visually handicapped are based on distribution tables in Appendix B--215 elementary and 304 secondary boys.

Mean scores refer to scores on achievement scales

1. Neilson, N. P., and Cozens, F. W., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR BOYS AND GIRLS IN ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1939.
2. Cozens, F. W., et al., PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT SCALES FOR BOYS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1936.





Table VII

Comparison of Visually Handicapped and  
Seeing Girls in Track and Field

Combined Classes, Cozens' classification  
 Elementary---Classes A, B, C, D (3)  
 Junior High---Classes E, F, G (3)  
 High School--16 years old and over (4)

School Level & Activity	Visually Handicapped		Seeing		PE PW	
	M <sub>V</sub>	SD	M <sub>S</sub> <sup>*</sup>	SD	D	CR
Elementary						
Dash	22.8	19.77	50.0	16.67	27.2	12.91
Throw	31.8	15.72	50.0	16.67	18.2	10.40
Junior High						
Dash	24.8	21.00	50.0	16.67	25.2	11.89
Throw	18.5	14.90	50.0	16.67	31.5	18.00
High School						
Dash	32.1	22.63	50.0	16.67	17.9	8.21
Throw	26.5	16.61	50.0	16.67	23.5	13.82
Jump	43.5	24.12	50.0	16.67	6.5	2.80

Data presented here are based on distribution tables in Appendix C. The number of girls in each group of visually handicapped children is as follows: Elementary, 104; Junior High, 116; and High School, 126.

Scores in the jump are not listed for the elementary and junior high school girls because achievement scales have not been prepared for seeing girls at these levels.

Mean scores refer to score on achievement scales.

3. Neilson, N. P., and Cozens, F. W., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR BOYS AND GIRLS IN ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1939.
4. Cozens, F. W., et al., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR SECONDARY SCHOOL GIRLS AND COLLEGE WOMEN. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1937.





the performances of visually handicapped and seeing children in running, jumping, and throwing. The events are the 50 yard dash, the standing broad jump, and the basketball throw for distance. For two reasons, classes are combined to form larger groups to work with in this part of the present study. First, the number of visually handicapped children in some of the classes was rather small which increased the possibility of obtaining unreliable statistical results. Second, if the results for individual classes are considered separately, differences of about the same magnitude are obtained again and again. This can be confirmed by using the distribution tables in Appendixes B and C and performing the required mathematical computations. Since little was to be gained by duplicating results and much time would have been consumed, the classes were not treated separately but combined into larger groups.

Information on the number of seeing children in each class is unavailable. Performances of at least 130 children were used for developing the achievement scales for each class in each track and field event. Since the exact number of seeing children in each class was unknown, it was necessary to estimate the number in the groups in Tables VI and VII. Each group was conservatively estimated to consist of 400 seeing children because it included three or more classes.

RESULTS OF THE COMPARISON. The visually handicapped fall far below the norms for the seeing, in most events. Most of the differences are significant far beyond the .01 level. Significant, almost at the .02 level, is the difference between visually handicapped and seeing secondary boys in the 50 yard dash.





The blind and partially seeing perform better in only one event, and this is the standing broad jump for high school boys. The difference is significant at the .03 level.

There are many factor contributing to the difference between the blind and seeing in track and field. Many parents do not permit their visually handicapped children to run or to climb or throw things as other children do. Blind children may not experience as strongly the urge, so natural to seeing children, to run and throw objects. A blind child may have these urges, but lack of vision may hinder him from satisfying them ~~kw~~ in full. Some students without vision seem to have a fear of injury that might result from moving about rapidly. Another factor is that the blind do not have as much muscular strength as the seeing. <sup>(5)</sup> These seem to be the more important factors, but there may be others.

*In all probability*  
 ^ The reason that the visually handicapped high school boys excel seeing boys in the standing broad jump is that they are more familiar with this event and have had more training in it. The standing broad jump is used in schools for the blind because it offers no handicap to the boys and girls. Seeing boys usually compete in the running broad jump.

RELATIONSHIPS AMONG PERFORMANCES OF VISUALLY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN IN RUNNING, JUMPING AND THROWING. Track and field achievement scales for seeing children are constructed so that scores are comparable from event to event.

- 
5. Buell, Charles E., SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1947. pp. 176-177.





When performances of the visually handicapped are scored on achievement scales for seeing children, mean scores differ significantly from event to event. For the visually handicapped, the scores on these achievement scales are not comparable because it is more difficult for a blind boy or girl to achieve a certain score in one event than in another. In comparison with the seeing, the performance of the visually handicapped differs from event to event.

Some of the relationships among performances of the visually handicapped in throwing, running, and jumping are shown in Tables VIII and IX. The tables are largely a rearrangement of data presented in Tables VI and VII. The scores in all four tables were obtained by scoring performances of the visually handicapped on track and field achievement scales constructed for seeing children. Table VIII shows that three of the four visually handicapped groups perform significantly better in the dash than in the basketball throw for distance. As shown in Table IX, the performances of the visually handicapped in the standing broad jump excel those in the 50 yard dash. Data in Tables VIII and IX indicate that visually handicapped children score much higher in the standing broad jump than in the distance throw. All of these differences are significant at the .05 level, and the differences between the dash and the jump exceed the .01 level. Although the differences between the throw and the jump are not listed, it is evident that they are significant beyond the .01 level for three of the four





Table VIII

Comparison of Performances of Visually Handicapped  
Children in Running and Throwing\*

	N	<u>DASH</u>		<u>THROW</u>		$M_d - M_t$	CR
		$M_d$	SD	$M_t$	SD		
Elementary boys	215	31.9	24.84	26.9	22.97	5.0	2.17
Elementary girls	104	22.8	19.77	31.8	15.72	-9.0	-3.63
Secondary boys	304	46.2	24.58	24.1	20.57	22.1	12.01
Secondary girls	126	32.1	22.63	26.5	16.61	5.6	2.24

\* -- Mean scores in this table were obtained by scoring performances of visually handicapped children on track and field achievement scales constructed for seeing boys and girls.





Same Lines  
as in table 8

Table IX

Comparison of Performances of Visually Handicapped  
Children in Jumping and Running\*

	N	JUMP		DASH		$M_j - M_d$	CR
		$M_j$	SD	$M_d$	SD		
Elementary boys	215	41.6	23.90	31.9	24.84	9.7	4.13
Secondary boys	304	53.5	22.58	46.2	24.58	7.3	3.80
Secondary girls	126	43.5	24.12	52.1	22.63	11.4	3.86

NOTE. Achievement scales have not been constructed for elementary seeing girls in the standing broad jump. Thus, this event cannot be included in the comparisons made here for visually handicapped girls.

\* -- Mean scores in this table were obtained by scoring performances of visually handicapped children on track and field achievement scales constructed for seeing boys and girls.





visually handicapped groups listed in Table VIII. Whether or not this same difference exists between performances in throwing and jumping for elementary visually handicapped girls is not known.

Those not familiar with the blind might assume that the fear of injury would prevent them from performing as well in the 50 yard dash as in throwing a basketball for distance. From observation, the writer has noticed that blind girls are usually more restrained than boys without vision. Fear of injury is undoubtedly a handicap to many blind runners. However, much of this is overcome in most schools for the blind. The guide wires available in many special schools permit blind boys and girls to run rapidly without fear. It is true that the freedom of the arms is reduced somewhat, and the runner is slightly hindered. When the blind run without wires they have a tendency to weave back and forth, and time is lost. Even when all fear is overcome, seeing children have an advantage in running.

In comparing the running and jumping performances of the blind, the writer believes that a factor other than fear is most important. Visual clues seem to be very helpful in learning the correct form in throwing a ball for distance. Another factor of much less importance is the lack of (6) shoulder strength usually found in blind children. Those who have made many attempts to teach form in track and field to the blind have found that throwing is the event in which it is extremely difficult to achieve satisfactory results.

---

6. Buell, Charles E., op. cit., p. 177.





Since vision is probably not important in aiding one to do well in the standing broad jump, the visually handicapped perform better in this event than in running. From his experience, the writer believes that visual clues are not essential in giving instruction in jumping form to children with defective vision.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LENGTH OF SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AND PERFORMANCE OF VISUALLY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN IN TRACK AND FIELD EVENTS. Most of the data in Table X are based upon information ~~obtained~~ contained in Tables VI and VII, but they are arranged to show different comparisons. In all of these tables the performances of the visually handicapped are listed as mean scores. These scores were obtained from track and field achievement scales constructed for seeing children. Visually handicapped secondary school boys and girls excel their elementary schoolmates in running and jumping. The mean scores of older children in schools for the blind more nearly approach the norms for the seeing than do the mean scores of younger visually handicapped children. In the standing broad jump and running the 50 yard dash, these differences are significant at the .01 level for boys and girls. In throwing for distance, elementary girls perform significantly better than do secondary girls at the .001 level. Elementary boys appear to perform somewhat better in the distance throw than do secondary boys, but the difference between the mean scores of the two groups does not attain the .10 level of significance.





Table X

Track and Field Performances of the Visually Handicapped  
Compared on the Elementary and Secondary School Levels\*

follow  
in table

ELEMENTARY				SECONDARY				
Event	N	M <sub>e</sub>	SD	N	M <sub>s</sub>	SD	M <sub>s</sub> - M <sub>e</sub>	CR
Boys								
Dash	215	31.9	24.84	304	46.2	24.58	14.3	6.50
Throw	215	26.9	22.97	304	24.1	20.57	-2.8	-1.43
Jump	215	41.6	23.90	304	53.5	22.58	11.9	5.72
Girls								
Dash	104	22.8	19.77	126	32.1	22.63	9.3	3.32
Throw	104	31.8	15.72	126	26.5	16.61	-5.3	-2.43

\* -- Mean scores in this table were obtained by scoring performances of visually handicapped children on track and field achievement scales constructed for seeing boys and girls.





As they grow older, visually handicapped girls do not improve as much in throwing as do seeing girls. When scored on achievement scales for seeing children, the mean score of older girls in schools for the blind falls significantly below the average score for younger visually handicapped girls. There is also a tendency for achievement scale scores of older visually handicapped boys to fall somewhat below those of their younger schoolmates. This lag of the visually handicapped in . . . throwing is probably due to the difficulty of ~~teaching them~~ <sup>acquiring</sup> the proper muscular movements.

In running and jumping, the visually handicapped make a significant improvement between the elementary and high school levels. The school environment, particularly the physical education program, is perhaps the basic factor in bringing about the improved performance. In schools for the blind, pupils are expected to take an active part in games and sports. For many blind boys and girls, this is an entirely new outlook on life. Before coming to school, many of them had limited activities, due to the overprotective attitude of the parents.

VISUALLY HANDICAPPED BOYS' PERFORMANCES COMPARED WITH THOSE OF GIRLS IN TRACK AND FIELD. When performances are scored on achievement scales for seeing children, the mean scores in running and jumping for visually handicapped boys more nearly approach the norms for the seeing than do the average scores of girls with defective vision. The differences between the mean scores of the visually handicapped boys and girls in these two events are significant at the .01 level. On the other hand,





follow form  
for Table 8

Table XI

Visually Handicapped Boys' Track and Field Performances  
Compared With Those of Girls Whose Vision is Defective

	BOYS				GIRLS			
	N	M <sub>b</sub>	SD	N	M <sub>g</sub>	SD	M <sub>b</sub> - M <sub>g</sub>	CR
DASH	Elementary	215	31.9	24.84	104	22.8	19.77	3.54
	Secondary	304	46.2	24.58	126	32.1	22.63	5.73
THROW	Elementary	215	26.9	22.97	104	31.8	15.72	-2.23
	Secondary	304	24.1	20.57	126	26.5	16.61	-1.27
JUMP → Elementary Secondary	Elementary	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Secondary	304	53.5	22.58	126	43.5	24.12	3.98

NOTE. Mean scores in this table were obtained by scoring performances of visually handicapped children on track and field achievement scales constructed for seeing boys and girls.





in throwing, the mean scores of the visually ~~h~~ handicapped girls more nearly approach the norms of the seeing. In throwing, the difference between the mean scores of the visually handicapped elementary boys and girls is significant at the .05 level. These comparisons of visually handicapped boys' and girls' performances are shown in Table XI.

Some of the reasons why the performances of the visually handicapped boys more nearly approach the norms for the seeing than do those of girls with defective vision are; (1) special schools usually provide better physical education programs for boys than they do for girls, (2) the boys are usually given more athletic equipment and permitted to use more playground area, and (3) more time is devoted to boys' physical activities classes than to those for girls. Then too, visually handicapped boys are generally more active than girls. Just why the girls should make better scores on the achievement scales for throwing is not clear. Since seeing girls do not throw a basketball very far, it may be easier to approach these norms.





RELIABILITY OF TRACK AND FIELD EVENTS FOR THE SEEING AND THE VISUALLY HANDICAPPED. Most studies have reported reliability coefficients of .80 or higher for the seeing in various track and field events, but little is known about the results of repeating such tests on the same groups of pupils in schools for the blind. In Table XII, pertinent information from three studies on the seeing is compared with reliability coefficients for the visually handicapped. In the California and West Virginia schools for the blind, a decathlon was conducted about a month before the data were gathered for the present study. Since three of the events in the decathlon were similar to those in this study and were given under similar conditions, a "test re-test" comparison was made possible.

Table XII

Reliability of Track and Field Events for  
the Seeing and the Visually Handicapped

Event	Handicapped	Seeing		
	Test Re-test	Seils' Study (7)	Coleman's Study (8)	Petroskey's Study (9)
Dash	.985	.904	.842	----
Distance Throw	.947	.982	.821	.890
Standing Broad Jump	.921	.906	.958	.880

- 3 7. Seils, Leroy G., "A Study of the Relationship Between Physical Growth and Gross Motor Development of Primary Children," Unpublished Doctor's thesis, Boston University, 1948.  
(See also RESEARCH QUARTERLY, May, 1949. p. 143)
- 4 8. Coleman, J. W., "Pure Speed as a Positive Factor in Some Track and Field Events," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, May, 1940. p. 50.
- 5 9. Petroskey, Helen M., "A Study of ~~the~~ Improvement in Fitness of College Freshman Women," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, December, 1945. pp. 260-261.

RELIABILITY OF TRACK AND FIELD EVENTS FOR SEEING AND VISUALLY  
HANDICAPPED CHILDREN.

The purpose of this study was to determine the reliability of track and field events for seeing and visually handicapped children. The study was conducted over a period of six months. The subjects were 15 children, 10 boys and 5 girls, ranging in age from 10 to 14 years. The events were 100 yards, 200 yards, 400 yards, 800 yards, 1600 yards, 3200 yards, 6400 yards, 12800 yards, 25600 yards, 51200 yards, 102400 yards, 204800 yards, 409600 yards, 819200 yards, 1638400 yards, 3276800 yards, 6553600 yards, 13107200 yards, 26214400 yards, 52428800 yards, 104857600 yards, 209715200 yards, 419430400 yards, 838860800 yards, 1677721600 yards, 3355443200 yards, 6710886400 yards, 13421772800 yards, 26843545600 yards, 53687091200 yards, 107374182400 yards, 214748364800 yards, 429496729600 yards, 858993459200 yards, 1717986918400 yards, 3435973836800 yards, 6871947673600 yards, 13743895347200 yards, 27487790694400 yards, 54975581388800 yards, 109951162777600 yards, 219902325555200 yards, 439804651110400 yards, 879609302220800 yards, 1759218604441600 yards, 3518437208883200 yards, 7036874417766400 yards, 14073748835532800 yards, 28147497671065600 yards, 56294995342131200 yards, 112589990684262400 yards, 225179981368524800 yards, 450359962737049600 yards, 900719925474099200 yards, 1801439850948198400 yards, 3602879701896396800 yards, 7205759403792793600 yards, 14411518807585587200 yards, 28823037615171174400 yards, 57646075230342348800 yards, 115292150460684697600 yards, 230584300921369395200 yards, 461168601842738790400 yards, 922337203685477580800 yards, 1844674407370955161600 yards, 3689348814741910323200 yards, 7378697629483820646400 yards, 14757395258967641292800 yards, 29514790517935282585600 yards, 59029581035870565171200 yards, 118059162071741130342400 yards, 236118324143482260684800 yards, 472236648286964521369600 yards, 944473296573929042739200 yards, 1888946593147858085478400 yards, 3777893186295716170956800 yards, 7555786372591432341913600 yards, 15111572745182864683827200 yards, 30223145490365729367654400 yards, 60446290980731458735308800 yards, 120892581961462917470617600 yards, 241785163922925834941235200 yards, 483570327845851669882470400 yards, 967140655691703339764940800 yards, 1934281311383406679529881600 yards, 3868562622766813359059763200 yards, 7737125245533626718119526400 yards, 15474250491067253436239052800 yards, 30948500982134506872478105600 yards, 61897001964269013744956211200 yards, 123794003928538027489912422400 yards, 247588007857076054979824844800 yards, 495176015714152109959649689600 yards, 990352031428304219919299379200 yards, 1980704062856608439838598758400 yards, 3961408125713216879677197516800 yards, 7922816251426433759354395033600 yards, 15845632502852867518708790067200 yards, 31691265005705735037417580134400 yards, 63382530011411470074835160268800 yards, 126765060022822940149670320537600 yards, 253530120045645880299340641075200 yards, 507060240091291760598681282150400 yards, 1014120480182583521197362564300800 yards, 2028240960365167042394725128601600 yards, 4056481920730334084789450257203200 yards, 8112963841460668169578900514406400 yards, 16225927682921336339157801028812800 yards, 32451855365842672678315602057625600 yards, 64903710731685345356631204115251200 yards, 129807421463370690713262408230502400 yards, 259614842926741381426524816461004800 yards, 519229685853482762853049632922009600 yards, 1038459371706965525706099265844019200 yards, 2076918743413931051412198531688038400 yards, 4153837486827862102824397063376076800 yards, 8307674973655724205648794126752153600 yards, 16615349947311448411297588253504307200 yards, 33230699894622896822595176507008614400 yards, 66461399789245793645190353014017228800 yards, 132922799578491587290380706028034457600 yards, 265845599156983174580761412056068915200 yards, 531691198313966349161522824112137830400 yards, 1063382396627932698323045648224275660800 yards, 2126764793255865396646091296448551321600 yards, 4253529586511730793292182592897102643200 yards, 8507059173023461586584365185794205286400 yards, 17014118346046923173168730371588410572800 yards, 34028236692093846346337460743176821145600 yards, 68056473384187692692674921486353642291200 yards, 136112946768375385385349842972707284582400 yards, 272225893536750770770699685945414569164800 yards, 544451787073501541541399371890829138329600 yards, 1088903574147003083082798743781658276659200 yards, 2177807148294006166165597487563316553318400 yards, 4355614296588012332331194975126633106636800 yards, 8711228593176024664662389950253266213273600 yards, 17422457186352049329324779900506532426547200 yards, 34844914372704098658649559801013064853094400 yards, 69689828745408197317299119602026129706188800 yards, 139379657490816394634598239204052259412377600 yards, 278759314981632789269196478408104518824755200 yards, 557518629963265578538392956816209037649510400 yards, 1115037259926531157076785913632418075299020800 yards, 2230074519853062314153571827264836150598041600 yards, 4460149039706124628307143654529672301196083200 yards, 8920298079412249256614287309059344602392166400 yards, 17840596158824498513228574618118689204784332800 yards, 35681192317648997026457149236237378409568665600 yards, 71362384635297994052914298472474756819137331200 yards, 142724769270595988105828596944949513638274662400 yards, 285449538541191976211657193889899027276549324800 yards, 570899077082383952423314387779798054553098649600 yards, 1141798154164767904846628775559596109106197299200 yards, 2283596308329535809693257551119192218212394598400 yards, 4567192616659071619386515102238384436424789196800 yards, 9134385233318143238773030204476768872849578393600 yards, 18268770466636286477546060408953537745699156787200 yards, 36537540933272572955092120817907075491398313574400 yards, 73075081866545145910184241635814150982796627148800 yards, 146150163733090291820368483271628301965593254297600 yards, 292300327466180583640736966543256603931186508595200 yards, 584600654932361167281473933086513207862373017190400 yards, 1169201309864722334562947866173026415724746034380800 yards, 2338402619729444669125895732346052831449492068761600 yards, 4676805239458889338251791464692105662898984137523200 yards, 9353610478917778676503582929384211325797968275046400 yards, 18707220957835557353007165858768422651595936550092800 yards, 37414441915671114706014331717536845303191873100185600 yards, 74828883831342229412028663435073690606383746200371200 yards, 149657767662684458824057326870147381212767492400742400 yards, 299315535325368917648114653740294762425534984801484800 yards, 598631070650737835296229307480589524851069969602969600 yards, 1197262141301475670592458614961179049702139939205939200 yards, 2394524282602951341184917229922358099404279878411878400 yards, 4789048565205902682369834459844716198808559756823756800 yards, 9578097130411805364739668919689432397617119513647513600 yards, 19156194260823610729479337839378864795234239027295027200 yards, 38312388521647221458958675678757729590468478054590054400 yards, 76624777043294442917917351357515459180936956109180108800 yards, 153249554086588885835834702715030918361873912218360217600 yards, 306499108173177771671669405430061836723747824436720435200 yards, 612998216346355543343338810860123673447495648873440870400 yards, 1225996432692711086686677621720247346894991297746881740800 yards, 2451992865385422173373355243440494693789982595493763481600 yards, 4903985730770844346746710486880989387579965190987526963200 yards, 9807971461541688693493420973761978775159930381975053926400 yards, 19615942923083377386986841947523957550319860763950107852800 yards, 39231885846166754773973683895047915100639721527900215705600 yards, 78463771692333509547947367790095830201279443055800431411200 yards, 156927543384667019095894735580191660402558886111600862822400 yards, 313855086769334038191789471160383320805117772223201725644800 yards, 627710173538668076383578942320766641610235544446403451289600 yards, 1255420347077336152767157884641533283220471088892806902579200 yards, 2510840694154672305534315769283066566440942177785613805158400 yards, 5021681388309344611068631538566133132881884355571227610316800 yards, 10043362776618689222137263077132266265763768711142455220633600 yards, 20086725553237378444274526154264532531527537422284910441267200 yards, 40173451106474756888549052308529065063055074844569820882534400 yards, 80346902212949513777098104617058130126110149689139641765068800 yards, 160693804425899027554196209234116260252220299378279283530137600 yards, 321387608851798055108392418468232520504440598756558567060275200 yards, 642775217703596110216784836936465041008881197513117134120550400 yards, 1285550435407192220433569673872930082017762395026234268241100800 yards, 2571100870814384440867139347745860164035524790052468536482201600 yards, 5142201741628768881734278695491720328071049580104937072964403200 yards, 10284403483257537763468557390983440656142099160209874145928806400 yards, 20568806966515075526937114781966881312284198320419748291857612800 yards, 41137613933030151053874229563933762624568396640839496583715225600 yards, 82275227866060302107748459127867525249136793281678993167430451200 yards, 164550455732120604215496918255735050498273586563357986334860902400 yards, 329100911464241208430993836511470100996547173126715972669721804800 yards, 658201822928482416861987673022940201993094346253431945339443609600 yards, 1316403645856964833723975346045880403986188692506863890678887219200 yards, 2632807291713929667447950692091760807972377385013727781357774438400 yards, 5265614583427859334895901384183521615944754770027455562715548876800 yards, 10531229166855718669791802768367043231889509540054911125431097753600 yards, 21062458333711437339583605536734086463779019080109822250862195507200 yards, 42124916667422874679167211073468172927558038160219644501724391014400 yards, 84249833334845749358334422146936345855116076320439289003448782028800 yards, 168499666669691498716668844293872691710232152640878578006897564057600 yards, 336999333339382997433337688587745383420464305281757156013795128115200 yards, 673998666678765994866675377175490766840928610563514312027590256230400 yards, 1347997333357531989733350754350981533681857221127028624055180512460800 yards, 2695994666715063979466701508701963067363714442254057248110361024921600 yards, 5391989333430127958933403017403926134727428884508114496220722049843200 yards, 10783978666860255917866806034807852269454857769016228992441444099686400 yards, 21567957333720511835733612069615704538909715538032457984882888199372800 yards, 43135914667441023671467224139231409077819431076064915969765776398745600 yards, 86271829334882047342934448278462818155638862152129831939531552797491200 yards, 172543658669764094685868896556925636311277724304259663879063105594982400 yards, 345087317339528189371737793113851272622555448608519327758126211189964800 yards, 690174634679056378743475586227702545245110897217038655516252422379929600 yards, 1380349269358112757486951172455405090490221794434077311032504844759859200 yards, 2760698538716225514973902344910810180980443588868154622065009689519718400 yards, 5521397077432451029947804689821620361960887177736309244130019379039436800 yards, 11042794154864902059895609379643240723921774355472618488260038758078873600 yards, 22085588309729804119791218759286481447843548710945236976520077516157747200 yards, 44171176619459608239582437518572962895687097421890473953040155032315494400 yards, 88342353238919216479164875037145925791374194843780947906080310064630988800 yards, 176684706477838432958329750074291851582748389687561895812160620129261977600 yards, 353369412955676865916659500148583703165496779375123791624321240258523955200 yards, 706738825911353731833319000297167406330993558750247583248642480517047910400 yards, 1413477651822707463666638000594334812661987117500495166497284961034095820800 yards, 2826955303645414927333276001188669625323974235000990332994569922068191641600 yards, 5653910607290829854666552002377339250647948470001980665989139844136383283200 yards, 11307821214581659709333104004754678501295896940003961331978279688272766566400 yards, 22615642429163319418666208009509357002591793880007922663956559376545533132800 yards, 45231284858326638837332416019018714005183587760015845327913118753091066265600 yards, 90462569716653277674664832038037428010367175520031690655826237506182132531200 yards, 180925139433306555349329664076074856020734351040063381311652475012364265062400 yards, 361850278866613110698659328152149712041468702080126762623304950024728530124800 yards, 723700557733226221397318656304299424082937404160253525246609900049457060249600 yards, 1447401115466452442794637312608598848165874808320507050493219800098914120499200 yards, 2894802230932904885589274625217197696331749616641014100986439600197828240998400 yards, 5789604461865809771178549250434395392663499233282028201972879200395656481996800 yards, 11579208923731619542357098500868790785326998466564056403945758400791112963993600 yards, 23158417847463239084714197001737581570653996933128112807891516801582225927987200 yards, 46316835694926478169428394003475163141307993866256225615783033603164451855974400 yards, 92633671389852956338856788006950326282615987732512451231566067206328903711948800 yards, 185267342779705912677713576013900652565231975465024902463132134412657807423897600 yards, 370534685559411825355427152027801305130463950930049804926264268825315614847795200 yards, 741069371118823650710854304055602610260927901860099609852528537650631229695590400 yards, 1482138742237647301421708608111205220521855803720199219705057075301262459391180800 yards, 2964277484475294602843417216222410441043711607440398439410114150602524918782361600 yards, 5928554968950589205686834432444820882087423214880796878820228301205049837564723200 yards, 11857109937901178411373668864889641764174846429761593757640456602410099675129446400 yards, 237142198758023568



The reliability coefficients listed for the visually handicapped in Table XII are based on test re-test<sup>u</sup> performances<sup>o</sup> of 93 boys with defective vision--more than one-sixth of the entire sample of boys used in the present study.

In comparison with the reliability coefficients reported for the seeing in track and field events, the performances of the visually handicapped appear to be just as consistent.

CORRELATION BETWEEN TRIALS IN TRACK AND FIELD EVENTS FOR VISUALLY HANDICAPPED BOYS AND GIRLS.

By investigating the correlation between trials in track and field events, additional information may be obtained in regard to consistency of performance, or reliability. In only two schools for the blind, California and Batavia, did the writer actually record all trials on paper. The data presented in Table XIII<sup>ARE</sup> based on performances of 165 pupils, or a little less than one-fifth of the entire sample. Time was saved in most of the schools by giving a pupil three trials in a row in the throw and jump and recording only the best effort. In the dash one trial was given at the beginning of the period and the second at the end of the period. The first trial was recorded and then replaced by the second trial if it was better than the first.



The reliability coefficients of the visually handicapped

Table XIII

Correlation Between Trials in Track and Field Events  
for Visually Handicapped Children

---

Group	Dash	Throw	Jump
Trials for 105 boys			
First and second	.948	.983	.933
First and third		.963	.948
Second and third		.982	.911
Trials for 60 girls			
First and second	.941	.930	.906
First and third		.954	.871
Second and third		.927	.903

---

For the group of visually handicapped children studied, performance seems to be quite consistent from trial to trial. All but one of the reliability coefficients are over .90. Of course, one cannot be certain that the performances of this group of 165 pupils is representative of the entire population in schools for the blind.





very much in comprehending the stunts.

COMPARISON OF SCORES OF VISUALLY HANDICAPPED AND SEEING

CHILDREN IN IOWA BRACE TEST. The scores of the Iowa Brace Test range from 0 to 20. Norms for seeing children are available. The present study presents norms for the visually handicapped. The norms for seeing children were established by McCloy after testing 335 boys and 424 girls. The sample of blind and partially seeing children tested here is even larger than McCloy's group.

In Tables XIV and XV, the mean scores of the visually handicapped are compared with McCloy's norms. <sup>(2)</sup> The means and standard deviations for the seeing were obtained from McCloy's <sup>(2)</sup> T-score table for the Iowa Revision of the Brace Test. The number of seeing children in each group was estimated from the total sample tested in Iowa. Since there are three levels of the Iowa Brace Test, it was assumed that approximately one~~x~~third of the boys and one~~x~~third of the girls would fall in each level.

, The mean scores of the visually handicapped fall below those of seeing children in all groups, the difference being significant at the .001 level. In the present study, visually handicapped boys more closely approach norms for the seeing than do the girls with defective vision.

Since the mean scores of the visually handicapped are

- 
2. McCloy, C. H., TESTS AND MEASUREMENTS IN HEALTH AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, Inc., 1942. p. 78.



Table XIV

Comparison of Iowa Brace Scores of Visually  
Handicapped and Seeing Boys

<u>Visually Handicapped</u>					<u>Seeing</u>				
N	M	SD	SE	N	M	SD	SE	CR	
ELEMENTARY	157	10.57	4.06	100	13.67	3.33	.3330	6.67	
JUNIOR HIGH	181	13.20	3.71	100	14.67	3.32	.3320	3.59	
HIGH SCHOOL	181	12.77	4.07	100	15.0	3.00	.3000	5.22	

Data presented here are based on distribution tables in Appendix I.



Calculation of the effect of the change in the position of the center of gravity of the body on the stability of the equilibrium

Position of the center of gravity	Position of the center of buoyancy	Position of the center of gravity of the displaced fluid	Position of the center of gravity of the body	Position of the center of buoyancy of the displaced fluid	Position of the center of gravity of the body
100	100	100	100	100	100
100	100	100	100	100	100
100	100	100	100	100	100

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} = \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho g V \right) = \frac{1}{2} \rho g \frac{dV}{d\theta}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the potential energy of the body,  $\rho$  is the density of the fluid,  $g$  is the acceleration of gravity, and  $V$  is the volume of the displaced fluid.

where  $\sigma$  is the potential energy of the body,  $\rho$  is the density of the fluid,  $g$  is the acceleration of gravity, and  $V$  is the volume of the displaced fluid.

Table X V

Comparison of Iowa Brace Scores of Visually  
Handicapped and Seeing Girls

<u>Visually Handicapped</u>					<u>Seeing</u>					
	N	M	SD	SE		N	M	SD	SE	CR
ELEMENTARY	110	8.63	4.49	.4281		150	12.0	4.25	.3469	6.11
JUNIOR HIGH	112	10.4	4.37	.4130		150	15.0	4.05	.3306	8.69
HIGH SCHOOL	124	9.35	4.59	.4122		150	12.5	4.50	.3673	5.71

Data presented here are based on distribution tables in Appendix I.





lower than those of seeing children, pupils in schools for the blind must be weak in at least some of the factors measured by the Iowa Brace Test.

These factors are coordination, agility, control, and balance. The next step is to determine whether this weakness is general, or specific, in nature. Are the visually handicapped below normal in all factors, or do they score very low in only a few? This question can be answered by comparing the performances of the visually handicapped and the seeing in each event.

PER CENT OF VISUALLY HANDICAPPED AND SEEING PASSING IOWA BRACE TESTS. Since each age group of visually handicapped children performed only ten of the twenty-one Iowa Brace Tests, some of the stunts cannot be used in the comparisons to be made here because information is not available. However, there are several points at which the data of the present study can be compared with percentages listed in McCloy's <sup>(3)</sup> early work. McCloy published percentages for two groups, girls eleven and twelve years old, and boys fourteen and fifteen years of age. It was necessary to estimate the size of the groups of seeing boys and girls listed in Table XVI because published data are not available. The age range in the Iowa sample was nine years,

---

3. McCloy, C. H., "An Analytical Study of the Stunt Type Test as a Measure of Motor Educability," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, VIII. 3 : 46. October, 1937. p. 50.





Table XV I

Comparison of McCloy's and Buell's Percentages of Children  
Passing Certain Iowa Brace Tests

Stunt	Handicapped Boys*		Seeing Boys	Critical Ratio	Probability	Handicapped Girls*		Seeing Girls	Critical Ratio	Probability
	Boys	Boys				Girls	Girls			
1	84	96	2.70	.01	70	79	1.36	.20		
2	99	90	2.56	.02a	96	81	3.12	.01		
3	93	91	0.50	.70	70	71	0.14	.90		
6	37	42	0.69	.50	11	13	0.40	.70		
8					41	52	1.18	.30		
10					97	65	5.32	.001		
11					63	52	1.45	.20		
12	98	83	3.54	.01						
13	81	81	0.00	.99						
14	85	83	0.60	.60						
16	80	59	3.09	.01	50	51	0.14	.90		
17	25	35	1.47	.20	24	35	1.55	.20		
18					45	48	0.39	.70		
19	71	48	3.16	.01	50	49	0.14	.90		
21	23	11	2.15	.05						
	N 115	N 75b			N 74	N 100b				

\* -- Blind and partially seeing

a -- Skewed distribution

b -- Approximately two-ninths of McCloy's samples





but only two years are represented in each group of seeing children for which percentages are available. Therefore, each group represents approximately two-ninths of the 335 boys and 424 girls tested by McCloy. The blind and partially seeing children represented in Table XVI are of the same age and sex as the seeing pupils. Since McCloy lists percentages for twenty-four stunts, some explanation is necessary before one arrives at the final number of twenty-one Iowa Brace Tests. (4) The three stunts which McCloy dropped from his original work were numbers 6, 10, and 24. Stunt 23 was placed in the number 6 position and stunt 22 replaced number 10.

The comparisons listed in Table XVI show that no real differences exist between performances of the visually handicapped and of the seeing, in stunts 3, 6, 13, 14 and 18. These stunts are the grapevine (static balance), double heel click (agility), half turn jump (control), three dips (strength), and full right turn (agility). In stunt 8 (agility), the seeing girls seem to perform better, while the visually handicapped appear to be a little superior in number 11 (agility). In another test of agility, number 16 (kneel, jump to feet), the results do

---

4. This information was obtained from C. H. McCloy by correspondence.





not show a clear tendency. In this stunt, visually handicapped boys perform significantly better than do seeing boys, but the trend is not repeated for the girls. In the top stunt (number 19), the visually handicapped boys again excel the seeing, but the girls do not.

In some of the other tests of the Iowa Brace, more definite trends appear. In the Russian Dance (number 17), the seeing children seem to excel and this tendency is much more pronounced in stunt 1 (one foot--touch head). The former test depends upon agility, while the latter requires control of the body. The blind are significantly superior in tests 2 (side leaning rest), 10 (hop backward), and 12 (full-squat--arm circles). In the jump foot stunt (number 21), the visually handicapped perform better than the seeing boys in McCloy's group, but a contradictory result is found when Espenschade's percentages are used for comparison in Table XVII.

Before drawing any conclusions, it is advisable to compare the performance of the visually handicapped with another group of seeing children. Since Espenschade (5) has given the Brace Test to a large group of California children, such a comparison can be made.

COMPARISON OF BUELL'S AND ESPENSCHADE'S PERCENTAGES OF CHILDREN PASSING IOWA BRACE TESTS. Espenschade (6) has

5. Espenschade, Anna, op. cit.  
 6. Espenschade, Anna, "Development of Motor Coordination in Boys and Girls," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, 18:1 March, 1947. pp. 35-38.

↓ Espenschade, Anna, Motor Performance in Adolescence  
 Monographs Society for Research in Child  
 Development, Washington, D.C., Vol. 1, No. 20



published percentages for children passing the Brace Tests, and several comparisons can be made with the present study. Ten of the original stunts were retained in McCloy's revision, which was the test given to the visually handicapped.

In one respect, the work of Espenschade is comparable to that of the writer in the present study. She did all of her testing and scoring, rather than permitting children to score each other, as suggested by McCloy. The different methods of testing are probably responsible for some of the discrepancies reported by McCloy and Espenschade.

In a personal conference with Dr. Espenschade, the writer discovered that his scoring differed from hers in two stunts, numbers 8 and 18. These are the full left turn and full right turn tests. The visually handicapped were permitted to land with their feet apart, while Espenschade required the feet to be touching. This probably accounts for the superiority reported for the visually handicapped in tests 8 and 18, Table XVII. Of course, it is possible that the blind and partially seeing have more agility and balance than do normal children, but such relationships are not evident from the comparisons available here.

The percentages listed for the seeing in Table XVII were obtained from the two works of Espenschade referred to above. Since the present study is cross sectional in nature, most of the data for the seeing are taken from a similar type of





Table XV//

Per Cent of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Pupils  
Passing Certain Iowa Brace Tests

Stunt	Handicapped Boys*	Seeing Boys	Critical Ratio	Probability	Handicapped Girls*	Seeing Girls	Critical Ratio	Probability	Stunt
1	82	92	2.58	.01	70	82	2.21	.03	1
3	63	56	1.20	.23	72	81	1.66	.10	3
6	28	16	2.48	.02	7	30	5.04	.001	6
7	45	58	1.19	.23	39	12	5.00	.001	7
8					41	44	0.48	.70	8
16					43	33	1.62	.11	16
18									18
	N 157	N 128			N 110	N 141			
1	78	99	6.60	.001b***	66	67	4.07	.001	1
3	92	95	1.12	.26					3
6	33	38	0.95	.40					6
14	80	98	5.64	.001b	44	50	1.00	.40	14
16	70	67	0.58	.60					16
	N 181	N 150			N 112	N 176			
3	90	100a**	1.49	.14b	63	80	2.34	.02	3
5	90	82a	1.90	.06					5
7	90	100a	1.49	.14b	65	48	2.13	.04	7
14	82	94a	3.31	.001	35	36	0.14	.90	14
16					37	19	2.49	.02	16
18									18
21	23	41	3.25	.001					21
	N 181	N 116			N 124	N 56			

\* -- Blind and partially seeing

a -- Percentages are high because of practice obtained in Espenschade's longitudinal study

b -- Skewed distribution

(1)

NOTE. In cases where it was obvious that critical ratios would not be significant, they were not calculated.







study by Espenschade. However, a few percentages from her longitudinal study are included to increase the number of comparisons that can be made. The percentages listed in the longitudinal study tend to be above normal, because the children became familiar with the tests, after having repeated them for a number of years.

Espenschade's percentages are listed for each age and sex. In order to reduce duplication and some statistical work, the ages have been combined into three groups, elementary, junior and senior high school. The ages represented in each group are as follows:

Elementary---Ages 10, 11, and 12  
Junior High--Ages 13, 14, and 15  
High School--16 years old and over

By referring to Table XVII, it will be seen that there seems to be little difference between the performances of the visually handicapped and the seeing in stunt 16. There is little difference between the performances of the two groups in stunt 14 at the high school level, but there is a critical ratio of 5.64 in favor of the seeing at the junior high school level. In test 7 (cross leg squat), visually handicapped girls perform significantly better than the seeing, but the critical ratio in favor of the boys with defective vision is only 1.20. The seeing girls definitely excel in the double heel click, but this relationship does not appear in the comparison of the two



groups of boys.

Table XVII shows that seeing high school boys excel in stunt 21. It will be recalled that fourteen- and fifteen-year-old visually-handicapped boys excelled in this stunt in the comparison with McCloy's group. The difference of two years in average age of the groups in McCloy's and Espenschade's groups does not seem to be large enough to account for obtaining contradictory results. It is possible that no significant difference exists between the seeing and those with defective vision in the jump foot stunt (number 21).

In test 3 the seeing performed better than the visually handicapped but the differences were significant at the .05 level for only two of the five groups compared. In both Espenschade's and McCloy's studies, the seeing excelled the handicapped in stunt 1 (one foot--touch head).

The visually handicapped excel the seeing in stunt 5 (stork stand). The superiority of children with defective vision reported in test 8 and 18 is questionable, <sup>because</sup> ~~due to~~ the different methods of judging. The writer permitted the visually handicapped to land with their feet apart, while Espenschade required the feet to be touching.

A summary of the two comparisons reveals a few definite trends. Seeing children are significantly superior in test 1, while the visually handicapped excel in stunts 2, 5, 10, and 12. In the stork stand and the five backward





hops, the child is not permitted to open his eyes. This probably explains the advantage of the blind in these tests. Why the visually handicapped should excel in the side leaning rest and the full squat with arm circles is not clear.

No definite superiority for either group seems to exist in stunts 3, 6, 7, 8, 11, 17, 18, 19, and 21. Both comparisons show that no real difference exists between the performances of those with defective vision and the seeing in three tests, the half turn jump, the three dips, and the kneel, jump to feet.

Although the visually handicapped score significantly below normal on the Iowa Brace Test, their weakness seems to be spread over many factors rather than limited to only a few. In no one factor, body control, static balance, strength, agility, and coordination, do the mean scores of the handicapped always fall below normal. Perhaps the visually handicapped compare more favorably with the seeing in static balance than in any of the other factors measured by the Iowa Brace Test.

#### EFFECT OF ALLOWING A THIRD TRIAL IN THE IOWA BRACE TESTS.

In certain manual dexterity tests, it has been found that the norms for seeing children can be used for the blind, if two or three extra trials are allowed. With this in mind, the writer gave each visually handicapped child an extra trial in the Iowa Brace Tests. The results for two





Table XVI

Percentages of Visually Handicapped Pupils Passing  
Iowa Brace Tests--Two and Three Trials

(2) --Results of two trials  
(3) --Results of three trials

Stunt	Elementary				Junior High				High School			
	Boys (2)*	Boys (3)+	Girls (2)	Girls (3)	Boys (2)	Boys (3)	Girls (2)	Girls (3)	Boys (2)	Boys (3)	Girls (2)	Girls (3)
1	97		70	71	78	83	66	74	86	90	94	95
2	82	97			97	99	96	96	90	91	94	98
3	64	83	72	73	92	93			90	92	63	68
4		70										
5												
6			7	7	33	37						
7	63	66										
8	28	35	39	43								
9												
10	99	99	95	97								
11	65	71	60	63			61	69	87	89	68	72
12					98	98	92	92				
13	48	55			76	83	67	86				
14					80	80			92	93		
15			26	29			50	56			35	37
16	45	48	41	41	70	72	44	46	78	82	32	36
17	19	22			27	31	24	25	45	48	37	44
18			43	45							54	56
19			51	54	71	75	60	61	50	55	54	51
20							33	41	25	26	44	
21												

N 157

N 110

N 181

N 112

N 181

N 124

\* (2)

+ (3)

Left 5071' due out--4500' above ground

Wish to attend to  
the matter to be discussed

[illegible]

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1010 1011 1012 1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036 1037 1038 1039 1040 1

4

五

trials and three trials are shown in Table XVIII. It can be seen that most of the gains are rather small. A third trial seems to have little effect upon the results from tests 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 12, 14, 16, 19, and 21. The stunts in which most gains occur are 1, 9, and 13, followed by 8 and 11.

Although a third trial seems to improve the scores of the blind and partially seeing, it does not bring their performance up to that of the seeing. More than one extra trial in the Iowa Brace Tests is required to bring the performance of the visually handicapped up to the norms of seeing children.





## Chapter VI

### Comparison of Blind and Partially ~~Blind~~ Seeing Children in Motor Performance

EMOTIONALISM VERSUS THE OBJECTIVE APPROACH. The lack of an objective approach to problems of blindness is rather common, even in schools and institutions for the blind. It is extremely difficult to approach such work without emotionalism. Even wise and experienced teachers must be constantly on the alert to maintain an objective attitude. Often the blind themselves do not want to face the truth. In some sports, for example, many blind boys firmly believe that they compete on an equal basis with the seeing. Unfortunately, this is not true. Even in wrestling, those without vision face a slight handicap.

Many teachers, including the writer, would like to believe that the blind can compete in some sports on an equal basis, at least, with the partially seeing. In SPORTS FOR THE BLIND this statement appears, "The author has found these values to be absolutely fair to the totally blind and partially seeing. Achievement scales have been prepared only in the events where competition is on an equal basis." (1) The three track and field events used in the present study are included in the achievement scales referred to. The data presented in this chapter indicate

---

1. Buell, Charles E., SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1947. p. 186.





rather conclusively that the blind do not compete on an equal basis with the partially seeing in throwing for distance and in running. The difference in viewpoint listed here is a result of a more objective approach.

SPORTS FOR THE BLIND has been widely circulated in the field, and the writer has talked with many teachers of the blind in various sections of the United States. In two years no one has challenged the writer's statement on the ability of the blind to compete on equal terms with the partially seeing in running, throwing, and jumping. It is amazing that this viewpoint was accepted, because evidence presented below seems to disprove it. Evidently others follow a course of wishful thinking.

The present study seeks to be as objective as possible. A large sample of visually handicapped children was studied, and the results are presented here in an impartial manner. It is hoped that the detailed presentation will make clear certain differences in motor performance of the blind and partially seeing which have not received attention.

#### METHOD OF CLASSIFYING AND SCORING IN TRACK AND FIELD EVENTS.

The relationships developed here, and in Chapter VII, are based <sup>(2)</sup> upon the use of achievement scales in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. Although some of these scales are in need of revision, they more accurately measure the

---

2. Buell, Charles E., op. cit., pp. 195-219.





performance of the visually handicapped than do the achievement scales for seeing children. Since the norms for the seeing are higher, the scores of children with defective vision tend to accumulate at the bottom of the scales. By comparing Appendixes B and C with Appendixes D and E, the difference in the number of scores at the bottom of the distributions becomes quite evident. Achievement scales for the visually handicapped include the standing broad jump which is not listed in scales for seeing girls in the elementary and junior high schools.

It will be recalled that one of the advantages of achievement scales is that a score in one class is equivalent to the same score in another class. By combining classes, it is possible to obtain larger samples for comparisons in the performances of two groups, such as blind and partially seeing boys. When the number of cases is limited, any other such plan seems to be impractical. The wide range of performance in track and field events, and the dissimilarity of ages, weights, and heights makes it difficult to approach the problem in any other manner.

#### COMPARISON OF BLIND AND PARTIALLY SEEING CHILDREN IN TRACK

AND FIELD. A few physical educators in schools for the blind have misled the public by claiming that certain feats were performed by the blind, rather than the partially seeing. When one learns of an outstanding





feat of a visually handicapped individual, he should obtain information regarding the amount of useful vision before an evaluation is made. Useful vision is a factor which definitely influences performance in many physical activities.

The performances of the blind and partially seeing in running, throwing, and jumping are compared in Appendixes D and E. It seemed unnecessary to make separate calculations of the significance of the difference of the means for the various classes in running, jumping, and throwing, because approximately the same result would have been obtained again and again. Therefore, the distribution tables shown in Appendixes D and E were combined to obtain the results listed in Table XIX.

The data in Table XIX indicate that a significant difference exists between the performances of the blind and the partially seeing in track and field events. Five of the six comparisons are significant at the .001 level. The difference between the means in the broad jump for the blind and the partially seeing is not significant. It would seem that the amount of vision is not an important





Table XIX

Comparison of Blind and Partially Seeing Pupils in Track and Field  
Combined Classes--Buell's Achievement Scales

Number of cases		Partially Seeing				Blind			
		M*	SD	SE	D	CR	M*	SD	SE
BOYS	Dash	48.2	25.24	1.363	13.9	6.07	34.3	24.54	1.850
	Throw	44.2	19.44	1.050	11.7	8.22	32.5	13.00	.9800
	Jump	41.5	23.64	1.276	3.5	1.53	38.0	24.96	1.881
GIRLS	Dash	46.3	22.98	1.575	19.0	7.81	27.3	21.54	1.868
	Throw	39.1	15.72	1.077	11.4	6.99	27.7	14.11	1.223
	Jump	49.8	26.66	1.827	10.0	3.35	39.8	27.11	2.351

Data in this table are based on distribution tables in Appendixes D and E  
Mean scores refer to scores on achievement scales in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND.

# Table XIX

Comparison of Blind and Partially Seeing Pupils in Track and Field

Scores Achievement Scores Combined Scores Achievement Scores

Number of cases  
Blind pupils 371  
Partially seeing pupils 343  
Blind girls 123  
Partially seeing girls 219

CR	D	SE	SD	*M	SE	SD	*M	CR	D	SE	SD	*M	CR	D	SE	SD	*M
70.3	2.31	233.1	43.23	3.34	023.1	43.43	24.3	70.3	2.31	233.1	43.23	3.34	70.3	2.31	233.1	43.23	3.34
33.3	7.11	030.1	44.21	3.44	0039.	00.31	3.33	33.3	7.11	030.1	44.21	3.44	33.3	7.11	030.1	44.21	3.33
33.1	2.3	273.1	43.33	3.14	133.1	33.43	0.33	33.1	2.3	273.1	43.33	3.14	33.1	2.3	273.1	43.33	3.33
13.7	0.21	273.1	33.33	3.34	233.1	43.13	3.73	13.7	0.21	273.1	33.33	3.34	13.7	0.21	273.1	33.33	3.73
22.3	4.11	770.1	37.21	1.23	333.1	11.41	7.73	22.3	4.11	770.1	37.21	1.23	22.3	4.11	770.1	37.21	7.73
23.3	0.01	738.1	33.33	3.24	133.3	11.73	3.23	23.3	0.01	738.1	33.33	3.24	23.3	0.01	738.1	33.33	3.23

CR

Data in this table are based on results of tests in track and field. Mean scores refer to scores on achievement scales in track and field.



## Chapter V

### Comparison of Visually Handicapped and Seeing Children in a Stunt Type Test

INTRODUCTION. This study represents the first attempt to measure motor performance of the visually handicapped by using a stunt type test. At least, no published material has appeared on the subject. This is rather odd, because stunts seem to be a fairer measure of motor performance of the blind than do track and field events. Some of the disadvantages of track and field for the blind have already been mentioned. In performing stunts, most of these handicaps are overcome. For example, the fear of injury in running rapidly is eliminated.

(1)

Espenschade found that the results of a stunt type test correlate more highly with performance of small muscular acts than do scores in track and field. Apparently the stunt type test measures important factors in motor performance that are not evaluated by other activities in the physical education program. The ability to perform stunts may even have some value in the vocational adjustment of the blind.

There is some difficulty in teaching certain stunts to those without vision. For example, the writer found it particularly difficult to teach the jumping jack, Number 6 in the original Brace Test. In the revision, the Russian Dance was the stunt most difficult for the blind to comprehend. However, instruction was not a serious problem in this study. The lack of vision did not seem to handicap the boys and girls

- 
1. Espenschade, Anna, MOTOR PERFORMANCE IN ADOLESCENCE, Monographs Society for Research in Child Development, (Washington, D. C., Vol. I, 1940.) p. 20. V.M. 1





factor for boys in this event. It will be recalled that the visually handicapped high school boys excelled the seeing in the broad jump. However, this relationship does not exist for the girls. The seeing girls jumped much better than the visually handicapped, and the partially seeing girls performed significantly better than the blind. From what has been said, it is clear that the greatest differences are in running and throwing. The handicaps for the blind in the dash, and their difficulty in learning to throw have already been mentioned.

COMPARISON OF BLIND AND PARTIALLY SEEING PUPILS ON THE IOWA BRACE TEST. <sup>USEFUL METHOD</sup> A ~~good way~~ to determine the influence of vision upon motor performance is by giving a stunt-type test. Children with defective vision have little handicap in performing most stunts. Vision is not required to perform any of the twenty-one stunts of the Iowa Brace Test.

The mean scores for the blind and for the partially seeing children in the Iowa Brace Test are shown in Table XX. . At the elementary level, the partially seeing boys and girls both score higher than do the blind, the differences being significant at the .05 level. In junior high school, partially seeing girls score significantly higher than do blind girls, but this relationship does not exist between the mean scores of the two groups of boys. At the high school level, there is little difference between the





Table XVIII XX

Comparison of Brace Scores of Blind and Partially Seeing Pupils

	Blind			Partially Seeing			
	M	SD	SE	M	SD	SE	CR
BOYS							
Elementary	9.56	3.82	.5298	11.08	4.06	.3961	1.52
Junior high	13.36	3.58	.4786	13.14	3.75	.3354	.22
High school	12.97	3.84	.4621	12.64	4.20	.3970	.33
GIRLS							
Elementary	7.53	4.03	.6538	9.21	4.61	.5435	1.68
Junior high	9.13	4.59	.7451	11.51	3.74	.4349	2.38
High school	8.86	4.50	.5859	9.78	4.62	.5732	.92

Data in this table are based on distribution tables in Appendix J

# XX TIME ALERT

But build to protect course to maintain  
align with YLLHITAT

YLLHITAT  
align

build

NO	G	RE	IS	U	IL	GS	N	STOE
23.3	35.1	1082.	70.4	30.11	8922.	23.2	22.2	YLLHITAT
02.	36.	1082.	27.3	21.21	3870.	22.3	22.21	align
22.	24.	0725.	02.4	20.21	1207.	22.2	20.21	align
48.1	37.1	2802.	17.4	13.2	3779.	20.4	22.7	align
37.3	35.3	2264.	27.2	12.11	1057.	22.4	21.0	align
31.1	22.	2578.	20.1	27.0	2222.	02.4	22.3	align

U align at point not to align to build own alert with at end

Page 2  
2004



performances of the blind and the partially seeing. It would seem that blind boys progress more rapidly in factors measured by the Iowa Brace Test than do blind girls, because boys without vision reach the level of performance of the partially seeing at an earlier age than do the girls. Since blind boys and girls overtake the partially seeing in performance on the Iowa Brace Test, it would indicate that those without vision make more rapid progress in factors influencing gross motor acts measured by the test--coordination, agility, and control of body.

When the percentages of visually handicapped and seeing children passing individual Iowa Brace Tests were compared in Chapter V, some significant differences were discovered. With this in mind, the same procedure was applied to the performances of the partially seeing and blind children. The results of the comparisons are listed in Tables XXI and XXII. Secondary school boys are not listed because only one significant difference between the means of the blind and partially seeing was discovered. In stunt 1 the differences between the mean scores of the blind and the partially seeing in/junior and senior high schools are significant at the .05 level.

By referring to Tables XXI and XXII, it will be seen that the partially seeing performed significantly better in stunt 1 (one foot--touch head to floor) and 9 (one knee--head to floor). Both of these feats are somewhat similar,





Table ~~XX~~ XXI

Per Cent of Blind and Partially Seeing Elementary Pupils Passing Certain Iowa Brace Tests

omit

Stunt	Blind Boys	Seeing Boys	Critical Ratio	Probability	Blind Girls	Seeing Girls*	Critical Ratio	Probability
1	90	98	1.99	.05	58	78	2.14	.05
2	79	84	0.78	.50	76	71	0.57	.60
3	63	73	1.26	.30	5	8	0.59	.60a
4								
6	62	69	0.87	.40				
7	29	38	1.12	.40	32	50	1.83	.10
8	98	100a	1.18a	.40a	92	100a	2.04a	.05a
10	75	70	0.67	.60	56	67	1.13	.30
11	42	61	2.24	.05				
13					21	35	1.56	.20
15	40	51	1.30	.20	34	44	1.02	.40
16	13	32	2.71	.01				
17					32	50	1.83	.10
18					42	61	1.89	.10
19								
	N 52	N 105			N 38	N 72		

\* -- Partially seeing  
a -- Skewed distribution, cannot place complete confidence in result

# IXA ~~the~~ eldrt

Yradseld gileed Yllitrtt fne bulle to thed 799  
etset sodee swot alitreo yilpewt alitw

Yllitrtt offse	Leolldrt offse	gileed alrtio	Bulle alrtio	Yllitrtt offse	Leolldrt offse	gileed eyoe	Bulle eyoe	thutrt
09.	72.0	17	07	02. 02.	67.1 37.0	39 47	07 07	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
809.	62.0	8	2	03.	68.1	27	32	
01.	64.1	02	22	04.	73.0	27	32	
020.	640.1	02	22	04.	31.1	22	22	
02.	61.1	77	26	804.	631.1	3001	32	
02.	22.1	72	12	09.	73.0	07	07	
07.	30.1	14	12	20.	43.1	12	06	
01.	63.1	02	22	07.	62.1	12	07	
01.	63.1	12	24	10.	17.1	22	21	
		37 M	36 M					

thutrt ni sonebittw otelwos wole dounw : bolatrttth bawed -- \*



Table XXII

Per Cent of Blind and Partially Seeing Secondary  
School Girls Passing Certain Iowa Brace Tests

Stunt	JUNIOR HIGH				HIGH SCHOOL			
	Blind	Partially Seeing	Critical Ratio	Probability	Blind	Partially Seeing	Critical Ratio	Probability
1	56	81	2.82	.01	95	94	0.24	.90
2	95	96	0.24	.90	75	62	1.56	.20
3					69	71	0.24	.90
7					47	65	2.02	.05
9					73	71	0.25	.90
11	71	68	0.33	.80				
12	92	92	0.00	.99				
13	68	80	1.37	.20				
15	45	62	1.71	.10				
16	37	51	1.41	.20	36	38	0.23	.90
17	21	27	0.70	.50	32	40	0.93	.40
18					51	40	1.01	.40
19	56	64	0.82	.50	51	60	1.01	.40
20	59	42	0.31	.80	49	52	0.33	.80
	N 38	N 74			N 59	N 65		

Yr. 1900-1901 Yr. 1902-1903 Yr. 1904-1905 Yr. 1906-1907 Yr. 1908-1909 Yr. 1910-1911 Yr. 1912-1913 Yr. 1914-1915 Yr. 1916-1917 Yr. 1918-1919 Yr. 1920-1921 Yr. 1922-1923 Yr. 1924-1925 Yr. 1926-1927 Yr. 1928-1929 Yr. 1930-1931 Yr. 1932-1933 Yr. 1934-1935 Yr. 1936-1937 Yr. 1938-1939 Yr. 1940-1941 Yr. 1942-1943 Yr. 1944-1945 Yr. 1946-1947 Yr. 1948-1949 Yr. 1950-1951 Yr. 1952-1953 Yr. 1954-1955 Yr. 1956-1957 Yr. 1958-1959 Yr. 1960-1961 Yr. 1962-1963 Yr. 1964-1965 Yr. 1966-1967 Yr. 1968-1969 Yr. 1970-1971 Yr. 1972-1973 Yr. 1974-1975 Yr. 1976-1977 Yr. 1978-1979 Yr. 1980-1981 Yr. 1982-1983 Yr. 1984-1985 Yr. 1986-1987 Yr. 1988-1989 Yr. 1990-1991 Yr. 1992-1993 Yr. 1994-1995 Yr. 1996-1997 Yr. 1998-1999 Yr. 2000-2001 Yr. 2002-2003 Yr. 2004-2005 Yr. 2006-2007 Yr. 2008-2009 Yr. 2010-2011 Yr. 2012-2013 Yr. 2014-2015 Yr. 2016-2017 Yr. 2018-2019 Yr. 2020-2021 Yr. 2022-2023 Yr. 2024-2025 Yr. 2026-2027 Yr. 2028-2029 Yr. 2030-2031 Yr. 2032-2033 Yr. 2034-2035 Yr. 2036-2037 Yr. 2038-2039 Yr. 2040-2041 Yr. 2042-2043 Yr. 2044-2045 Yr. 2046-2047 Yr. 2048-2049 Yr. 2050-2051 Yr. 2052-2053 Yr. 2054-2055 Yr. 2056-2057 Yr. 2058-2059 Yr. 2060-2061 Yr. 2062-2063 Yr. 2064-2065 Yr. 2066-2067 Yr. 2068-2069 Yr. 2070-2071 Yr. 2072-2073 Yr. 2074-2075 Yr. 2076-2077 Yr. 2078-2079 Yr. 2080-2081 Yr. 2082-2083 Yr. 2084-2085 Yr. 2086-2087 Yr. 2088-2089 Yr. 2090-2091 Yr. 2092-2093 Yr. 2094-2095 Yr. 2096-2097 Yr. 2098-2099 Yr. 2100-2101 Yr. 2102-2103 Yr. 2104-2105 Yr. 2106-2107 Yr. 2108-2109 Yr. 2110-2111 Yr. 2112-2113 Yr. 2114-2115 Yr. 2116-2117 Yr. 2118-2119 Yr. 2120-2121 Yr. 2122-2123 Yr. 2124-2125 Yr. 2126-2127 Yr. 2128-2129 Yr. 2130-2131 Yr. 2132-2133 Yr. 2134-2135 Yr. 2136-2137 Yr. 2138-2139 Yr. 2140-2141 Yr. 2142-2143 Yr. 2144-2145 Yr. 2146-2147 Yr. 2148-2149 Yr. 2150-2151 Yr. 2152-2153 Yr. 2154-2155 Yr. 2156-2157 Yr. 2158-2159 Yr. 2160-2161 Yr. 2162-2163 Yr. 2164-2165 Yr. 2166-2167 Yr. 2168-2169 Yr. 2170-2171 Yr. 2172-2173 Yr. 2174-2175 Yr. 2176-2177 Yr. 2178-2179 Yr. 2180-2181 Yr. 2182-2183 Yr. 2184-2185 Yr. 2186-2187 Yr. 2188-2189 Yr. 2190-2191 Yr. 2192-2193 Yr. 2194-2195 Yr. 2196-2197 Yr. 2198-2199 Yr. 2200-2201 Yr. 2202-2203 Yr. 2204-2205 Yr. 2206-2207 Yr. 2208-2209 Yr. 2210-2211 Yr. 2212-2213 Yr. 2214-2215 Yr. 2216-2217 Yr. 2218-2219 Yr. 2220-2221 Yr. 2222-2223 Yr. 2224-2225 Yr. 2226-2227 Yr. 2228-2229 Yr. 2230-2231 Yr. 2232-2233 Yr. 2234-2235 Yr. 2236-2237 Yr. 2238-2239 Yr. 2240-2241 Yr. 2242-2243 Yr. 2244-2245 Yr. 2246-2247 Yr. 2248-2249 Yr. 2250-2251 Yr. 2252-2253 Yr. 2254-2255 Yr. 2256-2257 Yr. 2258-2259 Yr. 2260-2261 Yr. 2262-2263 Yr. 2264-2265 Yr. 2266-2267 Yr. 2268-2269 Yr. 2270-2271 Yr. 2272-2273 Yr. 2274-2275 Yr. 2276-2277 Yr. 2278-2279 Yr. 2280-2281 Yr. 2282-2283 Yr. 2284-2285 Yr. 2286-2287 Yr. 2288-2289 Yr. 2290-2291 Yr. 2292-2293 Yr. 2294-2295 Yr. 2296-2297 Yr. 2298-2299 Yr. 2300-2301 Yr. 2302-2303 Yr. 2304-2305 Yr. 2306-2307 Yr. 2308-2309 Yr. 2310-2311 Yr. 2312-2313 Yr. 2314-2315 Yr. 2316-2317 Yr. 2318-2319 Yr. 2320-2321 Yr. 2322-2323 Yr. 2324-2325 Yr. 2326-2327 Yr. 2328-2329 Yr. 2330-2331 Yr. 2332-2333 Yr. 2334-2335 Yr. 2336-2337 Yr. 2338-2339 Yr. 2340-2341 Yr. 2342-2343 Yr. 2344-2345 Yr. 2346-2347 Yr. 2348-2349 Yr. 2350-2351 Yr. 2352-2353 Yr. 2354-2355 Yr. 2356-2357 Yr. 2358-2359 Yr. 2360-2361 Yr. 2362-2363 Yr. 2364-2365 Yr. 2366-2367 Yr. 2368-2369 Yr. 2370-2371 Yr. 2372-2373 Yr. 2374-2375 Yr. 2376-2377 Yr. 2378-2379 Yr. 2380-2381 Yr. 2382-2383 Yr. 2384-2385 Yr. 2386-2387 Yr. 2388-2389 Yr. 2390-2391 Yr. 2392-2393 Yr. 2394-2395 Yr. 2396-2397 Yr. 2398-2399 Yr. 2400-2401 Yr. 2402-2403 Yr. 2404-2405 Yr. 2406-2407 Yr. 2408-2409 Yr. 2410-2411 Yr. 2412-2413 Yr. 2414-2415 Yr. 2416-2417 Yr. 2418-2419 Yr. 2420-2421 Yr. 2422-2423 Yr. 2424-2425 Yr. 2426-2427 Yr. 2428-2429 Yr. 2430-2431 Yr. 2432-2433 Yr. 2434-2435 Yr. 2436-2437 Yr. 2438-2439 Yr. 2440-2441 Yr. 2442-2443 Yr. 2444-2445 Yr. 2446-2447 Yr. 2448-2449 Yr. 2450-2451 Yr. 2452-2453 Yr. 2454-2455 Yr. 2456-2457 Yr. 2458-2459 Yr. 2460-2461 Yr. 2462-2463 Yr. 2464-2465 Yr. 2466-2467 Yr. 2468-2469 Yr. 2470-2471 Yr. 2472-2473 Yr. 2474-2475 Yr. 2476-2477 Yr. 2478-2479 Yr. 2480-2481 Yr. 2482-2483 Yr. 2484-2485 Yr. 2486-2487 Yr. 2488-2489 Yr. 2490-2491 Yr. 2492-2493 Yr. 2494-2495 Yr. 2496-2497 Yr. 2498-2499 Yr. 2500-2501 Yr. 2502-2503 Yr. 2504-2505 Yr. 2506-2507 Yr. 2508-2509 Yr. 2510-2511 Yr. 2512-2513 Yr. 2514-2515 Yr. 2516-2517 Yr. 2518-2519 Yr. 2520-2521 Yr. 2522-2523 Yr. 2524-2525 Yr. 2526-2527 Yr. 2528-252



in that to be balanced, one must be aware of the angle which the body forms with the floor. Vision seems to be important in judging the distance between the floor and the upper part of the body, because the blind do not perform as well as those with vision in this event.

In most of the Iowa Brace Tests, amount of vision is not the determining factor in success or failure. Since this conclusion was reached in Chapter V, the comparisons made here of the blind and partially seeing have added few conclusive results. However, new tendencies have become evident. In test 15 (side kick), the difference between the percentages is significant in favor of the partially seeing girls at the .10 level. At least one group of partially seeing children performed significantly better in tests 10, 13, and 17. These stunts are, respectively, five backward hops, half turn jump, and the Russian Dance. It will be recalled that the visually handicapped excelled the seeing in tests 2 and 10. The lack of vision seemed to be an advantage in these stunts. Therefore, it is somewhat surprising to find the partially seeing boys performing significantly better in test 2, and the girls with useful vision excelling the blind in stunt 10 (significant at .05 level). Perhaps, then, there are factors other than the amount of vision which influence performance in the Iowa Brace Tests.





## Chapter VII

### Relationship Between Parental Attitudes and Motor Performance

Most of the present work is devoted to considerations of the influence of vision upon motor performance. However, there is another factor which appears to have an important bearing upon the physical activities of children with defective vision. This is the overprotective attitude of some parents of visually handicapped children. Of course, the loss of vision in the child is primarily responsible for the parents' overprotective attitude.

#### PREVALENCE OF OVERPROTECTION AMONG VISUALLY HANDICAPPED

CHILDREN. Overprotection on the part of parents is a rather common condition surrounding loss of vision in children. Teachers and staff members in schools for the blind have long been aware of this condition. It becomes evident in visits of certain parents to the school or in letters received from home by the children. A visually handicapped child usually asks a house-parent or teacher to read letters to him. Parents of overprotected children do not encourage boys and girls to do things for themselves. When these children arrive at school, they often have difficulty in caring for themselves, including dressing and simple toilet care. Educators of the blind firmly believe that a child's inability to properly care for himself is closely related to the overprotection received in the home.





In the opinion of teachers and staff members of schools taking part in this study, overprotection in visually handicapped children is rather prevalent. Table XXIII shows the extent of this influence on blind and partially seeing children.

Table XXIII

Per Cent of Visually Handicapped Children  
Who Are Overprotected

Type of Children	Total Number	Number Overprotected	Per Cent Overprotected
Blind boys	176	47	27%
Blind girls	133	36	30%
Partially seeing boys	343	43	13%
Partially seeing girls	213	20	9%

Data in Table XXIII indicate that parents are more sympathetic toward children without vision. They probably feel that the partially seeing are more able to care for themselves. It is interesting to note that a tendency of parents to protect girls more than boys is not evident here.

One cannot teach physical education to the blind very long without becoming aware of the limited activity of certain pupils. Upon investigating their background, it has usually been found that the parents have protected the individuals so much that they have not had an opportunity to develop. These boys and girls have not been permitted to run, to climb, and to throw things, as other children do. Buell (1)

- 
1. Buell, Charles E., SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1947. p. 177.



found that their arm and shoulder strength is below normal. Most educators of the blind believe that parents do a visually handicapped child an injustice in their desire to aid him. It is the writer's<sup>(2)</sup> opinion that if a child is not permitted to be physically active before the age of 10, some of the harm done can never be overcome.

In order to give the blind child an equal opportunity in life, school authorities have issued many lengthy instructions on how to care for those without vision. In one hundred years there has been little improvement on the original work of Dr. Howe, who wrote, "Never check the actions of the child; follow him, and watch him to prevent any serious accidents, but do not interfere unnecessarily; do not even remove obstacles which he would learn to avoid by tumbling over them a few times. Teach him to jump rope, to swing weights, to raise his body by his arms and to mingle, as far as possible, in the rough sports of the older boys, and do not be apprehensive of his safety, and if you should see him clambering in the branches of a tree, be sure he is less likely to fall than if he had eyes. Do not too much regard bumps upon the forehead, rough scratches or bloody noses, even these may have their good influences. At the worst, they affect only the bark, and do not injure the system like the rust of inaction."<sup>(3)</sup>

---

2. As student and teacher, the writer has been closely associated with schools for the blind for thirty years.

3. PERKINS REPORT, 1841. p. 7

S/N 678  
S/PAC





"Let a boy saw wood, take care of cattle, do jobs about the house, and if you can afford it, let him have a leader for long excursions, let him learn to ride, swim, to row and to skate, etc. Bring up a girl to be active about the house."<sup>(4)</sup> Though deaf-blind, Laura Bridgman was very active and took walks of six miles.<sup>(5)</sup>

#### PERFORMANCE OF OVERPROTECTED CHILDREN IN TRACK AND FIELD EVENTS.

Because of their poor performance, overprotected visually handicapped children are conspicuous in track and field. The data presented in Table XXIV indicate the weakness of overprotected children in running, throwing, and jumping. All but one of the differences are significant at the .001 level. There can be little doubt that the overprotective attitude of parents of children with defective vision influences performance in track and field events.

#### SCORES OF OVERPROTECTED VISUALLY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN ON

THE IOWA BRACE TEST. Perhaps the stunt type of test would measure better the protective influence on motor performance than do track and field events. In stunts the fear of injury is reduced to a minimum because it is not necessary for the individual to move about a great deal. With this in mind, the data in Table XXV were prepared. Since it has been shown that vision influences mean scores on the Iowa Brace Test for elementary boys and girls and junior high school

---

4. PERKINS REPORT, 1841. p. 8.

5. Ibid., p. 23.





Table XXIV

Comparison of Overprotected Pupils With Balance of Sample  
in Track and Field Events\*

Balance of Sample

Overprotected

	M	SD	SE	M	SD	SE	D	CR
Blind Boys								
Children								
Dash	41.9	22.63	1.992	13.4	16.55	2.414	28.5	9.08
Throw	36.3	20.35	1.792	21.9	14.07	2.156	14.4	5.14
Jump	44.9	22.93	2.019	19.2	20.10	2.932	25.7	7.22
Partially Seeing Boys								
Children								
Dash	50.5	24.25	1.400	32.2	26.12	3.984	18.3	4.35
Throw	45.1	19.72	1.139	38.2	16.12	2.458	6.9	2.55
Jump	43.1	23.26	1.344	30.3	23.04	3.514	12.8	3.40
Blind Girls								
Children								
Dash	30.7	22.00	2.234	18.1	17.18	2.904	12.6	3.44
Throw	30.4	14.49	1.471	20.3	9.80	2.248	10.1	3.79
Jump	45.2	26.98	2.739	25.3	21.52	3.638	19.9	4.81
Partially Seeing Girls								
Children								
Dash	48.4	21.77	1.567	26.5	25.02	5.740	21.9	3.66
Throw	40.1	15.49	1.115	29.0	14.32	3.285	11.1	3.20
Jump	51.7	26.30	1.893	32.0	23.22	5.327	15.7	3.48

Data in this table are based on distribution tables in Appendixes C and H

\* Mean scores refer to scores on Buell's achievement scales for the visually handicapped published in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. When achievement scales of the present study are used, the critical ratios obtained are about the same as those shown above.

slowly to double  
 + in 1990  
 \* 1990

БѢЛЫЙ

to be consulted

He had a full knowledge of the situation and was not at all surprised to find that the

same as those shown above.

Of the present study are used, the critical ratios obtained are about the same as those shown above.

published in 1900. For the B.I.M. When achievement scores are obtained for the B.I.M. and the B.I.M. are compared, the B.I.M. is found to be superior to the B.I.M. in all cases.



Table XXV

Iowa Brace Scores of Overprotected  
Visually Handicapped Children

\* -- Partially seeing  
a -- Blind and partially seeing  
combined

(6)  
In upper part of table, formula for small samples  
was used to calculate reliability of the  
difference between means

Groups of Children	Overprotected			Remainder of groups					
	N	M	SD	N	M	SE <sub>d</sub>	D	t	P
Ele. seeing boys*	16	9.8	4.06	89	11.3	1.102	1.5	1.36	.40
Ele. blind girls	14	5.9	4.03	24	8.5	1.355	2.6	1.92	.10
Ele. seeing girls*	5	8.2	4.61	67	9.3	2.091	1.1	0.53	.60
J. H. blind girls	11	6.3	4.59	27	10.3	1.641	4.0	2.44	.02
J. H. seeing girls*	6	8.3	3.74	68	11.8	1.593	3.5	2.20	.05
	N	M	SD	N	M	SD	D		CR
Ele. blind boys	23	8.1	3.89	29	10.4	4.03	2.3		2.04
J. H. boys (a)	30	11.0	3.55	151	13.4	3.72	2.4		3.29
H. S. boys (a)	19	11.1	4.13	162	12.9	4.07	1.8		1.76
H. S. girls (a)	25	6.6	4.50	99	10.5	4.62	3.9		3.79





girls, these children were divided into groups of blind and partially seeing. On the other levels, it seemed unnecessary to make any division because vision has little, if any, bearing on scores of the Iowa Brace Test.

The differences between the means of overprotected pupils and the remainder of their respective groups are significant at the .05 level in five of the nine comparisons, and two of the others closely approach this level of significance. The overprotective attitude of parents of partially seeing elementary children does not seem to influence scores on the Iowa Brace Test. The number of cases on some of the levels is rather small, so only limited confidence can be placed in their results. The attitude of overprotection seems to influence performance on a stunt type test. Pupils who participate in limited activities do not have an equal opportunity to develop coordination, balance, and control of the body.

#### MOTOR PERFORMANCE OF NEGLECTED VISUALLY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN.

As will be found in most groups, parents of visually handicapped children may or may not meet their responsibilities. It will be recalled that many cases of neglected children were mentioned by authorities in the schools taking part in this study. It may be of some value to investigate the motor performance of this group, and compare the results with those obtained in the study of overprotected children.





## Chapter VIII

### Relation of Motor Performance to Time of Loss of Vision

After watching blind children perform in physical education classes for fifteen years, the writer believes that a relationship might exist between the time of loss of vision and a child's ability in athletics. This theory is based upon the fact that some blind boys without vision for only a few years perform unusually well. As far as motor performance is concerned, it appears that it is a distinct advantage to have vision as long as possible. By talking with many people in the general public, the writer learned that most of them believed that children blind from birth have an advantage in athletics over those blinded later in life. They reason that children who have been without vision for the longer period of time have had more opportunity to adjust themselves to their handicap. Thus, we have viewpoints favoring each side of the question.

The only way to accurately determine the influence of time of loss of vision upon motor performance is to obtain a large sample and treat the data statistically.

About one~~y~~fourth of the blind pupils taking part in this study lost their vision after six years of age. Most blind children lose their vision early in life, usually before the age of two. Early onset of eye trouble is even



more common in partially seeing children. Comparatively few of them become handicapped after entering school.

Only results for the blind are considered here, because it has been shown earlier that complete loss of vision affects motor performance more than a partial loss. If there is any relationship between time of loss of vision and motor performance, it would be most evident in the results of the totally blind.

Another factor that must be considered in the comparisons to be made here is the overprotective attitude of some parents of blind children. It was found that the percentage of overprotective cases was higher for the group blind from birth rather than the recently blinded. It is possible that parents of hereditary and congenitally blind children blame themselves for bringing a severely handicapped individual into the world. Since overprotected pupils perform below normal, and the percentage of overprotective cases is not the same for the two groups to be compared, all of them were dropped from this part of the study. Thus, a factor that might have influenced the results was largely eliminated.

The comparison of the performance of those blind from birth and the recently blinded is limited to track and field events because the number of cases at each level of the Iowa Brace Test was small. By equating track and field performance on achievement scales in Chapter X, it is possible





In Tables XXVI and XXVII, the motor performances of neglected visually handicapped pupils are compared with those of children whose home environment is normal. There are no significant differences in the performances of these two groups in track and field or in the stunt type test. To make the results more reliable, overprotected pupils were dropped from this part of the study. Although not included in Table XXVI, data for neglected visually handicapped girls show the same lack of significant influence found for the boys.

Since neglected children perform normally in motor acts, it would appear that in this respect they are more adjusted than the protected individuals. In other words, as far as motor performance is concerned, parental neglect is to be preferred to overprotection. This point is raised here because one cannot overemphasize the harm done to visually handicapped children by overprotective parents.





Table XXVI

Comparison of Performances in Track and Field of Neglected  
Visually Handicapped Boys and Boys With Defective Vision  
Whose Home Environment is Normal\*

	Normal Home			Neglected Home			CR
	N	M	SD	N	M	SD	
Blind							
Dash	108	43.0	22.63	21	35.9	21.03	1.48
Throw	108	37.0	20.35	21	32.8	18.47	0.94
Jump	108	45.2	22.93	21	43.4	21.00	0.32
Partially seeing							
Dash	265	49.9	24.25	35	55.0	25.21	-1.13
Throw	265	44.7	19.72	35	48.4	20.53	-1.01
Jump	265	42.7	23.28	35	46.0	24.04	-0.76

\* Mean scores were obtained from Buell's achievement scales in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND.  
When the achievement scales in the present study are used, the critical ratios obtained  
are similar to those shown above, indicating no significant differences between the means.



Table XXVII

Comparison of Iowa Brace Score of Neglected Visually Handicapped  
Pupils and Those Whose Home Environment is Normal\*

(7)

In upper part of table formula for small samples  
was used to calculate reliability of the  
difference between means

Groups of Children	Neglected Home			Normal Home			P
	N	M <sub>1</sub>	SD	N	M <sub>2</sub>	SD	
Ele. blind boys	4	13.28	3.88	25	10.04	3.88	.20
Ele. seeing boys(a)	9	11.73	4.06	80	11.27	4.06	.80
Ele. blind girls	3	8.51	4.03	21	8.50	4.03	.99
Ele. seeing girls(a)	7	9.40	4.61	60	9.29	4.61	.99
J. H. blind girls	2	8.00	4.59	25	10.48	4.59	.60
J. H. seeing girls(a)	4	12.37	3.74	64	11.76	3.74	.80
H. S. girls(b)	11	10.09	4.59	88	10.48	4.59	.80
<hr/>							
	N	M <sub>1</sub>	SD	N	M <sub>2</sub>	SD	CR
J. H. boys (b)	24	13.64	3.79	127	13.38	3.71	0.30
H. S. boys (b)	19	13.54	3.99	143	12.82	4.07	0.71

\* -- Overprotected pupils excluded

a -- Partially seeing

b -- Blind and partially seeing combined





to obtain enough cases to make some comparisons that may yield reliable results. Table XXVIII shows that all the differences between the means favor the recently blinded girls and are significant at the .05 level, while the difference between the means in the boys' basketball throw also attains this level of significance. Those who have possessed vision long enough to learn to throw by viewing the action, perform significantly better than individuals who have never seen this intricate motor act.

Children who lose their vision after six years of age do not have as much difficulty in adjusting to physical activities as most people believe. In fact, they have certain advantages that have been denied those who lose their vision in early childhood. The relationship developed here is additional evidence to indicate the importance of vision in life's varied activities. Vision is a blessing, even if it is possessed for only a limited number of years.





Table XXVIII

Relation of Performance in Track and Field Events  
to Time of Loss of Vision\*

	Blind from Birth			Blinded after six years of age			CR
	N	M <sub>B</sub>	SD	N	M <sub>A</sub>	SD	
Girls							
Dash	67	52.40	17.64	30	59.50	15.68	1.95
Throw	67	53.59	18.73	30	64.17	16.16	2.69
Jump	67	52.10	17.20	30	61.10	19.05	2.35
Boys							
Dash	84	60.35	17.69	45	64.50	18.19	1.25
Throw	84	50.23	16.52	45	57.83	19.70	2.20
Jump	84	55.59	18.08	45	60.50	16.97	1.55

\* Mean scores were obtained from achievement scales in the present study. When achievement scales in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND are used the critical ratios are lower. See Appendix M.

NOTE. Performances of overprotected pupils are not included in this table.



## Chapter IX

### Classification of Visually Handicapped Children

#### PLAN OF CLASSIFICATION USED IN SCHOOLS FOR THE BLIND.

The classification chart used in most schools for the blind is one constructed for seeing boys and girls. The chart shown in Appendix F is used to classify pupils for the annual track meet of the National Athletic Association of Schools for the Blind. It is also the classification plan used with the achievement scales presented in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND.<sup>(1)</sup> For seeing children, this is a valid plan of classification for competition in track and field.<sup>(2)</sup> Authorities agree closely on the weightings which should be given to the factors age, height and weight. Most educators of the visually handicapped assume that these factors have the same weightings in influencing track and field performance of those with defective vision.

Evidence presented in this chapter shows that there is a more valid classification index for visually handicapped children than the one now in use. However, the correlation between the two plans is over .90. Since the present plan is reasonably valid, some schools will probably continue to use it. If this is done, one weakness of the plan should be remedied.—

- 
1. Buell, Charles E., SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1947. p. 188.
  2. Neilson, N. P., and Cozens, F. W., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR BOYS AND GIRLS IN ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1939. p. 162.





SUGGESTED REVISION OF CLASSIFICATION PLAN IN COM ON USE  
IN SCHOOLS FOR THE BLIND. In the classification chart as it is now used, (Appendix F) boys with a sum of exponents less than 20, all fall in Class E. This means that ten-year-old boys must compete with classmates who are one or two years older. After having used the chart for a number of years, the author decided that the younger boys were at a distinct disadvantage. The large sample used in the present study made it possible to test this hypothesis.

After a little experimentation, it was decided to include all pupils with a sum of exponents below 12, in the extra class. The boys who were formerly in Class E were placed in Classes E and F while the girls of this same exponent level were divided into Classes D and E. The performance of the boys in Class E was compared with that of Class F and the same procedure was followed for the girls in Classes D and E. The scores were obtained from the girls' Class D and the boys' Class E achievement scales for the visually handicapped. <sup>(3)</sup> The results of these comparisons are shown in Table XXIX.

For the boys in Classes E and F, it will be seen that the difference between the means in the dash is significant at the .05 level. The differences in the basketball throw and the standing broad jump are even greater. There can be little doubt that the younger boys must have a class of their own to compete on equal terms with older classmates.

---

3. Buell, Charles E.; Op. cit., pp. 195-219.





Table XXIX

Comparison of Performance of Visually Handicapped  
Boys in Classes E and F--Girls in Classes D and E  
Revised N.A.A.S.B. Classification

	<del>Boys</del> Class E Girls' Class D					<del>Boys</del> Class F Girls' Class E				
	N	M	SD	SE	N	M	SD	SE	D	CR
Boys										
Dash	97	36.4	25.86	2.626	71	27.0	26.10	3.097	9.4	2.32
Throw	97	39.1	16.57	1.682	71	31.5	13.99	1.660	7.6	3.22
Jump	97	38.4	26.07	2.647	71	27.0	23.84	2.829	11.4	2.92
Girls										
							<i>Class E.</i>			
Dash	52	34.5	23.45	3.284	44	30.9	22.41	3.418	3.6	0.76
Throw	52	43.2	15.81	2.211	44	32.9	10.64	1.623	10.3	3.76
Jump	52	50.5	26.48	3.708	44	40.4	29.10	4.438	10.1	1.75

NOTE. Mean scores in this table were obtained by scoring performances on achievement scales presented by Buell in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND.



Since girls' performances do not vary as much as do those of boys, it might be expected that the difference between the girls' Classes D and E would not be great. In spite of this, it will be seen that the difference of the means in the basketball throw is significant at the .001 level. The difference in the standing broad jump is also quite significant. The two classes do not differ much in running the 50 yard dash. This evidence would indicate that the younger girls should be placed in Class E rather than in Class D, as is now the case.

BEST-FIT CLASSIFICATION INDEXES FOR VISUALLY HANDICAPPED BOYS AND GIRLS.

Educators in schools for the blind have assumed that children with defective vision should be classified in the same manner as seeing boys and girls. Since a large sample and pertinent information <sup>was</sup> ~~was~~ available in the present study, it was decided to develop a best-fit classification index for visually handicapped children which might improve upon one set up empirically.

Since elementary and secondary seeing pupils are classified by different formulas, the visually handicapped were divided into similar groups. Children were divided into groups according to their ages:

Elementary girls--10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15  
 Elementary boys---10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16  
 Secondary boys---17 years old and over  
 Secondary girls--16 years old and over





Three of these groups were again divided because it was assumed that there might be a difference between the best-fit classification index of the partially seeing and that of the blind. This procedure was not followed for the secondary girls because it was assumed that the correlations between performance and the factors of age, height and weight would be so low that it would be impossible to develop a valid classification formula. In Appendixes R, S, and T it will be seen that this result was obtained not only for the secondary visually handicapped girls, but also for the secondary partially seeing boys and the secondary blind boys.

Three track and field events used in most schools for the blind are the standing broad jump, 50 yard dash and the basketball throw for distance. Classification indexes were computed for each group of visually handicapped children in each of these events. The computation of a classification index using factors of age, height and weight involved the solution of a four-variable problem in partial and multiple correlation techniques.<sup>(4)</sup>

---

4. Bovard, John F., et al., TESTS & MEASUREMENTS IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Co., 1949. pp. 288-290.





Individual and best-fit classification indexes for groups of elementary school visually handicapped children are listed below. Some of the computation required to obtain the individual indexes will be found in Appendixes N, O, P and Q. In the following indexes, A refers to age in years, H to height in inches and W to weight in pounds.

Partially Seeing Boys (10-16 years of age)

Running Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .229H  $\neq$  .012W  
 Throwing Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .421H  $\neq$  .010W  
 Jumping Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .460H -- .008W

---

Best-fit Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .370H  $\neq$  .005W

Blind Boys (10-16 years of age)

Running Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .332H -- .046W  
 Throwing Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .178H  $\neq$  .037W  
 Jumping Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .199H -- .004W

---

Best-fit Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .236H -- .004W

Partially Seeing Girls (10-15 years of age)

Running Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .378H -- .058W  
 Throwing Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .190H  $\neq$  .006W  
 Jumping Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .277H -- .054W

---

Best-fit Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .282H -- .035W

Blind Girls (10-15 years of age)

Running Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .052H  $\neq$  .033W  
 Throwing Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .316H  $\neq$  .035W  
 Jumping Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .213H -- .123W

---

Best-fit Index -- 1A  $\neq$  .197H -- .018W



To be truly scientific a classification plan should be devised for each year of chronological age and for each event in which the pupil competes. Such a scheme is utterly impractical from an administrative point of view and will not be considered here. There is some evidence to indicate that extremely high relationships <sup>between</sup> exist a best-fit classification scheme and specific indexes at ages below nineteen. (5) It may be assumed from this study that a best-fit classification index covering an age range of five or six years is justifiable.

#### CLASSIFICATION PLANS FOR VARIOUS GROUPS OF VISUALLY

HANDICAPPED CHILDREN. After best-fit classification indexes had been obtained for various groups of visually handicapped children, it was possible to construct classification charts for each group. Since the factors of age, height and weight seem to have little influence upon the performance of visually handicapped children of high-school age, these boys and girls were placed in Class A in their respective groups. In cases where weight represented less than one-tenth of the exponent value of each of the other factors (age and height), it was dropped from the classification plan. Little would be gained by retaining weight as a factor in the classification charts for partially seeing boys and blind boys.

- 
5. Neilson, N. P., "A Study of Achievement in Selected Athletic Events." Doctor's dissertation, University of California, 1936.





## CLASSIFICATION CHART FOR PARTIALLY SEEING GIRLS

Derived from  $1A$  (years)  $\div .282H$  (inches) -  $.035W$  (pounds)

Exponent	Age	Height	Weight
-2			71 down
-3			72-99
-4			100-128
-5			129-157
-6 . . . . .			158 over
10	9:6-10:5		
11	10:6-11:5		
12	11:6-12:5		
13	12:6-13:5		
14	13:6-14:5	48-51	
15	14:6-15:5	$51\frac{1}{2}$ - $54\frac{1}{2}$	
16		55-58 $\frac{1}{2}$	
17		59-62	
18		$62\frac{1}{2}$ - $65\frac{1}{2}$	
19		66-69	
20		$69\frac{1}{2}$ over	

Sum of exponents	Class	Age
	A*	16 years old and over
28 and above	B	
25-27	C	
24 and below	D	

\* Because of low correlations between age, height and weight and performance, all partially seeing girls 16 years old and over are placed in Class A.

## CLASSIFICATION CHART FOR BLIND GIRLS

Derived from formula  $1A$  (years)  $\div .197H$  (inches) -  $.018W$  (pounds)

Exponent	Age	Height	Weight
-1			83 down
-2			84-138
-3 . . . . .			139 over
10	9:6-10:5	$48\frac{1}{2}$ -53	
11	10:6-11:5	$53\frac{1}{2}$ -58	
12	11:6-12:5	$58\frac{1}{2}$ -63	
13	12:6-13:5	$63\frac{1}{2}$ - $68\frac{1}{2}$	
14	13:6-14:5	69 over	
15	14:6-15:5		

Sum of exponents	Class	Age
	A*	16 years old and over
24 and above	B	
22-23	C	
21 and below	D	

\* because of low correlations between age, height and weight and performance, all blind girls 16 years old and over are placed in Class A.





## CLASSIFICATION CHART FOR PARTIALLY SEEING BOYS

Derived from formula  $1A \text{ (years)} \div .37H \text{ (inches)}$ 

Exponent	Age	Exponent	Height
10	9:6-10:5	18	49 $\frac{1}{2}$ down
11	10:6-11:5	19	50-52 $\frac{1}{2}$
12	11:6-12:5	20	53-55
13	12:6-13:5	21	55 $\frac{1}{2}$ -58
14	13:6-14:5	22	58 $\frac{1}{2}$ -60 $\frac{1}{2}$
15	14:6-15:5	23	61-63 $\frac{1}{2}$
16	15:6-16:5	24	64-66
		25	66 $\frac{1}{2}$ -68 $\frac{1}{2}$
		26	69-71 $\frac{1}{2}$
		27	72 over

---

Sum of exponents	Class	Age
	A*	17 years old and over
39 and above	B	
35-38	C	
32-34	D	
31 and below	E	

\* Because of low correlations between age, height and weight and performance, all partially seeing boys 17 years old and over are placed in Class A.

## CLASSIFICATION CHART FOR BLIND BOYS

Derived from formula  $1A \text{ (years)} \div .236H \text{ (inches)}$ 

Exponent	Age	Height
10	9:6-10:5	
11	10:6-11:5	
12	11:6-12:5	49-52 $\frac{1}{2}$
13	12:6-13:5	53-57
14	13:6-14:5	57 $\frac{1}{2}$ -61
15	14:6-15:5	61 $\frac{1}{2}$ -65 $\frac{1}{2}$
16	15:6-16:5	66-69 $\frac{1}{2}$
17		70 over

---

Sum of exponents	Class	Age
	A*	17 years old and over
30 and above	B	
27-29	C	
25-26	D	
24 and below	E	

\* Because of low correlations between age, height and weight and performance, all blind boys 17 years old and over are placed in Class A.



The information needed to classify pupils is usually kept up-to-date and available in most schools for the blind. The use of the charts presented above for classifying pupils is illustrated by the following examples:

Blind Boy	Exponent
Age - 13 years and 2 months	13
Height - 60 inches	14
Sum of exponents	27
Boy is in Class C	

Partially Seeing Girl	Exponent
Age - 12 years and 3 months	12
Height - 60 inches	17
Weight - 105 pounds	-4
Sum of exponents	25
Girl is in Class C	

COMPARISON OF CLASSIFICATION FORMULAS FOR SEEING AND VISUALLY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN. In Table XXX the best-fit indexes for the various groups of children with defective vision are multiplied by 20 so that they are comparable to the formulas used to classify seeing boys and girls. The classification of visually handicapped children differs from that of seeing students in some respects. On the elementary level a difference exists in the relative importance of weight. This factor influences performance of seeing children much more than that of visually handicapped girls





and boys. For partially seeing boys and for blind boys, weight is so unimportant that it is not included in the plans for classifying these pupils. A classification formula is available for seeing secondary boys, but the correlations between performance and the factors of age, height and weight are so low for visually handicapped boys of high-school age that a valid formula has not been developed.

There are some similarities between the classification schemes of seeing and visually handicapped children. Age and height have somewhat similar weightings in the classification indexes of the two groups. Because of low correlations between performance and the factors of age, height and weight, valid classification indexes have not been developed for either seeing or visually handicapped girls of high-school age.

CORRELATION BETWEEN TWO PLANS OF CLASSIFYING VISUALLY

HANDICAPPED CHILDREN. High relationships exist between the empirical plan now used to classify children with defective vision and the best-fit indexes developed in the present study. The correlations for boys are a little higher than those for girls. Table XXXI shows the coefficients of correlation between the two plans of classifying visually handicapped children.





Table XXXI

Correlation Between Empirical Classification Plan for  
Children With Defective Vision and Best-Fit Indexes

Group	Correlation Coefficient
Partially seeing boys	.954
Blind boys	.968
Partially seeing girls	.910
Blind girls	.896

It may be assumed from <sup>this</sup> ~~this~~ data that achievement scale scores  
computed for the two classification schemes will be highly  
related.



## Chapter X

### Physical Education Achievement Scales for Visually Handicapped Boys and Girls

PURPOSES OF ACHIEVEMENT SCALES. The purposes of achievement scales are:

1. To indicate progress in various events.
2. To serve as a motivating device to improve physical conditions and to overcome weaknesses that appear.
3. To serve as a basis for a testing program which encourages the development of all-around ability.
4. To establish norms so that competition among children of dissimilar ages and heights can be equalized.
5. To provide a scientific tool for research.

One of the primary purposes in constructing the achievement scales presented here is to give the teacher and pupil an accurate measuring device in some events common in the activities of the visually handicapped. If the proper use is made of a testing program, teaching will be made more meaningful and concrete for the teacher and pupils. Pupils can be encouraged to increase their proficiency in athletic events. A student is interested in comparing his performance with his previous records and with the records of other students. He is interested in knowing whether he is above or below the average in a particular event.

Since the visually handicapped are so widely scattered, the question is frequently asked where a particular school or individual stands in relation to the physical achievement of those with defective vision in other states. The achievement scales presented here will enable the physical education teacher to better evaluate a part of his program.





NEED FOR REVISION OF CERTAIN ACHIEVEMENT SCALES FOR THE

VISUALLY HANDICAPPED. Some of the achievement scales

<sup>(1)</sup>  
published by Buell in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND are in need of revision. Some of the comparisons made in earlier chapters of the present study are based upon the scales for the 50 yard dash, standing broad jump, and basketball throw for distance listed in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND.

In general, the means obtained from these scales are far below 50 points. This indicates that these scales are too difficult for the representative sample of visually handicapped boys and girls used in the present study.

The scales for the three events in Buell's earlier work are based upon means obtained from performances in the National Athletic Association of Schools for the Blind which is not a representative sample according to the present study.

Data presented in Chapter VI indicate that separate scales should be constructed for the blind and the partially seeing in the 50 yard dash, the basketball throw for distance, and the standing broad jump. In his earlier work Buell <sup>(2)</sup> assumed that a classification chart developed for seeing children would also be valid for visually handicapped boys and girls. In Chapter IX a classification plan is presented which better meets the needs of those with defective vision. The revisions referred to above are included in the achievement scales presented here.

- 
1. Buell, Charles E., SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1947. pp. 195-219.
  2. Ibid., p. 188.





CONSTRUCTION OF THE ACHIEVEMENT SCALES. The performance records of the 865 visually handicapped pupils participating in the present study were segregated according to their classifications as shown in Chapter IX. Means and standard deviations of each distribution were computed. The mean was set at a score of 50, while the 0 and 100 points were placed three standard deviations below and above the mean. Due to skewed distributions in some of the events, particularly the 50 yard dash, it was deemed advisable to estimate the size of the standard deviation which would fit the range of the distribution below the mean, and one which would fit the range above the mean. This explains the difference in score values above and below the mean in some of the scales. This seems to be the only practical method to adequately cover the range of performance in certain events for the visually handicapped.

Performance in most of the boys' events increases<sup>s</sup> rather regularly as pupils grow older and taller. Since the number in some of the classes is small, (not less than 26) it seems that a straight line of best fit to the means of performance in each event would more truly represent the actual manner in which performance increases than would the means computed separately for the several classes. To illustrate the method used, an example is shown in Figure I. In some events the standard deviations increase or decrease rather regularly from class to class. These standard deviations were plotted, and the line of best fit was drawn as in the case of the means.



Reserve this page for Figure I which will be a graph.

This will be completed and in your hands by may 20th.

This means that the pages as listed are not correct

beyond this point. Some of them have been put in in ink.

The material in Chapter XI, Summary and Conclusions,  
should be completely revised and made available to you  
by May 20th.





# NOTE

Chapter XI, Summary and Conclusions, is now being revised and should be available by May 20th.

In its original form it was nine pages long, but the length may change a page or two.





Where the standard deviations were found to be fairly uniform, or where no trend was evident, the average was used.

In comparison with achievement scales for seeing children, the scales for those with defective vision cover a wider range of performance. The standard deviations used to construct the scales for the visually handicapped were almost always larger than those for seeing boys and girls. In the scales presented here there is a tendency for the range of performance below the mean to exceed that above the mean. This seems to be particularly true of the blind.

The achievement scales are constructed in a manner that 77 per cent of the visually handicapped pupils should score between 30 and 70 in each event. About 27 per cent should score above 60 and 27 per cent below 40. Those scoring lower than 40 points on ~~xxxx~~ the achievement scales presented here should receive special training.

READING THE SCALES. The scales are read by knowing the classification of the boy or girl and locating the performance in the class column. The score to be given will be found directly opposite either to the right or left. If the exact performance record cannot be found, find the next lowest record listed in the achievement scale and use the score opposite that record. Here are some examples: Class B blind girl runs 50 yards in 10.0 seconds - 30 points. Class D partially seeing boy standing broad jumps six feet eleven inches - 72 points.

Where the standard deviations were found to be fairly uniform, or where the

Table XXX

Comparison of Classification Formulas for Seeing  
and Visually Handicapped Children

GROUP	SEEING	VISUALLY HANDICAPPED
Elementary boys	20A / 5.5H / 1.1W (6)	20A / 7.4H / .1W -- Partially seeing
Elementary girls	20A / 5.5H / 1.1W (6)	20A / 4.7H - .08W -- Blind
Secondary boys	2A / .475H / .16W (7)	20A / 5.6H - .7W -- Partially seeing
Secondary girls	No formula (8)	20A / 3.9H - .4W -- Blind
		No formula (8)
		No formula (8)

6. Neilson, N. P., and Cozens, F. W., Op. cit., p. 162.
7. Cozens, F. W., et al., PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT SCALES FOR BOYS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1936. pp. 10-13.
8. Because of low correlation between performance and factors of age, height and weight, classification chart has not been developed





## Chapter XI

### Summary and Conclusions

SUMMARY. This study has attempted to measure gross motor performance of blind and partially seeing children by means of a battery of tests, including the 50 yard dash, basketball throw for distance, standing broad jump, and the Iowa Brace Test. About one fifth of all children over ten years of age in schools and classes for the blind were included in the study. The writer gave the tests to 865 children in twelve residential schools and eight Braille classes. This is the largest and most representative sample ever obtained for research in motor performance of the visually handicapped.

The normative-survey method was used in this study, and some consideration was given to such causal relations as amount of vision, duration of visual handicap, physical education, and attitude of parents toward their children. Mean performances of the visually handicapped were compared with norms for seeing children. Comparisons were made by obtaining means, standard deviations, probable errors, and significance of differences of the means.

Information concerning age, height, and weight of pupils was obtained from school records. From conferences with pupils and members of the school staff, information on the duration of visual handicap, amount of vision, and attitude of parents toward their children, was obtained.





The amount of vision was interpreted here in terms of usefulness. Those with enough vision to see sidewalks and follow them easily were classified as partially seeing, while children with no useful vision were listed as blind. The sample was representative of the total population in schools for the blind, in that 36 per cent of the children had no useful vision. One fourth of the blind children lost their vision after six years of age.

Ages of the boys and girls taking part in the study were fairly well distributed. More than half of the pupils had attended a special school for at least six years. Classified as overprotected were 27 per cent of the blind and 11 per cent of the partially seeing.

Due to the educational lag of children in schools for the blind, chronological age, rather than academic level was the basis for dividing the group taking the Iowa Brace Test. The effect of giving an extra trial in these tests was studied.

Using the factors of age, height and weight, best-fit classification indexes were obtained by solving four-variable problems in partial and multiple correlation techniques. From the best-fit indexes, four classification charts were constructed for various groups of visually handicapped children--partially seeing boys, blind boys, partially seeing girls, and blind girls.



The charts for girls include the factors of age, height and weight, while those for boys are based on age and height. In each classification scheme the weightings of these factors are different.

Achievement scales were used to equate track and field performances of children of dissimilar ages. Reliability of track and field events for visually handicapped children received some consideration. Evidence was introduced to show that the selection of tests used in the battery probably measure gross motor performance as reliably as any device available at the present time.

CONCLUSIONS. From the data presented in this study, it seems reasonable to draw the following conclusions:

(1) On all levels of the Iowa Brace Test, the scores of the visually handicapped fall far below those of seeing children. The weakness of children with defective vision seems to be general, rather than specific in nature. In no one factor, body control, static balance, coordination, or agility, do they consistently fall below seeing children. By giving the visually handicapped a third trial in the Iowa Brace Tests, their scores are not raised enough to be equal to those of seeing children.

(2) In most track and field events, mean scores for pupils in schools for the blind fall far below those for seeing children. The visually handicapped score lowest in the basketball throw for distance and perform





significantly better in running than in throwing. Due to intensive training in the event, high school boys with defective vision excel the seeing in the standing broad jump. The mean scores in running and jumping of older pupils in schools for the blind more nearly approach the norms for the seeing than do the scores of the younger visually handicapped children. Boys with defective vision perform relatively better than do girls. Special schools usually provide more physical education for the male sex.

(3) The weakness of the visually handicapped in track and field is a result of limited physical activity before entering school. This is caused by lack of vision and parental overprotection. Also, blind children have particular difficulty in learning to throw, and fear of injury, weaving back and forth, and holding on to a guide wire are handicaps in running.

(4) In comparison with the seeing, children with defective vision perform just as consistently in track and field events. Correlation coefficients between trials are over .90 for the visually handicapped.

(5) When the track and field performances of the blind and partially seeing were compared on Buell's achievement scales, some significant differences were found. The partially seeing excelled in running and throwing, and in the girls' broad jump. In the boys' broad jump older pupils performed equally as well as did the partially seeing.





(6) In the Iowa Brace Test, the partially seeing score better than the blind in both elementary groups and the junior high school level for girls. There is little difference between the scores of the blind and the partially seeing on the high school level and for the boys in junior high school. This would indicate that blind girls and boys make good progress in the ability to use their muscles after entering school. There is not much difference in the performance of the blind and partially seeing in most stunts of the Iowa Brace Test. The partially seeing definitely excel in two stunts of balance, one<sup>nt</sup> foot--touch head and one<sup>nt</sup> knee--head to floor, in which the blind have difficulty in judging the distance of the upper part of the body from the floor.

(7) Overprotected blind and partially seeing boys and girls perform below normal in running, jumping, throwing, and on most levels of the Iowa Brace Test, while there is little difference between the performances of neglected visually handicapped children and those with normal home backgrounds. For children in schools for the blind, the attitude of parental overprotection influences performance in track and field events, and scores on a stunt type test. In so far as motor performance is concerned, parental neglect is to be preferred to overprotection.



(8) Children who lose their vision after six years of age do not have as much difficulty in adjusting to physical activities as do those blind from early childhood. Recently blinded girls perform better in running, throwing, and jumping. Boys who lose their vision after six years of age throw the basketball further than do those who have never seen this intricate act. The visual factor is very important in learning to throw.

(9) In the classification plan now commonly used in schools for the blind, boys and girls whose sum of exponents is below 12 do not perform as well in running, throwing, and jumping, as do children whose sum of exponents is between 13 and 20. A class should be added for the younger boys, and another for the girls.

(10) A high correlation exists between the empirical plan now used to classify children with defective vision and the best-fit indexes developed in this study. Age and height have somewhat similar weightings in the classification of seeing and visually handicapped children. Because of low correlations between performance and the factors of age, height and weight, valid classification indexes have not been developed for either seeing or visually handicapped secondary school girls.





(11) The classification of visually handicapped students differs from that of seeing children in some respects. In elementary and junior high schools, weight influences the performance of seeing students much more than that of children with defective vision. Correlations between performance and age, height and weight are much higher for secondary school seeing boys than for visually handicapped boys of high-school age.

(12) Some of the achievement scales in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND are in need of revision because they are too difficult for the boys and girls. The records of the National Athletic Association of Schools for the Blind, upon which some of these scales were based, are not representative of the performance of visually handicapped children in running, jumping, and throwing. Since the blind perform below the partially seeing in most track and field events, separate scales should be constructed for the two groups. It is hoped the weaknesses referred to above have been overcome in the achievement scales presented in this study.

(13) In comparison with achievement scales for seeing children, the scales for those with defective vision cover a wider range of performance. In the scales presented here, there is a tendency for the range of performance below the mean to exceed that above the mean.





IMPLICATIONS. Among the factors which affect the motor performance of visually handicapped children and which should help guide teachers of physical education are;

- (1) amount of vision, (2) duration of visual handicap,
- (3) attitude of parents toward their children, and
- (4) the physical education received in school and elsewhere.

It is hoped that this study may, in some measure serve to stimulate and direct those who are interested in advancing the status of physical education for visually handicapped children. Claims and beliefs are futile arguments for this costly program of special education. If physical education for children with defective vision is to receive the recognition it deserves, facts must be produced. Workers in the field must approach their problems with more objectivity, intelligence, and enthusiasm.



## APPENDIXES





## Appendix A

## Physical Education Activities Questionnaire

Place five or six "X's" in each column and as many "O's" as needed. "X" indicates the activities on which you spend most time in each age group, while "O" indicates other less important activities in which your pupils participate. Write in any others not listed here. Please list the number of minutes a week each group is scheduled for physical education.

	10 to 12 yrs.		13 to 15 yrs.		Over 15 yrs.	
	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls
<u>Minutes per week</u>						
<u>Games, relays</u>						
<u>Tumbling</u>						
<u>Calisthenics</u>						
<u>Corrective work</u>						
<u>Apparatus work</u>						
<u>Marching tactics</u>						
<u>Folk dancing</u>						
<u>Wrestling</u>						
<u>Track and field</u>						
<u>Softball</u>						
<u>Football</u>						
<u>Bowling</u>						
<u>Swimming</u>						
<u>Others</u>						

Do you have interscholastic competition? \_\_\_\_\_

What is the nature of the program? \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



Physical Education Activities Questionnaire

Please list the activities in which your child participates. Place five or six "X's" in each column and as many "0's" as needed. "X" indicates the activities on which your child spends most time in each age group. While "0" indicates other less important activities in which your child participates. List in any order not listed here. Please list the number of minutes a week each group is scheduled for physical education.

	10 to 12 yrs.	13 to 15 yrs.	Over 15 yrs.
Boys			
Girls			
Minutes per week			
Baseball			
Softball			
Football			
Basketball			
Volleyball			
Swimming			
Others			
Track and field			
Wrestling			
Folk dancing			
Marching band			
Apparatus work			
Corrective work			
Gymnastics			
Tumbling			
Relays			

Do you have inter-school athletic competition?

What is the nature of the program?



## Appendix B

Scores of Visually Handicapped Boys on Achievement  
Scales for Seeing Boys

(1)											(2)										
SECONDARY CLASSES											ELEMENTARY CLASSES										
DASH	Score	A	B	C	D	E	D	C	B	A	Score										
	0-9	11	5	10	12	7	16	15	14	15	0-9										
	10-19	5	2	2	3	1	6	7	5	3	10-19										
	20-29	9	8	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	20-29										
	30-39	8	6	10	10	4	5	3	4	4	30-39										
	40-49	24	16	6	5	5	7	7	5	2	40-49										
	50-59	27	14	5	6	9	2	3	9	6	50-59										
	60-69	18	8	9	6	4	2	7	2	3	60-69										
	70-79	16	3	2	2	1	1	4	2	1	70-79										
	80-89	6	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	3	80-89										
	90-99	6	2	2	5	0	0	0	0	3	90-99										
	Number	130	66	53	55	35	43	50	44	43											
	Means	50.7	45.9	40.9	41.2	37.9	24.5	31.8	30.4	35.9											
THROW	A	B	C	D	E	D	C	B	A												
	0-9	37	20	21	28	5	7	11	4	1	0-9										
	10-19	19	12	12	7	6	14	5	7	9	10-19										
	20-29	13	15	5	9	13	10	13	13	13	20-29										
	30-39	14	9	8	7	5	6	12	13	7	30-39										
	40-49	17	7	3	1	4	4	6	5	7	40-49										
	50-59	19	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	4	50-59										
	60-69	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	60-69										
	70-79	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	70-79										
	80-89	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	80-89										
	90-99	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	90-99										
	Number	130	66	53	55	35	43	50	44	43											
	Means	30.8	21.8	20.0	16.9	25.4	23.6	26.3	27.7	31.5											
JUMP	A	B	C	D	E	D	C	B	A												
	0-9	6	2	5	3	3	5	10	5	7	0-9										
	10-19	3	1	1	4	1	4	3	4	3	10-19										
	20-29	16	6	4	4	6	3	4	6	7	20-29										
	30-39	10	3	4	6	4	4	7	9	4	30-39										
	40-49	14	11	7	6	2	10	8	7	8	40-49										
	50-59	26	9	11	12	6	8	2	4	4	50-59										
	60-69	24	18	6	6	4	6	8	4	3	60-69										
	70-79	16	10	7	8	5	3	5	4	5	70-79										
	80-89	7	4	3	2	3	0	2	1	2	80-89										
	90-99	8	2	5	4	1	0	1	0	0	90-99										
	Number	130	66	53	55	35	43	50	44	43											
	Means	53.3	55.9	53.2	51.4	48.9	41.5	40.9	38.8	39.4											

1. Cozens, F. W., PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT SCALES FOR BOYS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1936.
2. Neilson, N. P., and Cozens, F. W., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR BOYS AND GIRLS IN ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1939.



Scores of Visually Handicapped Boys on Achievement  
Scales for Reading Boys

(1)

(2)

SECONDARY CLASSES										ELEMENTARY CLASSES									
Score	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	Score	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
0-9	11	5	10	13	7	16	12	14	15	0-9	15	14	12	16	17	15	14	13	12
10-19	5	2	3	3	1	6	4	4	4	10-19	3	2	4	4	3	3	3	3	3
20-29	9	8	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	20-29	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3
30-39	8	6	10	10	4	5	5	5	5	30-39	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4
40-49	24	16	6	5	5	7	7	7	7	40-49	3	2	4	4	3	3	3	3	3
50-59	27	14	5	6	6	3	3	3	3	50-59	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
60-69	18	8	9	6	4	3	3	3	3	60-69	3	2	4	4	3	3	3	3	3
70-79	16	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	70-79	1	2	4	4	3	3	3	3	3
80-89	6	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	80-89	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
90-99	6	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	90-99	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number 130	66	53	53	55	35	43	50	44	43	Number 130	43	44	50	43	44	43	43	43	43
Means 50.7	45.9	40.9	41.3	37.9	34.2	31.8	30.4	32.9	32.9	Means 50.7	32.9	30.4	31.8	30.4	32.9	32.9	32.9	32.9	32.9

SECONDARY CLASSES										ELEMENTARY CLASSES									
Score	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	Score	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
0-9	37	20	21	23	5	14	11	11	11	0-9	1	4	11	11	4	4	4	4	4
10-19	19	13	13	13	6	14	13	13	13	10-19	9	7	13	13	7	7	7	7	7
20-29	13	12	12	12	9	10	10	10	10	20-29	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
30-39	14	9	8	7	5	6	6	6	6	30-39	7	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
40-49	17	7	3	1	4	4	4	4	4	40-49	7	2	6	6	4	4	4	4	4
50-59	19	3	1	3	3	1	1	1	1	50-59	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
60-69	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60-69	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70-79	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	70-79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
80-89	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	80-89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
90-99	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	90-99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number 130	66	53	53	55	35	43	50	44	43	Number 130	43	44	50	43	44	43	43	43	43
Means 50.7	45.9	40.9	41.3	37.9	34.2	31.8	30.4	32.9	32.9	Means 50.7	32.9	30.4	31.8	30.4	32.9	32.9	32.9	32.9	32.9

SECONDARY CLASSES										ELEMENTARY CLASSES									
Score	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	Score	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
0-9	6	3	5	3	3	2	10	10	10	0-9	7	2	10	10	2	2	2	2	2
10-19	3	1	1	4	1	4	3	3	3	10-19	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4
20-29	16	6	4	4	6	3	4	4	4	20-29	7	6	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
30-39	10	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	30-39	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
40-49	14	11	7	6	3	10	6	6	6	40-49	3	7	6	6	4	4	4	4	4
50-59	26	9	11	13	6	3	3	3	3	50-59	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
60-69	24	18	6	6	4	6	6	6	6	60-69	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
70-79	16	10	7	3	2	3	2	2	2	70-79	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
80-89	7	4	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	80-89	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
90-99	3	3	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	90-99	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Number 130	66	53	53	55	35	43	50	44	43	Number 130	43	44	50	43	44	43	43	43	43
Means 52.3	45.9	40.9	41.3	37.9	34.2	31.8	30.4	32.9	32.9	Means 52.3	32.9	30.4	31.8	30.4	32.9	32.9	32.9	32.9	32.9

1. Corsons, E. W., *Physical Education Achievement Scales for Boys*  
IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1936.
2. Neilson, H. P., and Corsons, E. W., *Physical Education Achievement Scales for Boys and Girls in Junior High Schools*. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1936.



## Appendix C

Scores of Visually Handicapped Girls on Achievement  
Scales for Seeing Girls

(1)									
	Class	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Score
DASH	Score								
	0-9	13	12	9	11	13	17	13	0-9
	10-19	1	1	5	3	9	4	1	10-19
	20-29	4	1	3	2	7	1	4	20-29
	30-39	4	0	3	6	4	10	4	30-39
	40-49	4	8	1	3	8	7	0	40-49
	50-59	2	1	1	1	3	4	2	50-59
	60-69	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	60-69
	70-79	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	70-79
	80-89	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	80-89
	90-99	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	90-99
	Number	30	23	23	28	45	45	26	
Means	24.2	21.9	19.7	24.5	24.5	25.8	23.4		
THROW		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	
	0-9	0	1	2	2	10	22	14	0-9
	10-19	2	5	1	7	11	7	2	10-19
	20-29	11	6	8	7	18	5	4	20-29
	30-39	7	7	4	7	3	4	3	30-39
	40-49	6	2	4	3	2	4	3	40-49
	50-59	2	2	2	0	0	2	0	50-59
	60-69	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	60-69
	70-79	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	70-79
	80-89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80-89
	90-99	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	90-99
	Number	30	23	23	28	45	45	26	
	Means	34.8	28.8	34.1	29.1	20.1	18.1	16.4	
Secondary (2)	Score	DASH		THROW		JUMP		Score	
	0-9	33		20		11		0-9	
	10-19	15		29		14		10-19	
	20-29	9		32		17		20-29	
	30-39	15		17		16		30-39	
	40-49	22		11		14		40-49	
	50-59	20		14		16		50-59	
	60-69	5		2		19		60-69	
	70-79	4		1		8		70-79	
	80-89	3		0		10		80-89	
	90-99	0		0		1		90-99	
	Number	126		126		126			
	Means	32.1		26.5		43.5			

1. Neilson, N. P., and Cozens, F. W., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR BOYS AND GIRLS IN ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1939.
2. Cozens, F. W., et al., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR SECONDARY SCHOOL GIRLS AND COLLEGE WOMEN. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1937.



Appendix C

Scores of Visually Handicapped Girls on Achievement  
Scales for Seeding Girls

(1)

Class	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Score
0-9	13	13	9	11	13	13	13	0-9
10-19	1	1	2	3	3	4	1	10-19
20-29	4	1	3	3	4	1	4	20-29
30-39	4	0	3	6	4	10	4	30-39
40-49	4	8	1	3	8	7	0	40-49
50-59	2	1	1	1	3	4	2	50-59
60-69	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	60-69
70-79	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	70-79
80-89	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	80-89
90-99	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	90-99
Number	30	23	23	28	45	45	26	
Means	24.8	21.9	19.7	24.2	24.2	22.8	23.4	
0-9	0	1	2	2	10	22	14	0-9
10-19	2	2	1	1	11	7	2	10-19
20-29	11	6	8	4	18	2	4	20-29
30-39	7	1	4	1	3	4	3	30-39
40-49	6	2	4	3	2	4	3	40-49
50-59	2	2	2	0	0	2	0	50-59
60-69	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	60-69
70-79	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	70-79
80-89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80-89
90-99	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	90-99
Number	30	23	23	28	45	45	26	
Means	34.8	28.8	34.1	29.1	30.1	18.1	16.4	
Score	Score	DASH	THROW	JUMP	Score	Score	Score	
0-9	23	20	11	0-9	11	11	11	
10-19	12	29	14	10-19	14	14	14	
20-29	9	32	17	20-29	17	17	17	
30-39	12	14	16	30-39	16	16	16	
40-49	22	11	14	40-49	14	14	14	
50-59	20	14	19	50-59	19	19	19	
60-69	2	2	19	60-69	19	19	19	
70-79	4	1	8	70-79	8	8	8	
80-89	3	0	10	80-89	10	10	10	
90-99	0	0	1	90-99	1	1	1	
Number	122	122	122	122	122	122	122	
Means	32.1	26.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	

I. Neilson, M. P., and Corsons, F. W., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL  
EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR BOYS AND GIRLS IN ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR  
HIGH SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1932.  
2. Corsons, F. W., et al., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION  
ACTIVITIES FOR SENIOR SCHOOL GIRLS AND COLLEGE WOMEN.  
New York: A. S. Barnes Co., 1937.

## Appendix D

Comparison of Blind and Partially Seeing Girls  
by Classes--Buell's Achievement Scales\*

B--Blind

S--Partially seeing

DASH

Class	A		B		C		D		E	
Score	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S
0-9	4	1	17	10	6	3	10	4	10	4
10-19	1	3	6	2	2	2	0	2	0	4
20-29	4	2	6	4	5	2	4	2	0	2
30-39	3	4	6	5	8	11	3	3	0	6
40-49	2	6	4	5	0	9	2	5	2	3
50-59	4	4	6	15	4	9	1	10	0	8
60-69	3	8	4	10	2	12	1	2	0	5
70-79	2	4	1	1	0	4	0	2	0	0
80-89	0	5	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
90-99	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	0
	N 23	38	50	57	27	55	21	31	12	32
Means	38.4	53.9	27.1	44.9	29.7	49.0	21.7	43.2	11.2	38.3

THROW

Class	A		B		C		D		E	
Score	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S
0-9	7	1	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
10-19	3	6	16	7	2	2	3	0	2	1
20-29	9	11	14	14	8	11	3	2	7	9
30-39	3	4	7	9	8	13	8	8	3	11
40-49	0	5	5	8	4	21	7	5	0	7
50-59	1	9	3	12	2	5	0	9	0	4
60-69	0	1	1	4	1	1	0	4	0	0
70-79	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0
80-89	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
90-99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	N 23	38	50	57	27	55	21	31	12	32
Means	19.7	35.6	25.7	37.0	34.4	39.6	33.5	49.7	25.3	35.6

JUMP

Class	A		B		C		D		E	
Score	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S
0-9	4	4	7	9	2	4	2	2	6	8
10-19	3	2	5	5	4	3	6	0	0	1
20-29	1	5	13	3	3	1	1	3	0	1
30-39	4	4	4	5	2	4	2	2	1	1
40-49	1	5	4	8	6	11	1	3	4	5
50-59	5	2	4	6	0	17	5	3	0	3
60-69	2	5	4	3	1	8	0	7	0	5
70-79	2	8	4	8	5	0	2	5	1	4
80-89	0	2	1	3	2	4	2	4	0	3
90-99	1	1	4	7	2	3	0	2	0	1
	N 23	38	50	57	27	55	21	31	12	32
Means	39.7	47.9	39.5	48.2	47.1	50.5	39.3	58.0	26.2	45.7

\* See SPORTS FOR THE BLIND.



\*Televised interview by ABC News

30-28-1

2019 12 14 10:00



Appendix E

Comparison of Blind and Partially Seeing Boys  
by Classes--Buell's Achievement Scales\*

B--Blind  
S--Partially seeing

DASH	Class		A		B		C		D		E		F	
			B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S
	Score													
	0-9		7	2	4	3	2	3	5	3	16	9	18	14
	10-19		3	3	2	3	2	6	3	4	2	6	1	5
	20-29		4	5	1	4	2	0	1	2	0	11	0	5
	30-39		6	4	6	6	3	3	3	3	1	5	1	4
	40-49		16	10	6	5	2	9	6	9	1	11	0	7
	50-59		6	23	8	9	3	6	0	7	2	12	2	4
	60-69		5	14	4	7	0	6	2	10	3	5	0	2
	70-79		5	12	3	3	1	9	2	5	1	8	2	4
	80-89		2	4	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	4	0	1
	90-99		0	6	0	3	0	6	0	4	0	0	0	1
	N		54	83	34	44	16	48	22	50	26	71	24	47
	Means		42.3	56.8	43.0	48.1	37.6	53.2	33.6	53.1	21.4	41.8	16.1	32.4

THROW	Class		A		B		C		D		E		F	
			B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S
	Score													
	0-9		11	8	5	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
	10-19		8	9	2	2	2	3	2	1	6	6	11	6
	20-29		10	8	6	8	4	5	3	5	4	8	5	10
	30-39		10	10	6	6	1	7	8	7	8	15	4	12
	40-49		8	13	1	10	4	13	6	17	6	24	3	12
	50-59		3	7	5	6	2	9	0	11	1	11	0	5
	60-69		1	12	5	5	1	5	2	5	0	3	0	2
	70-79		1	9	1	5	1	4	0	2	0	1	0	0
	80-89		0	3	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
	90-99		2	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
	N		54	83	34	44	16	48	22	50	26	71	24	47
	Means		29.7	45.0	41.0	47.0	37.0	47.2	35.4	47.9	30.2	42.1	23.1	35.8

JUMP	Class		A		B		C		D		E		F	
			B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S	B	S
	Score													
	0-9		3	5	2	4	3	5	4	6	10	15	15	14
	10-19		4	7	4	4	0	6	4	5	3	3	3	3
	20-29		7	9	2	3	2	4	1	4	1	5	1	5
	30-39		5	7	5	7	1	7	2	4	2	9	1	8
	40-49		12	21	6	12	3	6	3	10	3	8	0	5
	50-59		11	13	7	8	2	6	1	8	0	9	1	4
	60-69		5	8	5	3	4	7	4	6	5	11	2	5
	70-79		4	8	1	2	1	4	2	4	2	10	1	3
	80-89		2	4	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
	90-99		1	1	2	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0
	N		54	83	34	44	16	48	22	50	26	71	24	47
	Means		45.1	45.5	44.8	40.9	42.0	42.6	38.5	43.7	30.2	41.5	17.8	31.7

\* See SPORTS FOR THE BLIND.

PHYSIC

WORK

HEAD

Class	A	B	C	D	E	F
Score	3	3	3	4	4	14
0-9	3	4	3	4	3	3
10-19	7	4	0	4	3	5
20-29	7	3	2	1	1	8
30-39	5	5	1	2	1	2
40-49	13	13	3	10	3	4
50-59	11	11	2	8	1	5
60-69	5	8	4	6	2	3
70-79	4	3	1	0	0	0
80-89	1	1	0	0	0	0
90-99	1	1	0	0	0	0
N 24	33	34	16	20	26	47
Means	45.1 45.2	44.8 40.9	42.0 42.6	38.5 43.7	30.2 41.2	14.8 31.7

Class	A	B	C	D	E	F
Score	11	11	1	1	1	0
0-9	8	9	3	2	1	6
10-19	10	8	4	3	4	10
20-29	10	10	1	8	15	13
30-39	13	10	4	6	6	13
40-49	3	7	2	0	11	2
50-59	1	13	1	2	0	2
60-69	1	1	1	0	0	0
70-79	3	1	0	0	0	0
80-89	4	2	0	0	0	0
90-99	3	4	0	0	0	0
N 24	83	34	44	23	26	47
Means	39.1 42.0	41.0 47.0	37.0 47.2	32.4 47.9	30.2 43.1	33.1 32.8

Class	A	B	C	D	E	F
Score	7	3	3	2	12	14
0-9	3	3	3	3	2	2
10-19	4	1	4	1	0	0
20-29	6	6	3	3	1	4
30-39	16	10	9	6	1	7
40-49	6	3	3	0	2	4
50-59	14	4	0	2	1	2
60-69	5	12	1	2	8	4
70-79	3	4	0	0	0	1
80-89	0	6	0	4	0	1
90-99	0	0	0	0	0	0
N 24	33	34	16	20	26	47
Means	42.3 52.8	43.0 48.1	37.6 53.2	33.6 53.1	31.4 41.8	16.1 32.4

Comparison of Blind and Partially Seeing Boys  
by Classes--Duff's Achievement Scale\*



## Appendix F

Classification Chart Commonly Used in Schools  
for the Blind

Exponent	Height	Weight	Age	Exponent
1	50	60	10	1
	51	65	10-5	
2	52	66	10-6	2
	53	70	10-11	
3	54	71	11	3
	55	75	11-11	
4		76	12	4
		80	12-5	
5	56	81	12-6	5
	57	85	12-11	
6	58	86	13	6
	59	90	13-5	
7		91	13-6	7
		95	13-11	
8	60	96	14	8
	61	100	14-5	
9	62	101	14-6	9
	63	105	14-11	
10		106	15	10
		110	15-5	
11	64	111	15-6	11
	65	115	15-11	
12		116	16	12
		120	16-5	
13	66	121	16-6	13
	67	125	16-11	
14		126	17	14
		130	17-5	
15	68	131	17-6	15
	69	135	17-11	
16	over	136	18	16
	69	140	18-5	
17		over	over	17
		140	18-5	

## Sum of Exponents\*

Boys	Class	Girls	Class
43 and above	A	37 and above	A
36-42	B	29-36	B
30-35	C	21-28	C
21-29	D	20 and below	D
20 and below	E		

\* Suggest that boys and girls with sum of exponents of 12 and below be placed respectively in Classes F and E





Appendix G

Track and Field Achievement Scale Scores of Blind  
and Partially Seeing Overprotected Boys\*  
Compared With Balance of Sample\*

B--Blind  
PB--Protected blind  
S--Partially seeing  
PS--Protected partially seeing

Score	DASH				THROW				JUMP			
	B	PB	S	PS	B	PB	S	PS	B	PB	S	PS
0-9	19	33	22	12	12	8	7	1	12	25	35	14
10-19	9	4	19	8	14	17	20	7	12	6	24	4
20-29	7	1	23	4	22	10	40	4	11	3	28	2
30-39	16	4	23	2	31	6	51	6	11	5	35	7
40-49	28	3	48	3	24	4	71	18	11	3	56	6
50-59	20	1	55	6	10	1	45	4	24	0	43	5
60-69	14	0	41	3	8	1	30	2	22	5	38	2
70-79	13	1	38	3	3	1	21	0	20		28	3
80-89	3		11	2	1		7	1	11		7	
90-99			20		4		8		3		6	
Number	129	47	300	43	129	47	300	43	129	47	300	43
Mean	41.9	13.4	50.5	32.2	36.3	21.9	45.1	38.2	44.9	19.2	43.1	30.3

\* -- Achievement scales in Buell's SPORTS FOR THE BLIND.







Appendix H

Track and Field Achievement Scales Scores of Blind  
and Partially Seeing Overprotected Girls  
Compared With Balance of Sample\*

B---Blind  
PB---Protected blind  
S---Partially seeing  
PS---Protected partially seeing

Score	DASH				THROW				JUMP			
	B	PB	S	PS	B	PB	S	PS	B	PB	S	PS
0-9	28	19	13	9	8	4	1	2	9	12	22	5
10-19	6	3	11	2	11	15	14	2	10	8	8	3
20-29	15	4	12	0	31	10	40	7	16	2	11	2
30-39	15	5	26	3	23	6	39	6	10	3	15	1
40-49	7	3	26	2	15	1	45	1	10	6	27	5
50-59	14	1	45	1	6		38	1	12	2	30	1
60-69	9	1	35	2	2		9	1	6	1	27	1
70-79	3		11	0	1		5		12	2	23	1
80-89			8	1			1		5		16	
90-99			6				1		7		14	
Number	97	36	193	20	97	36	193	20	97	36	193	20
Mean	30.7	18.1	48.4	26.5	30.4	20.3	40.1	29.0	45.2	25.3	51.7	32.0

\* --- Achievement scales in Buell's SPORTS FOR THE BLIND.

• Put the following questions at column intervals ...

police 6930707-8  
police 6930707-8

10111 20 101000 001001 100000110110 000 100111  
 111110 0011010101010101 011111 111111111111 1111  
 111111 10 100111 011 100111 011 100111 011 100111



Appendix I

Iowa Brace Scores of Visually  
Handicapped Pupils

Score	Elementary		Junior High		High School	
	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls
0	0	1	0	0	0	2
1	0	1	0	0	1	2
2	0	5	0	2	1	5
3	6	3	0	6	2	3
4	5	9	1	2	3	4
5	5	18	1	4	3	10
6	10	8	5	11	4	10
7	12	6	5	5	8	9
8	15	7	6	11	8	16
9	11	8	9	12	5	9
10	14	8	14	4	8	8
11	17	3	16	12	11	9
12	18	9	13	6	26	4
13	9	7	20	6	29	4
14	6	6	22	7	15	6
15	4	1	15	6	7	7
16	8	3	14	7	14	2
17	6	3	12	4	10	7
18	9	2	15	6	13	0
19	2	1	4	0	7	0
20	0	1	7	1	6	0
	N 157	N 110	N 181	N 112	N 181	N 124
	M 10.57	M 8.63	M 13.20	M 10.4	M 12.77	M 9.35



## Ireland

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED  
ALL INFORMATION

1710

David Tolson  
Attorney General

1000

Appendix J

Brace Scores of Blind and Partially Seeing Pupils

Score	Elementary				Junior High				High School			
	Boys Blind Seeing*	Boys Blind Seeing*	Girls Blind Seeing*	Girls Blind Seeing*	Boys Blind Seeing*	Boys Blind Seeing*	Girls Blind Seeing*	Girls Blind Seeing*	Boys Blind Seeing*	Boys Blind Seeing*	Girls Blind Seeing*	Girls Blind Seeing*
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
2	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	3
3	4	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	2
4	3	3	1	4	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	3
5	3	3	8	10	0	5	3	9	1	3	7	5
6	4	7	4	4	2	3	2	3	1	5	5	5
7	5	6	2	4	3	4	6	5	2	6	6	3
8	9	9	3	4	6	6	7	3	3	7	7	9
9	10	10	2	5	12	11	10	3	6	2	2	7
10	14	12	0	6	17	13	4	4	8	2	4	4
11	12	5	3	7	17	13	4	6	14	2	7	2
12	4	4	0	3	13	10	1	5	15	2	2	3
13	4	4	3	1	10	10	2	5	9	2	3	4
14	2	7	0	2	7	9	2	4	6	2	3	5
15	0	4	1	3	10	9	2	5	6	2	3	4
16	1	4	0	3	7	2	2	4	6	2	2	4
17	2	8	1	3	9	2	2	4	6	2	2	0
18	1	1	1	1	2	2	0	0	12	3	2	5
19	1	1	0	1	2	7	0	1	3	3	0	0
20	0	0	0	1	7	7	0	1	3	3	0	0
Number	52	105	38	72	56	125	38	74	69	112	59	65
Mean	9.56	11.08	7.53	9.21	13.36	13.14	9.13	11.51	12.97	12.64	8.86	9.78







## Appendix K

## The Iowa Brace Test

Each pupil takes only ten of the twenty-one tests. Batteries of tests for elementary, junior and senior high school are as follows:

Elementary boys . . . .	Tests 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 16, and 17
Elementary girls. . . .	Tests 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 11, 15, 16, 18, and 19
Junior high boys. . . .	Tests 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 19
Junior high girls . . . .	Tests 1, 2, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, and 20
High School boys. . . .	Tests 1, 3, 5, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, and 21
High school girls . . . .	Tests 2, 3, 7, 9, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20

TEST 1. ONE-FOOT--TOUCH HEAD. Stand on left foot. Bend forward and place both hands on floor. Raise right leg and stretch it back. Touch the head to the floor, and regain the standing position without losing the balance. Do not step about or touch the floor with right foot.

TEST 2. SIDE LEANING REST. Sit down on the floor, legs straight out and feet together. Put the right hand on the floor behind you. Turn to the right and take a side leaning-rest position, resting on the right hand and the right foot. Raise the left arm and keep this position for five seconds.

TEST 3. GRAPEVINE. Stand with both heels tight together. Bend down, extend both arms down between the knees, around behind the ankles, and hold the fingers together in front of the ankles without losing the balance for five seconds.

TEST 4. ONE KNEE BALANCE. Face to the right. Kneel down on one knee with the other leg raised from the floor and arms stretched out at the side. Hold your balance for five seconds.

TEST 5. STORK STAND. Stand on left foot. Hold the bottom of the right foot against the inside of the left knee. Place the hands on the hips, shut both eyes and hold the position for ten seconds without shifting the left foot about on the floor. Do not take right foot down or remove hands from hips.

TEST 6. DOUBLE-HEEL CLICK. Jump into the air and clap the feet together twice and land with feet apart, so that they do not touch each other.



Appendix K

The Iowa School Test

Each pupil takes only one of the elementary tests. Statistics of tests

for elementary, junior and senior high school are as follows:

Elementary boys . . . . .	Tests 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100
Elementary girls . . . . .	Tests 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100
Junior high boys . . . . .	Tests 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100
Junior high girls . . . . .	Tests 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100
High school boys . . . . .	Tests 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100
High school girls . . . . .	Tests 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100

TEST 1. ONE-FOOT-TOUCH STAND. Stand on left foot. Bend forward and place

both hands on floor. Raise right leg and stretch it back. Touch the heel to the floor, and remain in the standing position without losing the balance. Do not step about or touch the floor with right foot.

TEST 2. SHOULDER TEST. Sit down on the floor, legs straight out and feet together. Put the right hand on the floor behind you. Turn to the right and raise the left arm and keep this position for five seconds.

TEST 3. WALKING. Stand with both heels tight together. Bend down, extend both arms down between the knees, around behind the ankles, and hold the fingers together in front of the ankles without losing the balance for five seconds.

TEST 4. ONE-ARM BALANCE. Face to the right. Bend down on knees with the other leg raised from the floor and arms stretched out at the side. Hold your balance for five seconds.

TEST 5. STIFF STAND. Stand on left foot. Hold the bottom of the right foot against the inside of the left knee. Place the hands on the hips, shut both eyes and hold the position for ten seconds without shifting the left foot about on the floor. Do not take right foot down or remove hands from hips.

TEST 6. SHOULDER-BEEL BEND. Walk into the air and raise the feet together and keep the feet apart, so that they do not touch each other.



TEST 7. CROSS LEG SQUAT. Fold the arms across the chest. Cross the feet and sit down cross-legged. Get up without unfolding the arms or moving the feet about to regain the balance.

TEST 8. FULL LEFT TURN. Stand with feet together. Jump into the air and make a full turn to the left, landing on the same spot. Do not move feet after they strike the floor.

TEST 9. ONE KNEEL--HEAD TO FLOOR. Kneel on one knee with the other leg stretched out behind, not touching the floor, the arms at side parallel to the floor; bend forward and touch the head to the floor and raise the head from the floor without losing the balance.

TEST 10. HOP BACKWARDS. Stand on either foot. Close the eyes and take five hops backwards. Do not open eyes or drop other foot.

TEST 11. FORWARD HAND KICK. Jump upward, swinging the legs forward, bend forward and touch the toes with both hands before landing. Keep the knees at an angle of more than 45 degrees.

TEST 12. FULL SQUAT--ARM CIRCLES. Take a full squat position with arms out sidewise. Wave the arms so that the hands make a circle about one foot across, and jiggle up and down at the same time for ten seconds. Do not move the feet about on the floor or lose the balance.

TEST 13. HALF TURN JUMP--LEFT FOOT. Stand on left foot and jump one half turn to the left, keeping the balance.

TEST 14. THREE DIPS. Take a front leaning-rest position, placing the hands on the floor. With arms straight, extend the feet back along the floor until the body is straight. Bend arms, touching the chest to the floor, and push up again to straighten arms. Do this three times in succession. Do not touch the floor with legs or waist.



Test 1. Stomach and chest. Hold the arms across the chest. From the feet  
and sit down cross-legged. Set up without touching the arms or moving  
the feet about to regain the balance.

Test 2. Stomach and chest. Stand with feet together. Jump into the air and  
make a full turn to the left, landing on the same spot. Do not move feet  
after they strike the floor.

Test 3. Stomach and chest. Stand on one knee with the other leg  
extended out behind, not touching the floor. The arms at side parallel to  
the floor. Move forward and push the feet to the floor and raise the head  
from the floor without touching the balance.

Test 4. Stomach and chest. Stand on either foot. Close the eyes and take five  
slow breaths. Do not open eyes or drop other feet.

Test 5. Stomach and chest. Jump upward, holding the feet together, head  
forward and down the feet with both hands before landing. Keep the knees  
as an angle of more than 45 degrees.

Test 6. Stomach and chest. Take a full squat position with arms at  
sides. Wave the arms so that the hands make a circle about one foot across,  
and jump up and down at the same time for ten seconds. Do not wave the feet  
about in the floor or lose the balance.

Test 7. Stomach and chest. Stand on left foot and jump one half  
turn to the left, keeping the balance.

Test 8. Stomach and chest. Take a broad leaning-back position, holding the hands  
on the floor. With arms straight, and feet back along the floor until  
the body is vertical. Bend knees, holding the feet to the floor, and push  
up again to standing erect. Do this three times in succession. Do not  
touch the floor with legs or hands.



TEST 15. SIDE KICK. Throw the left foot sideways to the left, jumping upward from the right foot; strike the feet together in the air and land with the feet apart. The feet should strike outside the left shoulder line.

TEST 16. KNEEL, JUMP TO FEET. Kneel on both knees. Extend the toes of both feet out flat behind. Swing the arms and jump to the feet without rocking back on the toes or losing the balance by moving the feet.

TEST 17. RUSSIAN DANCE. Squat clear down; stretch one leg forward; do a Russian dance step by hopping to this position with first one leg extended, then the other; do this twice with each leg. The heel of the forward foot may touch the floor.

TEST 18. FULL RIGHT TURN. Similar to Test 8, but turn to the right.

TEST 19. THE TOP. Sit down; put the arms between the legs and under and behind the knees; grasp the ankles; roll rapidly around to the right with the weight first over the right knee, then the right shoulder, then on back, then left shoulder, then left knee; then sit up facing in the opposite direction from that in which you started. Repeat from this position and finish in the same direction from which you started. Do not let go of ankles.

TEST 20. SINGLE SQUAT BALANCE. Squat clear down on either foot. Stretch the other leg forward off the floor, hands on the hips. Hold this position for five seconds. Do not remove hands from hips or touch the floor with extended foot.

TEST 21. JUMP FOOT. Hold the toes of either foot in the opposite hand. Jump up and jump the free foot over the foot that is held, without letting go.



TEST 12. STANDING. Turn the left foot always to the left, jumping upward from the right foot, strike the foot together in the air and land with the feet apart. The feet should strike within the left shoulder line.

TEST 13. STANDING. Stand on both knees. Extend the toes of both feet out flat. Bend the knees and jump to the feet without passing the feet over the head. The feet should be placed by moving the feet.

TEST 14. STANDING. Stand clear down between one leg forward; as a question about step by stepping to side position with first one leg extended. The feet should be in line with each leg. The heel of the forward foot may touch the floor.

TEST 15. STANDING. Similar to Test 14, but turn to the right.

TEST 16. STANDING. Sit down, but the feet between the legs and under the feet. The feet should be in line with the right shoulder, then on back, then left shoulder, then left knee; then sit up facing in the opposite direction from that in which you started. Repeat from this position and facing in the same direction a few times and alternate. Do not let go of ankles.

TEST 17. STANDING. Stand clear down on either foot. Alternate the other leg forward all the floor, heels on the floor. Hold this position for five seconds. Do not remove hands from floor or touch the floor with extended feet.

TEST 18. STANDING. Hold the toes of either foot in the opposite hand. Jump up and down the feet over the feet that he holds, without letting go.



## Appendix L

Comparison of Overprotected Pupils With Balance of Sample  
in Track and Field Events\*

		Balance of Sample			Overprotected			CR
		N	M	SD	N	M	SD	
Blind Boys	Dash	129	61.79	18.03	47	38.33	18.00	23.46
	Throw	129	52.87	18.10	47	39.18	13.86	13.69
	Jump	129	57.29	17.61	47	38.12	17.03	19.17
Partially Seeing Boys	Dash	300	57.30	21.89	43	42.41	21.87	14.89
	Throw	300	51.17	16.79	43	42.41	13.90	8.75
	Jump	300	53.00	17.06	43	40.55	18.53	12.45
Blind Girls	Dash	97	54.60	17.29	36	43.94	18.87	10.66
	Throw	97	56.87	18.60	36	45.61	15.07	11.26
	Jump	97	54.71	18.11	36	45.89	17.94	8.82
Partially Seeing Girls	Dash	193	53.88	17.52	20	38.00	21.09	15.88
	Throw	193	50.77	16.59	20	40.50	16.04	10.27
	Jump	193	60.20	25.04	20	41.00	18.14	19.20

\* Mean scores were obtained from achievement scales in the present study. When achievement scales in SPORTS FOR THE BLIND are used, the critical ratios obtained are about the same as those shown above. See page 76.



## Appendix M

Relation of Performance in Track and Field Events  
to Time of Loss of Vision\*

	Blind From Birth			Blinded After Six Years of Age			CR
	N	M	SD	N	M	SD	
Girls							
Dash	67	30.0	24.54	30	32.8	23.66	0.54
Throw	67	29.3	14.39	30	31.8	15.20	0.77
Jump	67	45.1	22.19	30	45.5	18.67	0.06
Boys							
Dash	84	40.3	22.90	45	44.9	21.70	1.12
Throw	84	32.2	18.60	45	44.1	21.19	5.13
Jump	84	43.3	24.27	45	48.3	19.60	1.25

\* Mean scores refer to scores on achievement scales in POINTS FOR THE BLIND. When achievement scales of the present study are used, the critical ratios are higher, particularly for the girls. See page 85.





## Appendix N

Some Computations Required to Obtain Classification Indexes for Partially Seeing Elementary Boys in Running, Throwing, and Jumping

Zero-Order Correlations				Variable	Standard Deviation
Height	Age	Height	Weight	1. Dash	1.1455 seconds
Weight	.7713	.8156		1. Throw	17.6351 feet
Dash	.7382	.4737	.4351	1. Jump	1.3009 feet
Throw	.4935	.6099	.6855	2. Age	1.9122 years
Jump	.6683	.5970	.5058	3. Height	5.5404 inches
	.5661			4. Weight	26.1500 pounds

	DASH	THROW	JUMP
r12.3	.2282	.3003	.2059
r14.3	.0957	.1203	.0406
r24.3	.2951	.2951	.2951
r13.2	.1679	.3681	.3047
r14.2	.1211	.2395	.1584
r34.2	.5738	.5738	.5738
r12.34	.2103	.2791	.2031
r13.24	.1211	.2903	.2647
r14.23	.0308	.0372	- .0210
@1.234	0.9803	12.1554	1.0221
@2.134	1.1408	1.1191	1.1422
@3.124	2.8714	2.7678	3.2323
@4.123	13.9188	14.4723	14.4767
R1.234	.5461	.7245	.6186
b12.34	.1807	3.0315	.1818
b13.24	.0413	1.2749	.0837
b14.23	.0022	0.0312	- .0015

Running Index -- .1807A / .0413H / .0022W or 1A / .229H / .012W

Throwing Index -- 3.0315A / 1.2749H / 0.0312W 1A / .421H / .010W

Jumping Index -- .1818A / .0837H - .0015W or 1A / .460H - .008W

Number of cases--266.

NOTE. For description of techniques used in Appendixes N, O, P and Q, see pages 288-290 in Bovard's TESTS AND MEASUREMENTS IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION.





## Appendix O

Some Computations Required to Obtain Classification Indexes for  
Blind Elementary Boys in Running, Throwing, and Jumping

## Zero-Order Correlations

	Age	Height	Weight	Variable	Standard Deviation
Height	.6769			1. Dash	1.6532 seconds
Weight	.6814	.8208		1. Throw	17.2713 feet
Dash	.6946	.6422	.4805	1. Jump	1.4549 feet
Throw	.6841	.6519	.6529	2. Age	1.8321 years
Jump	.7554	.6919	.5608	3. Height	5.4357 inches
				4. Weight	25.7120 pounds

	DASH	THROW	JUMP
r12.3	.4585	.4330	.5376
r14.3	- .1060	.2708	- .0171
r24.3	.2979	.2979	.2979
r13.2	.3244	.3512	.3733
r14.2	.0136	.3497	.2271
r34.2	.6647	.6647	.6647
r12.34	.5166	.3839	.5690
r13.24	.4223	.1698	.3053
r14.23	- .2860	.1662	- .0303
@1.234	1.0774	11.6258	.8840
@2.134	1.1053	1.1900	1.0594
@3.124	2.7211	2.9517	2.8528
@4.123	13.4790	13.8467	14.0320
R1.234	.7585	.7396	.7942
b12.34	.5036	3.7505	.4748
b13.24	.1672	.6688	.0946
b14.23	- .0229	.1395	- .0019

Running Index -- .5036A / .1672H - .0229W or 1A / .332H - .046W  
 Throwing Index -- 3.7505A / .6688H / .1392W or 1A / .178H / .037W  
 Jumping Index -- .4748A / .0946H - .0019W or 1A / .199H - .004W

Number of cases--120



## Appendix P

Some Computations Required to Obtain Classification Indexes for Partially Seeing Elementary Girls in Running, Throwing, and Jumping

## Zero-Order Correlations

	Age	Height	Weight	Variable	Standard Deviation
Height	.6215			1. Dash	1.0024 seconds
Weight	.4850	.6813		1. Throw	8.6285 feet
Dash	.5389	.4048	.1238	1. Jump	0.9626 feet
Throw	.6813	.5473	.4258	2. Age	1.7495 years
Jump	.5989	.3932	.1695	3. Height	2.9998 inches
				4. Weight	20.5675 pounds

	DASH	THROW	JUMP
r12.3	.4005	.5186	.4918
r14.3	- .2267	.0860	- .1461
r24.3	.1071	.1071	.1071
r13.2	.1058	.2154	.0335
r14.2	- .1869	.1488	- .1730
r34.2	.5537	.5537	.5537
r12.34	.4384	.5141	.5154
r13.24	.2560	.1617	.1579
r14.23	- .2967	.0363	- .2303
@1.234	0.8017	6.1726	0.7490
@2.134	1.2259	1.1702	1.1702
@3.124	1.8932	1.9328	1.9328
@4.123	14.3294	14.9876	14.5948
R1.234	.6003	.6987	.6282
b12.34	.2867	2.7118	.2206
b13.24	.1084	.5164	.0612
b14.23	- .0166	.0149	- .0118

Running Index -- .2867A  $\neq$  .1084H - .0166W or 1A  $\neq$  .378H - .058W

Throwing Index -- 2.7118A  $\neq$  .5164H  $\neq$  .0149W or 1A  $\neq$  .190H  $\neq$  .006W

Jumping Index -- .2206A  $\neq$  .0612H - .0118W or 1A  $\neq$  .277H - .054W

Number of cases--145



# Table 1

Summary of the results of the analysis of the data obtained from the experiments conducted during the period from January 1, 1950, to December 31, 1950.

## Experimental Results

Experiment No.	Initial Value	Final Value	Change	Percentage Change
1. Initial value	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0%
2. First change	100.0	105.0	5.0	5.0%
3. Second change	105.0	110.0	5.0	4.8%
4. Third change	110.0	115.0	5.0	4.5%
5. Fourth change	115.0	120.0	5.0	4.3%
6. Fifth change	120.0	125.0	5.0	4.2%

100.0	105.0	110.0	115.0
120.0	125.0	130.0	135.0
140.0	145.0	150.0	155.0
160.0	165.0	170.0	175.0
180.0	185.0	190.0	195.0
200.0	205.0	210.0	215.0
220.0	225.0	230.0	235.0
240.0	245.0	250.0	255.0
260.0	265.0	270.0	275.0
280.0	285.0	290.0	295.0
300.0	305.0	310.0	315.0
320.0	325.0	330.0	335.0
340.0	345.0	350.0	355.0
360.0	365.0	370.0	375.0
380.0	385.0	390.0	395.0
400.0	405.0	410.0	415.0
420.0	425.0	430.0	435.0
440.0	445.0	450.0	455.0
460.0	465.0	470.0	475.0
480.0	485.0	490.0	495.0
500.0	505.0	510.0	515.0
520.0	525.0	530.0	535.0
540.0	545.0	550.0	555.0
560.0	565.0	570.0	575.0
580.0	585.0	590.0	595.0
600.0	605.0	610.0	615.0
620.0	625.0	630.0	635.0
640.0	645.0	650.0	655.0
660.0	665.0	670.0	675.0
680.0	685.0	690.0	695.0
700.0	705.0	710.0	715.0
720.0	725.0	730.0	735.0
740.0	745.0	750.0	755.0
760.0	765.0	770.0	775.0
780.0	785.0	790.0	795.0
800.0	805.0	810.0	815.0
820.0	825.0	830.0	835.0
840.0	845.0	850.0	855.0
860.0	865.0	870.0	875.0
880.0	885.0	890.0	895.0
900.0	905.0	910.0	915.0
920.0	925.0	930.0	935.0
940.0	945.0	950.0	955.0
960.0	965.0	970.0	975.0
980.0	985.0	990.0	995.0
1000.0	1005.0	1010.0	1015.0

The results of the analysis show that the data obtained from the experiments conducted during the period from January 1, 1950, to December 31, 1950, are in good agreement with the theoretical predictions. The percentage change in the values of the various parameters is within the limits of experimental error.

## Appendix Q

Some Computations Required to Obtain Classification Indexes for  
Blind Elementary Girls in Running, Throwing, and Jumping

Zero-Order Correlations				Variable	Standard Deviation
	Age	Height	Weight		
Height	.6988			1. Dash	1.4718 seconds
Weight	.5957	.6843		1. Throw	9.4380 feet
Dash	.7177	.6421	.6326	1. Jump	1.0591 feet
Throw	.7730	.7654	.6634	2. Age	1.7019 years
Jump	.7459	.6569	.4617	3. Height	4.3806 inches
				4. Weight	21.2474 pounds

	DASH	THROW	JUMP
r12.3	.5887	.5177	.5317
r14.3	.3451	.2975	.0222
r24.3	.2258	.2258	.2258
r13.2	.2256	.4982	.2848
r14.2	.3372	.4142	.0325
r34.2	.4670	.4670	.4670
r12.34	.5585	.4843	.5406
r13.24	.0816	.3784	.3047
r14.23	.2687	.2367	- .1184
@1.234	0.8810	5.0295	0.6723
@2.134	0.9811	1.0356	0.9968
@3.124	2.7605	2.5618	2.6376
@4.123	14.5250	14.6628	14.9752
R1.234	.8011	.8462	.7727
b12.34	.5015	2.3521	.3646
b13.24	.0260	.7429	.0777
b14.23	.0163	.0812	- .0449

Running Index -- .5015A / .0260H / .0163W or 1A / .052H / .033W

Throwing Index -- 2.3521A / .7429H / .0812W or 1A / .316H / .035W

Jumping Index -- .3646 / .0777H - .0449W or 1A / .213H - .123W

Number of cases--75

# Appendix A

Table A.1. Summary of the data used in the analysis. The table lists the number of subjects, the number of trials, and the number of correct responses for each condition.

Condition	Subjects	Trials	Correct Responses
Control	10	100	95
Low	10	100	90
High	10	100	85
Very High	10	100	80
Extremely High	10	100	75

Control	100%	100%	100%
Low	90%	90%	90%
High	85%	85%	85%
Very High	80%	80%	80%
Extremely High	75%	75%	75%
Control	100%	100%	100%
Low	90%	90%	90%
High	85%	85%	85%
Very High	80%	80%	80%
Extremely High	75%	75%	75%
Control	100%	100%	100%
Low	90%	90%	90%
High	85%	85%	85%
Very High	80%	80%	80%
Extremely High	75%	75%	75%

Table A.2. Summary of the data used in the analysis. The table lists the number of subjects, the number of trials, and the number of correct responses for each condition.



## Appendix R

Zero-Order Correlations for Track and Field Performances and Age, Height and Weight of Partially Seeing Secondary School Boys\*

	Age	Height	Weight
Height	.3518		
Weight	.4328	.7685	
Dash	.3345	.2164	.1556
Throw	.2094	.2798	.1899
Jump	.6076	.3502	.2391

\* -- Boys over 15 years of age participating in this study are included in this table. Number of cases--140.

---

## Appendix S

Zero-Order Correlations for Track and Field Performances and Age, Height and Weight of Blind Secondary School Boys \*

	Age	Height	Weight
Height	.2387		
Weight	.3763	.5857	
Dash	.0416	.2638	.2058
Throw	-.0027	.2320	.2842
Jump	.0247	.3141	.2158

\* -- Boys over 15 years of age participating in this study are included in this table. Number of cases--92.

---

## Appendix T

Zero-Order Correlations for Track and Field Performances and Age, Height and Weight of Visually Handicapped Secondary School Girls\*

	Age	Height	Weight
Height	-.1654		
Weight	.0488	.4427	
Dash	-.3610	.2288	-.1228
Throw	-.2598	.3290	.0121
Jump	-.2090	.0973	.2216

\* -- This table includes all girls over 15 years of age participating in this study. Number of cases--167.

---

# Table 1

Table 1 shows the results of the analysis of variance for the data presented in Table 1. The results are presented in the following table:

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	df
Between Groups	10.00	2.50	1.00	1
Within Groups	10.00	1.00	1.00	1
Total	20.00			2

The results of the analysis of variance are presented in the following table:

# Table 2

Table 2 shows the results of the analysis of variance for the data presented in Table 2. The results are presented in the following table:

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	df
Between Groups	10.00	2.50	1.00	1
Within Groups	10.00	1.00	1.00	1
Total	20.00			2

The results of the analysis of variance are presented in the following table:

# Table 3

Table 3 shows the results of the analysis of variance for the data presented in Table 3. The results are presented in the following table:

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	df
Between Groups	10.00	2.50	1.00	1
Within Groups	10.00	1.00	1.00	1
Total	20.00			2

The results of the analysis of variance are presented in the following table:



## Bibliography\*

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF INSTRUCTORS OF THE BLIND, PROCEEDINGS.

(The biennial report is not published at a permanent location but may be borrowed from the American Foundation for the Blind.

Reports contain papers on various aspects of the education of the blind. Usually two or three papers on physical education are presented. These papers are of limited value because they are based upon opinion rather than the objective approach.

The theories presented are usually better than the practice.

Bauman, Mary K., "Studies in the Application of Motor Skills in the Vocational Adjustment of the Blind," JOURNAL OF APPLIED PSYCHOLOGY, Vol. 30, 1946. No. 2, pp. 144-154.

Best, Harry, BLINDNESS AND THE BLIND IN THE UNITED STATES. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1934. 714p.

The most comprehensive work on the blind in the United States. Data are presented relative to causes and prevention of blindness. Provisions for the education of the blind are given in some detail. The viewpoint is more sociological than educational.

Bovard, J. F., et al., TESTS AND MEASUREMENTS IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Company, 1949. 409p.

Brace, D. K., MEASURING MOTOR ABILITY. New York: A. S. Barnes and Company, 1927. (Out of print)

Buell, Charles E., SPORTS FOR THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1947. 240p.

A comprehensive treatise on physical education for the blind, including sports, outdoor and indoor games, contests, dancing, corrective work, and foot travel. Original research is presented on the measurement of the physical fitness of the blind. Physical education achievement scales for visually handicapped boys and girls are included.

Buell, Charles E., THE EDUCATION OF THE NEGRO BLIND IN THE UNITED STATES. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1945. 50p.

This is a published Master's thesis. Curriculum receives most attention in an objective approach, but reference is also made to testing and other problems in the education of the Negro blind.

---

\* Most references on the blind are annotated because they are not easily obtained. Two other references are annotated because the titles might mislead the reader to believe that information on the blind is included.



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

REPORT OF THE RESEARCH GROUP ON THE  
CHEMISTRY OF THE CARBON-13 ISOTOPE  
FOR THE YEAR 1961

BY  
J. H. GOLDSTEIN AND  
R. E. SMITH

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
1962

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Buell, Charles E., "Physical Fitness Testing in a School for the Blind," OUTLOOK FOR THE BLIND, December, 1945. pp. 280-282.

Coleman, J. W., "Pure Speed as a Positive Factor in Some Track and Field Events," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, May, 1940.

College of Teachers of the Blind, PHYSICAL EDUCATION OF THE BLIND. London, The College, 1933. 100p.

Eight lectures given at a summer course in England are included. The papers on applied anatomy, posture, and remedial work are of value. Dancing is mentioned several times, but other activities receive little attention.

Cozens, F. W., et al., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR SECONDARY SCHOOL GIRLS AND COLLEGE WOMEN. New York: A. S. Barnes and Company, 1937.

Cozens, F. W., et al., PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT SCALES FOR BOYS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS. New York: A. S. Barnes and Company, 1936.

Degnan, W. J., "A Health Education Program for Blind Boys in High School of the City of New York." Master's thesis, College of the City of New York, 1934. 118p. (Typewritten)

Although this is probably the best reference on physical education of the blind in public schools, little of value is added to existing information. The thesis is based upon experience with only thirteen boys. It is one man's opinion of how the blind can take part in calisthenics, apparatus work, swimming, track and field, football, rhythmic, and corrective gymnastics. A few games are described. The theoretical aspects of the work are of more value than the suggested adaptations of various activities.

Espenschade, Anna, "Development of Motor Coordination in Boys and Girls," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, 18:1 (March, 1947). pp. 30-43.

Espenschade, Anna, MOTOR PERFORMANCE IN ADOLESCENCE, Monographs Society for Research in Child Development, Washington, D. C., Vol. I, 1940.

Farris, L. P., "Visual Defects as Factors Influencing Achievement in Reading." Doctor of Education thesis, University of California, 1936.

The Stanford Achievement Test in Reading was given to a large sample of children with several types of visual defects in the public schools of Oakland, California. It was found that eye defects have no influence on reading achievement. Those with visual defects make more exertion to gain the same result.



...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...  
...the ... of the ...



Foote, Doreen, MODIFIED ACTIVITIES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION.  
Inor Publishing Company, 1945. 101p.

The teacher of sight-saving classes will find this book helpful, but it is of limited value to the blind. Children with no vision can use most of the posture and rhythm exercises and some of the camping skills. The book suggests many recreational activities for corrective physical education. These can be used to supplement formal gymnastics.

French, R. S., FROM HOMER TO HELEN KELLER. New York:  
American Foundation for the Blind, 1932. 298p.

An excellent historical treatise dealing with the education and care of the blind, in which problems of education, vocation, and avocation are raised.

Garrett, H. E., STATISTICS IN PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION.  
New York: Longmans, Green and Company, 1947. 487p.

Hastings, Homer, "An Investigation of Some Aspects of the Personality of the Blind," Master's thesis, University of California, 1947. (unpublished)

This is perhaps the best single reference on the objective approach of studying the personality of the blind. Evaluations are made of previous studies in the field. The California Test of Personality and the Mental Health Analysis were used at the California School for the Blind. Results of these tests are given in detail.

Hathaway, Winifred, EDUCATION AND HEALTH OF THE PARTIALLY SEEING CHILD. New York: Columbia University Press, 1943. 216p.

The most comprehensive work on the education of the partially seeing child in the United States. Among other aspects of the work, it deals with the eye and eye hygiene; vision tests; educational provisions and problems; equipment; and organizations interested in those with partial vision. Physical education and recreation receive no attention.

Hayes, S. P., CONTRIBUTIONS TO A PSYCHOLOGY OF BLINDNESS. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1941. 296p. p. 233

The best single reference on the subject by the leading authority in the field. Part I deals with certain problems in the psychology of blindness; sensory compensation, facial vision, memory, and intelligence and amount of vision. Mental measurements in schools for the blind are discussed in detail in Part II.





Hayes, S. P., VOCATIONAL APTITUDE TESTS FOR THE BLIND. Watertown, Mass.: Perkins Institution for the Blind, 1946. 32p.

At present this is the best reference on aptitude tests for the blind. Musical, mechanical, and scholastic aptitudes are considered.

Lende, Helga, BOOKS ABOUT THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1940. 215p.

A comprehensive bibliography covering all important literature on the blind which appeared before 1940. About 2700 annotated references are included, 150 relating to physical education and recreation. Much of this literature is repetitious and in many cases of a more emotional than factual character.

Lende, Helga, ed. WHAT OF THE BLIND? New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1938. 214p.

Among the subjects covered by this collection of 19 papers are causes and prevention of blindness; the blind pre-school child; education of the young blind; psychology of the blind; social adjustment of the adult blind; volunteer work with the blind; reading and recreation. The two chapters on recreation were written by blind men, Dr. R. V. Merry and Dr. T. D. Cutsforth.

Lowenfeld, Berthold, TEACHERS OF THE BLIND, THEIR STATUS AND SALARIES. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1941. 44p.

This complete survey discloses the fact that teachers in residential schools for the blind have the same professional training as do teachers in public schools, but that their salaries are far below those paid to public school teachers.

Lowenfeld, Berthold, ed. THE BLIND PRESCHOOL CHILD. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1948. 148p.

Social work aspects, educational aspects, and medical aspects of work with preschool blind children are treated by leading authorities in the field.

McCloy, C. H., "An Analytical Study of the Stunt Type Test as a Measure of Motor Educability," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, VIII. 3 : 46. October, 1937.



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE  
PROGRESS OF THE WORK OF THE  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

FOR THE YEAR 1911-1912  
PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF THE  
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

A COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF THE  
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
HAS BEEN APPOINTED TO  
CONSIDER THE PROGRESS OF THE  
WORK OF THE DEPARTMENT OF  
CHEMISTRY

FOR THE YEAR 1911-1912  
AND TO REPORT THEREON TO THE  
BOARD OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

THE COMMITTEE HAS THE HONOR  
TO REPORT THAT THE DEPARTMENT  
OF CHEMISTRY HAS MAINTAINED  
A HIGH STANDARD OF  
RESEARCH AND TEACHING  
DURING THE YEAR 1911-1912

AND THAT THE DEPARTMENT  
HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN  
ATTRACTION OF NEW  
FACULTY MEMBERS

AND IN THE ACQUISITION OF  
NEW APPARATUS AND  
RESEARCH MATERIALS

THE COMMITTEE HAS THE HONOR  
TO REPORT THAT THE DEPARTMENT  
OF CHEMISTRY HAS MAINTAINED  
A HIGH STANDARD OF  
RESEARCH AND TEACHING  
DURING THE YEAR 1911-1912

AND THAT THE DEPARTMENT  
HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN  
ATTRACTION OF NEW  
FACULTY MEMBERS

AND IN THE ACQUISITION OF  
NEW APPARATUS AND  
RESEARCH MATERIALS

McCloy, C. H., TESTS AND MEASUREMENTS IN HEALTH AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, Inc., 1939.

McCloy, C. H., THE MEASUREMENT OF ATHLETIC POWER. New York: A. S. Barnes and Company, 1932.

Merry, Ralph, PROBLEMS IN THE EDUCATION OF VISUALLY HANDICAPPED CHILDREN. Cambridge: The Harvard University Press, 1933. 243p.

Although this book is now somewhat out of date, it is probably the best single reference on the education of the blind in the United States. Based on an objective approach, the study evaluates what has been accomplished in the education of blind and partially seeing children. One chapter is devoted to health and physical education, but the material is very general in nature.

Meyer, O. F., "A Study of Respective Values and Services of Public School Braille Classes and Residential Schools to Determine Which Plan of Educating the Blind Child is Better Suited to His Needs," Master's thesis, Ohio State University, 1944.

The evaluation is based primarily upon a curriculum survey. The mental, rather than the social development of the blind is stressed.

National Institute for the Blind, GAMES FOR THE BLIND. London: The Institute, 1936. 50p.

Games for blind boys and girls are described. A very helpful pamphlet.

Neilson, N. P., and Cozens, F. W., ACHIEVEMENT SCALES IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES FOR BOYS AND GIRLS IN ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL. New York: A. S. Barnes and Company, 1939.

Osborn, V. E., "Physical Education for Blind Girls," Master's thesis, University of California, 1927. (Typewritten)

Although this study is somewhat out of date, it is one of the best references in the field. For the most part, its approach is objective. However, secondary sources were used for the historical development and exaggerated claims are made for Bukh's gymnastics which are described in some detail. The chapters on dancing and games are particularly good. Although the games are not described, they are listed as suitable either for the blind or the partially seeing. The study is a result of experience gained in developing a program of physical education for girls in the California School for the Blind.

OVERBROOK REPORT. Annual report of the Overbrook School for the Blind, Philadelphia.



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

1963

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607



OUTLOOK FOR THE BLIND, American Foundation for the Blind,  
New York, N. Y.

The professional magazine of this field. Most of the articles on the blind appear in the periodical which is published ten months of the year. It covers a wide variety of subjects, including physical education. Much of the literature is not objective in nature.

PERKINS REPORT. Annual report of Perkins Institution for the Blind, Watertown, Mass.

Petroskey, H. M., "A Study of Improvement in Fitness of College Freshman Women," RESEARCH QUARTERLY, December, 1945.

Quimby, N. F., A STUDY OF THE CURRICULUM OF RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS FOR THE BLIND. New York: American Foundation for the Blind, 1940. 22lp.

The most complete survey of its kind. Information was obtained from questionnaires. Four pages are devoted to physical education activities in grades one to twelve.

Rogers, F. R., TESTS AND MEASUREMENTS PROGRAMS IN THE RE-DIRECTION OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION. New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1927.

ROYAL NORMAL COLLEGE REPORT. Annual report of the Royal Normal College and Academy of Music for the Blind, London.

Sargent, D. A., "The Physical Test of a Man," AMERICAN PHYSICAL EDUCATION REVIEW, XXVI : 188. April, 1921.

Scholl, Geraldine, "The Major Functions of Education Applied to Residential and Day School Classes for the Blind." Master's thesis, Wayne University, 1947.

A survey of the current trends in the education of the blind. Data are obtained from questionnaires answered by over two-thirds of the special schools and classes for the blind. The social development of the blind child is stressed.

Seils, L. G., "A Study of the Relationship between Physical Growth and Gross Motor Development of Primary Children," Unpublished Doctor's thesis, Boston University, 1948.  
(See also RESEARCH QUARTERLY, May, 1949. p. 143)

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

DEPARTMENT OF THE HISTORY OF ARTS  
AND ARCHITECTURE  
1100 EAST 58TH STREET  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

OFFICE OF THE DEAN  
1100 EAST 58TH STREET

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637  
TEL: 773-936-5000

FAX: 773-936-5001  
WWW: www.historyofart.uchicago.edu

ADMISSIONS  
1100 EAST 58TH STREET  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: 773-936-5000  
FAX: 773-936-5001

WWW: www.historyofart.uchicago.edu

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: 773-936-5000

FAX: 773-936-5001

WWW: www.historyofart.uchicago.edu



Speakman, Martha, RECREATION FOR BLIND CHILDREN. Publication no. 172,  
U. S. Department of Labor, Washington, D. C., 1927. 76p.  
Out of print.

A booklet full of valuable source material. A comprehensive  
list of plays and games suitable for children of all ages and  
for all occasions is given with details directions.

Stafford, G. T., SPORTS FOR THE HANDICAPPED. New York:  
Prentice-Hall Inc., 1939. 302p.

The book does not cover activities for the blind. However,  
teachers in special schools may find it of some value because  
the blind sometimes have more than one handicap.

---

NOTE. For further information on literature relating to the blind  
write to:

Librarian

American Foundation for the Blind

15 West 16th Street

New York 11, N. Y.



Reynolds, Walter. THE LITTLE BOOK OF THE. Publication no. 123.  
U. S. Department of Labor, Washington, D. C., 1937. 46p.  
Not at hand.

A booklet full of valuable advice for the home. A complete  
list of types and sizes of furniture for the home and  
the all necessary to know about the furniture.

Walter, O. F. THE LITTLE BOOK OF THE. New York:  
Fremont-Gall Inc., 1930. 60p.

The book does not cover material for the home. However,  
it contains a complete list of all the furniture  
the home should have and how to choose it.

---

Walter, O. F. THE LITTLE BOOK OF THE. New York:  
Fremont-Gall Inc., 1930. 60p.

Library

Western Foundation for the Blind

15 West Main Street

New York 11, N. Y.











7/22/2011  
T 235162 5 15 00



HF GROUP - IN



